AL. 2.2006-123

Health Benefit Design Options FOR ALBERTA HEALTH & WELLNESS

AGE

Health Benefit Design Options FOR ALBERTA HEALTH & WELLNESS

Executive Summary 29 March 2006

Aon Consulting

This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Contents

- Project Scope
- 2. Conceptual Models
- 3. Key Concepts
- . Prescription Drugs
- 5. Continuing Care
- 6. Non-Emergency Health
- Supplemental Health
- 8. Economic Model
- 9. Final Comments



Project Scope

- Develop health insurance models for each of the following:
- Prescription drugs
- Continuing care
- Non-emergency health care
- Supplemental health products and services
- Each group of health care services interacts differently with each conceptual model
- Evaluation criteria based on insurance plan's promotion of:
- Personal responsibility
- Efficiency
- Cost control



Conceptual Models



Conceptual Models Reviewed

- . Mandatory Public Health Insurance
- Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Optional Private Supplemental Coverage S
- Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Optional Private Replacement Coverage ന
- Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling
- 5. Mandatory Private Health Insurance
- 6. Optional Private Health Insurance

Mandatory Public Health Insurance




- Provide health insurance through a publicly run insurance plan
- Set up plan as any private insurer would, but run by Government of Alberta
- Premiums may be income and/or cost based (i.e. a flat fee)
- premiums, or a community rating of the Use individual risk ratings to set entire population
- government could make payments on Where premiums have a flat fee, the behalf of low income earners

Mandatory Public Health Insurance Optional Private Supplemental Coverage




- Choice in health insurance:
- Mandatory health insurance via publicly run insurance plan
- Optional private insurance as a supplement to public insurance
- Premiums may be income and/or cost based
- Where premiums have a flat fee, the government could make payments on behalf of low income earners
- Private plans require regulation and oversight for solvency levels
- Private plans may require:
- Community rating; or
- More likely, individual premiums based on health risk assessments

Mandatory Public Health Insurance Optional Replacement Coverage



- Choice in health insurance:
- Mandatory health insurance via publicly run insurance plan
- Optional private insurance as a replacement (opt-out) to public insurance
- Premiums may be income and/or cost based
- Where premiums have a flat fee, the government could make payments on behalf of low income earners
- Private plans require regulation and oversight for solvency and coverage levels
- Private plans may require:
- Community rating; or
- More likely, individual premiums based on health risk assessments



- Universal coverage and funding through common funding pool
- Choice between private insurers may offer multiple plans that meet a minimum standard of coverage
- Insurers paid for basic coverage from common funding pool
- Additional coverage may be purchased to supplement the basic plan with a higher level of health- related services
- Private plans require regulation and oversight for solvency and coverage levels

Mandatory Private Health Insurance



- Mandatory coverage required
- Payments to insurers directly from private parties (individuals or employers)
- Where required for social policy, payments made by government on behalf of individuals
- Private insurers may not be able to provide 'reasonable' rates if liability for future claims is unlimited
 Private insurers may offer multiple plans that
 - Private insurers may offer multiple plans the meet a minimum standard of coverage, increasing the level of choice.

 Risk pooling may be necessary to allow.
 - Risk pooling may be necessary to allow insurers to provide coverage to high risk individuals
- Additional coverage may be purchased to supplement the minimum regulatory requirements with a higher level of health-related services
- Private plans require regulation and oversight for solvency and coverage levels

Optional Private Health Insurance



- obtained from any private insurer in the Coverage is optional and may be market
- often provided by employers for drugs Currently this is the type of coverage and supplemental health care
- Regulation is generally limited to solvency for this model




Key Concepts



Number of workers per retired person will decline substantially by 2050:


5.5	1.9	2.4	3.3
Current	Low population	Medium population	High population

- Per capita cost of health care increases as the population ages
- Fewer workers reduce provincial revenue growth associated with employment, hence sustainability of health care is threatened if health care costs continue to be paid on a pay-as-you-go basis




Pre-Funding

- Saving or investment strategy that works with an insurance plan to minimize effect of:
- Rising premiums associated with inflation
- Increased health service utilization
- An aging population
- than paying out, creating a reserve Collect more through premiums
- Reserve grows through investment income and additional surplus premiums
- exceed the premiums collected, use reserve to pay costs, minimizing the When rising health care costs effect of rising costs




Projection Length

- Long-term projections are required to assess sustainability as the full effects of an aging population do not occur until after 2040
- Variability in growth rates, particularly health services inflation, has enormous cumulative impact over the projection period
- Projections are linked to common assumptions where possible ensuring consistent relative ranking of options despite variability in absolute values
- Economic model runs to 2025 matching the length of the province's 20 year plan



Prescription Drugs




Prescription Drugs - Methodology Notes

Funded by Government of Alberta, private insurers and employers and out-of-pocket payments by individuals

Population Group	Current Cost	Population
For current beneficiaries of Alberta Health and Wellness Alberta Human Resources and Employment (AHRE) Alberta Seniors and Community Supports	Claims costs established by therapeutic class, age and gender cell using detailed drug claims paid in Fiscal Year 2004 to 2005	Demographic composition covered by AHRE and Alberta Seniors and Community Supports corresponds to population of claimants
Employer Insured	Therapeutic class, age and gender cell costs of a large employer plan used Costs adjusted to balance CIHI data	Total population less those covered by Government of Alberta and those uninsured
Uninsured / Underinsured (27% of population)	Cost assumed equal to those of the average population (for the same age and gender)	Demographics derived from the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS)


- Inflation rates and utilization trends for each therapeutic class of drugs created taking into account the projected impact of anticipated cost control mechanisms
- Cost projections created by combining drug costs, anticipated utilization and projected population data

- Otherwise the cost of prescription drugs will exceed total taxable income in 2036
- Public drug programs
 may be difficult to cost-effectively
 transfer to private insurers due to
 potential for large liabilities
- Using reasonable control mechanisms, costs expressed as a percentage of taxable income will more than quadruple by 2050




Mandatory Drug Plans with Pre-Funding

- Mandatory drug plans were selected for modelling to:
- Ensure entire population has drug coverage
- Prevent free-rider phenomenon where drug insurance is not taken by some of the population
- Public funding can mix pre-funding and pay-as-you-go
- Higher proportion of pre-funded costs increases the up-front burden, but reduces peak cost (relative to taxable income)
- The mandatory public with private replacement model assumed seniors and special support plans would be payable by entire population



Population growth and working proportion of the population has material impact on costs relative to total income, particularly after 2030 when aging effects become increasingly important



Rapid implementation of cost control mechanisms has dramatic short and long term effect on drug costs

Conceptual Model Comparison

Conceptual Model Encourage Personal Efficiency Responsibility	Public Mandatory Contingent on plan design (premiums and cost sharing) Limited competitive pressures and cost sharing)	Public MandatoryContingent on planLimited competitivewith Privatedesign (premiumspressuresSupplementaland cost sharing)Coverage	Public MandatoryContingent on planStrong competitivewith Private design (premiums ReplacementStrong competitive pressures for private plans (effect limited with global suppliers)	Private Mandatory with Public Premium Contingent on plan design (premiums and cost sharing) Strong competitive pressures (effect limited with global suppliers) Pooling Centralized premium collection Decentralized administration
Cost Control	Single purchaser with higher buying power	Single public purchaser with higher buying power	Private purchasing impacted by public cost control methods	Private purchasing impacted by public cost control methods
Choice in Coverage	Limited	Limited for basic Higher for supplemental	Higher	Higher

20

Prescription Drugs Health Insurance Observations

- Mandatory coverage ensures everyone contributes financially for the benefit they receive
- Combination of private and public coverage allows employers to offer higher coverage where desired
- Province-wide cost control mechanisms required to control total costs
- Effect of single purchaser in setting prices is materially important
- Public mandatory insurance with private replacement coverage is similar to the model used in Quebec, therefore Canadian experience in implementation is available

Continuing Care




Continuing Care - Methodology Notes

- Baseline projections based on Scenario 2 of Alberta Health and Wellness Regional Continuing Care Model (RCCM)
- Assumes a "medium-shift" from facility-based services to community-based
- RCCM cost projections were revised in the following ways:
- Alberta Finance's medium population projection revised to reflect anticipated improvements in mortality rates
- Unit costs inflation increased to 4% to reflect anticipated trends
- Percentage of total funding allocated for capital expenditures increased to recognize obsolescence
- Recommendations" produced by Task Force on continuing care incorporated Expected costs from implementation of "What We Heard & Draft
- Accommodation and care are decoupled only the health care portion of this is modelled for insurance
- As an insurance policy, it is assumed that once a certain level of disability exists, requirement to pay premiums stops, irrespective of whether home or facility-based services are provided

Continuing Care - Premium Base


- Spreading the cost over a portion impacts the insurance premium of, or the entire population,
- contributors will have to make a A smaller number of premium larger payment



25


Population Sensitivity

- population in workforce are primary drivers of cost relative taxable Aging and proportion of total income
- health care salaries rise faster than Inflation is a material factor where those of general population



Continuing Care - Cost Impacts

- Total costs are relatively similar with different insurance models
- Private supplemental insurance would increase total costs on a supply and demand basis
- insurers limit benefits to control risk) Uptake of existing supplemental products has been very low in Alberta and other markets (as
- Private costs are more likely to be paid out-of-pocket as incurred
- actors have relatively little variance Full or partial pre-funding is highly because they are largely salary appropriate as cost projection




Conceptual Model Comparison

	Encourage Personal Responsibility	Efficiency	Cost Control	Choice in Coverage
Public Mandatory	Contingent on plan design (premiums and cost sharing)	Limited competitive pressures (depends on private providers of continuing care)	Single purchaser with higher buying power	Limited
Public Mandatory with Private Supplemental Coverage	Contingent on plan design (premiums and cost sharing)	Limited competitive pressures (depends on private providers of continuing care)	Single public purchaser with higher buying power Limited private services with total cost set by market forces	Limited for public component Private has not had many offerings in other markets
Private Mandatory with Public Premium o	Contingent on plan design (premiums and cost sharing)	Competitive pressures on cost, regulation required to ensure quality Centralized premium collection Decentralized administration	Overall total Private Mandatory costs determined by the public pool funding Costs for enhanced insurance policies are supply/demand driven	Possibly more coverage; dependent on regulatory requirements

Continuing Care Insurance Observations

- Pre-funding is a continuing care savings plan for an aging population:
- Requires mandatory participation
- Premiums linked to income limit inflation risk
- Insurance options have less impact on cost than operational improvements
- Both are smaller than the aging effect

Non-Emergency Health



Non-Emergency Health – Methodology Notes


- All non-emergency health services are funded by Alberta Health and Wellness
- Non-emergency services not a separate category of services
- Protocol created to identify services that would be considered non-emergency for purposes of the analysis
- Used nationally recognized triage score for emergency room visits to differentiate emergency from non-emergency
- Scores of one or two with treatment regarded as emergency; falls outside the scope of the analysis
- All other treatments considered in the analysis of non-emergency services

- Per capita claims rates developed directly from Alberta Health and Wellness data
- Annual projection factors developed from public sources
- After ten years, initial factors were reduced to 6% per year under the mandatory public scenario (or actual factor if already less than 6%)
- Resulting per capita claims multiplied by projected population in each future year
- Generated projected future annual claims


By end of projection period:

 Cost inflation and population growth combined to \$245.8 billion As a per cent of projected taxable income, claims grew from 5.5% in 2006 to 37% in 2050

Public mandatory insurance will follow baseline scenario, but with lower projection factors after 2015 because of the assumed 6% cap on cost increases




- Federal transfers are a large component of non-emergency health funding
- Assuming the transfers grow at 6% per year, federal transfers will decline as costs grow faster due to
 - population growth
 - aging and inflation
- Any conceptual model with private insurance for non-emergency health may result in reduced federal funding



Sensitivity Testing

- Inflation is the most significant factor impacting projected growth of nonemergency health
- Primary operational factor for ensuring sustainability is controlling cost growth (largely total salaries) through improved productivity



Non-Emergency Health Insurance Observations

- Privatization of providers and potential improvements in productivity could have a larger long-term impact on cost control than private insurance
- Effect of private insurance is limited without private health care providers (little control over costs and limited opportunity to differentiate)
- Use of cost sharing mechanisms (co-payments and deductibles) could reduce costs and may not offset the potential loss of federal funding


Supplemental Health




Supplemental Health - Preliminary Notes

- Broadly defined as a typical group extended health care insurance policy without prescription drug coverage
- Benefits:
- Paramedical specialists
- Hospital accommodation
- Vision care
- Miscellaneous medical equipment and supplies
- Benefits are largely privately insured; plans typically incorporate deductibles and co-payments
- Current plans negotiated between insurers and plan sponsors (employer or
- Pricing functions on an annual renewable term basis (no pre-funding)

- Total projected costs are \$2 billion in 2006
- Cost inflation and population growth drive this to \$115 billion by 2050
- Per cent of taxable income grows from 2.2% to 17.25%
- Equivalent level funding percentage is 7.76%
- 15% of costs are currently paid through various government programs



For supplemental health, long-term inflation risks are currently borne by private industry



39

40

Supplemental Health Insurance Observations

- Mandatory coverage ensures coverage for all and that everyone contributes financially for the benefit they receive
- With mandatory public insurance, the province would incur additional costs, given that these benefits are currently privately insured
- Private carriers have no greater incentive to control costs than at present
- Currently, cost risk is borne by private industry
- Mandatory coverage requires government oversight





Economic Model Approach

- System dynamic technique to link three distinct types modules:
- General economic based on relationships in Alberta Finance's long-term economic projections
- developed from income statement and stated policy, linkages to general Public finance – projecting revenue and costs forward with relationships economic performance, and health care projections
- Health care (public and private) based on actuarial projections and Statistics Canada data
- Seventy assumptions to adjust where analysis requires
- Validated against:
- Key relationships remaining relatively steady over time when causal links were not impacted
- Results similar to BMO Special Report on "Alberta's Long-range Outlook" (January 4, 2006) for general economy and public finance

Note: All financials presented in calendar years

Baseline - Revenue and Expense

16	
20	

- 50% of provincial expenses are health care
- deficit and impacts Projected annual sustainability

assets positive for Net financial last year

Projected Fiscal Summary 2005 to 2025 Provincial Income Statement (Millions of Dollars)				O	urrent	Current Situation				
		2005		2010		2015		2020		2025
Revenue										
Personal provincial tax	↔	5,062	↔	7,162	↔	10,737	↔	15,893	↔	23,163
Business provincial tax	ક્ક	2,372	↔	2,633	↔	3,829	↔	5,537	↔	7,945
School property tax	↔	1,268	↔	1,417	↔	1,643	↔	1,905	↔	2,208
Other tax revenue	↔	1,920	↔	2,444	↔	3,347	s	4,557	\$	6,156
Resource revenue	↔	12,307	↔	10,568	↔	9,075	↔	7,793	↔	6,692
Investment income provincial revenue	S	1,404	↔	2,674	↔	3,068	↔	2,610	↔	897
Other own source revenue	↔	4,114	↔	5,238	↔	7,171	↔	9,762	€9	13,187
Federal government transfers	ક્ક	3,413	₩	4,409	↔	2,900	↔	7,895	↔	10,566
Provincial revenue	\$	31,859	\$	36,547	s	44,772	8	55,952	8	70,815


Expense										
AHW Expense	69.	8,879	69	13,733	69.	20,260	69.	29,489	69.	43,072
Health (other programs)	69.	771	69.	1,139	69	1,750	69.	2,880	69	5,080
Total Provincial health care funding	↔	9,650	↔	14,872	↔	22,009	↔	32,369	↔	48,152
Education	↔	6,482	↔	7,922	↔	9,711	↔	11,828	↔	14,296
Social services	↔	2,530	↔	3,140	↔	3,848	↔	4,687	↔	5,665
Other expense	↔	6,298	↔	7,139	↔	8,751	↔	10,659	↔	12,883
Debt servicing cost	↔	317	↔	251	↔	251	↔	251	↔	251
Total Provincial Expense	↔	25,277	↔	33,323	↔	44,570	↔	59,794	↔	81,247
Assets										
Net Financial Assets beginning of year	↔	16,580	↔	39,056	↔	46,947	↔	37,770	↔	3,509
Capital Assets beginning of year	ક્ક	10,640	↔	12,850	↔	15,224	↔	17,976	↔	21,167
Net Assets beginning of year	ક્ક	27,220	\$	51,907	ક્ક	62,171	₩	55,746	ક્ક	24,676

Increase in private sector costs largely associated with high prescription drug cost inflation

to 2025				ċ	rrar	Current Situation	ü			
gu:				3	5					
# N										
The state of the s		2002		2010		2015		2020		2025
Alberta Health and Wellness	s	8,879	s	13,733	↔	20,260	↔	29,489	₩	43,072
Other departments \$	€>	771	€	1,139	↔	1,750	↔	2,880	↔	5,080
Total Health Care Public Funding	8	9,650	8	14,872	€	22,009	₩	32,369	₩	48,152
Private										
Insurer funding \$	69	1,984	€₽-	4,077	8	7,021	↔	10,591	↔	15,998
Out-of-pocket \$	s	1,192	↔	2,277	↔	3,690	↔	5,462	↔	7,956
Total Health Care Private Funding \$\\\$	8	3,176	8	6,354	8	10,711	8	16,053	4	23,953
Total Health Care Cost	8	12,827 \$	\$	21,226	↔	32,721	8	48,422	↔	72,105
Prescription drugs cost \$	es	2,735	€₽	5,698	8	9,316	8	13,697	↔	20,008
Continuing care cost \$	€9	1,263	€₽	1,749	↔	2,269	s	3,196	↔	4,674
Non-emergency cost \$	s	4,714	€	7,378	8	11,454	↔	17,793	↔	27,726
Supplementary health cost \$	S	1,830	€	3,621	S	6,221	↔	9,402	↔	14,241
Other health care \$	es.	1,900	s	2,317	€	2,929	↔	3,710	↔	4,708
Total Health Care Cost \$	&	12,827 \$	\$	21,226	s	32,721	s	48,422	S	72,105
Total Reserves	€.		++		€5	'	€.	1	€.	

GDP Growth Impact


- Baseline assumption is for GDP growth of 2.5% per year (conservative)
- If a 3.5% per year rate is assumed, overall provincial budget is sustainable through the projection period of 2025




Other Policy Implications

- to savings. How and where the reserve is invested can substantially impact Large scale pre-funding through increased premiums, shifts consumption overall economic performance
- require a dramatic increase to the workforce unless productivity rates Growing demand for continuing care and non-emergency health will increase substantially
- Since the working population will grow at a slower rate, there is potential for shortages and increased wage pressures
- Specific design elements of insurance plans and policies can shift the cost burden between various stakeholders as desired by policy objectives

47




Final Comments



Final Comments

- Insurance does not intrinsically make health care sustainable through cost reduction, but it supports:
- Competition between health care providers on cost and quality
- Pre-funding to reduce the 'peak' cost burden
- Financial mechanisms to encourage healthier lifestyles and reduced health care usage
- Sustainability requires implementation of cost control measures, ideally done through increasing productivity
- Where an insurance plan transfers risk between the private and public sectors, careful consideration of impacts and potential unintended consequences is necessary
- Consumer choice increases with multiple insurers, each offering different coverage to meet different market needs





29 MARCH 2006

This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department of Alberta Health and Wellness




Table of Contents

1.	1. Introduction	1-1
	j Overview	1-2
	ii Project Deliverables	1-2
	Conceptual Models	1-2
	Actuarial Models	1-3
	Economic Model	1-3
	iii Projection Uncertainties	1-3
	Corrective Model Design	1-3
	Inflation	1-4
	iv Policy Neutrality	1-4
2	2. Conceptual Models	2-1
	i Overview	2-2
	ii Conceptual Models	2-2
	iii Evaluation Criteria	2-2
	Personal Responsibility	2-3
	Efficient Care	2-3
	Cost Control and Sustainability	2-3
	iv Preliminary Comments	2-4
	Health Insurance	2-4
	Health Care Providers and Delivery	2-5
	Community Rating	2-6
	Risk Pooling	2-6
	Cost Management	2-7


	Pre-Funding	. 2-9
	Health Savings Account	2-11
>	Mandatory Public Health Insurance2	2-12
	Government of Alberta	2-12
	Private Sector	2-12
	Plan Choice	2-13
	Administrative Structure	2-13
	Canada Health Act	2-13
	Transparency of Government Support	2-13
	Cost Control	2-13
Z	Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental	
	Coverage2	2-13
	Government of Alberta	2-13
	Private Sector	2-14
	Regulation	2-14
	Plan Choice	2-15
	Administrative Structure	2-15
	Canada Health Act	2-15
	Transparency of Government Support	2-15
	Cost Control	2-15
Ξ	Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Replacement	
	Coverage	2-16
	Government of Alberta	2-16
	Private Sector	2-16
	Plan Choice	2-17
	Administrative Structure	2-17
	Canada Health Act	2-17
	of Government Support	2-17
		2-17
Z	Insurance with Public Premium Pooling	2-18
	Government of Alberta	61-7

		Private Sector	2-19
		Regulation	2-19
		Plan Choice	2-20
		Administrative Structure	2-20
		Canada Health Act	2-20
		Transparency of Government Support	2-20
		Cost Control	2-20
	٠×	Mandatory Private Health Insurance	2-20
		Plan Choice	2-21
		Administrative Structure	2-21
		Canada Health Act	2-21
		Transparency of Government Support	2-21
		Cost Control	2-22
	×	Optional Private Health Insurance	2-22
		Regulation	2-23
		Plan Choice	2-23
		Administrative Structure	2-23
		Canada Health Act	2-23
		Transparency of Government Support	2-23
		Cost Control	2-23
	Σ	Conceptual Model Summary	2-24
	ijΧ	Conceptual Model Summary continued	2-25
ω,	9	Prescription Drugs	3
		Overview	. 3-2
	:=	Baseline Projection	. 3-2
		Current Cost Growth Unsustainable	. 3-2
		Revised Baseline	. 3-3
		Model Assumptions	. 3-6
		Methodology	. 3-6
		Population Sensitivity Analysis	3-12
		Cost Control Implementation Speed Sensitivity	3-13

		2005-2015	4-4
		2016-2040	4-4
		After 2040	4-4
		Alternative Payer Approaches	4-5
		Population Sensitivity	4-5
		Need for Mandatory Insurance	4-7
	∷	Mandatory Public Health Insurance4	4-7
		Cost Projections	4-7
		Pre-Funding	4-8
		Sustainability4-	4-10
		Opportunity for Choice	4-10
		Opportunity to Link to Behaviour4	4-10
		Conclusions 4-	4-10
	.≥	Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental	
		Coverage4-	4-10
		Cost Projections4	4-11
		Sustainability4-11	-11
		Opportunity for Choice	4-12
		Opportunity to Link to Behaviour4	4-12
		Conclusions 4	4-12
	>	Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling 4-	4-13
		Cost Projections4	4-13
		Sustainability4	4-14
		Opportunity for Choice 4-	4-14
		Opportunity to Link to Behaviour4	4-15
		Implementation 4	4-15
		Conclusions 4	4-15
	Ż.	Mandatory Private Health Insurance	4-15
	Νij	Optional Private Health Insurance	4-16
5.		Non-Emergency Health	5-1
		Overview	5-2

5-3 5-3 5-43 5-15 5-15 5-15	5-17 5-19 5-20 5-21 5-21 5-21	6-3 6-3 6-3 6-3 6-8 6-8 6-8 6-8 6-8
ii Summary of Findings. Baseline Analysis Costs Mandatory Public Health Insurance Key Cost Drivers Sustainability Opportunity for Choice Conclusions iv Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage Dishic Services.	Public Services Private Services. V Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling Key Cost Drivers Sustainability Opportunity for Choice Conclusions	6. Supplemental Health. i Overview. ii Summary of Findings. Baseline Analysis Costs iii Mandatory Public Health Insurance Key Cost Drivers Sustainability Opportunity for Choice Opportunity to Link Behaviour. Sensitivity Testing.

iv Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage Key Cost Drivers. Sustainability Opportunity for Choice Opportunity for Choice Opportunity for Choice Opportunity for Link Behaviour. Sensitivity Testing Conclusions v Mandatory Private Health Insurance. Key Cost Drivers Sustainability Opportunity for Choice Opportunity for Link Behaviour. Sensitivity Testing Conclusions 7. Economic Analysis. i Model Overview. Primary Data Sources. ii Baseline Analysis. Prescription Data Sources Sustainability Other Program Cost Impact on Sustainability Other Program Cost Impact on Sustainability iii Selected Insurance Plan Impacts Prescription Drugs.	ce with Private Supplemental 6-9 6-9 6-9 9 10-8 6-1 6-1 6-12 6-12 6-12 6-12 6-12 6-12 6
Econo ii Bass iii Sele	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Econo i Mar ii Bas	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Fcono i Moc iii Sele	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Econo i Moc ii Bas	6-11 6-12 6-12 6-12 6-12
Econo i Moc ii Bas	6-12 6-12 6-12 6-12
Econo i Moc ii Bas iii Sele	6-12 6-12 6-12
Econo i Moc ii Bas	6-12
Econo i Moc iii Bas	6-12
Econo i Moc ii Bas	
Econo i Moc ii Bas iii Sele	6-13
Moo Bas Selk	.7
Bas	7-2
Bas	2-3
Sele	7-3
Sele	2-3
Sele	7-4
Sele	7-5 ding
Sele	9-2
	Sustainability7-7
Prescription Drugs	6-7
:	6-2
Continuing Care	6-2
Non-Emergency Health	7-10
iv Other Comments	7-10
v Methodology Overview	7-12



Introduction

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Overview

In an effort to improve Albertans' access to quality health care, Alberta's health system changed considerably during the past decade. Stakeholders have worked together and have accomplished a great deal. However, there are still important challenges to address. In response to these challenges, Alberta has announced the Third Way. Overall goals of the Third Way include:

- Improving the health of Albertans;
- Improving access to health care services;
- Providing quality health care services;
- Offering choices to Albertans; and
- Ensuring sustainability of the health care system.

The Health Benefit Design Options project supports Alberta's Third Way initiative. This document provides actuarial and economic projections of insurance models for subsequent policy analysis by government. Models and projections were developed for the following health benefit groups:

- Prescription drugs;
- Continuing care;
- Non-emergency health care; and
- Supplemental health care products and services.

Project Deliverables

The overall goal of the Health Benefit Design Options project is to explore strategies to contain public health expenditure growth and provide the opportunity for Albertans to have increased access and choice with respect to health care services.

More specifically, the project explores alternative financing models for health services by:

- Creating conceptual models for health services insurance;
- Conducting actuarial analysis of the new models; and:
- Assessing the economic impact of each model.

Conceptual Models

Conceptual models of insurance plans in this study were developed to illustrate some of the options available to the Government of Alberta. They represent a variety of different funding and benefit structures and different approaches to introduce insurance principles into the Alberta health care system.

The conceptual models are based, in part, on the experiences in other provinces and countries (e.g., Quebec, Australia, Germany and the Netherlands), the private sector, and even other types of insurance (e.g., auto insurance). All models are adapted to reflect the specific needs of the Alberta health care system.

Actuarial Models

The actuarial model and analysis describes the capacity of the current and forecasted Alberta population to cover costs of insurance plans identified during conceptual model development.

Also included in the actuarial model is a funding model that tests assumptions and plan design elements specific to each model. This is a core tool for sensitivity testing. For those specific insurance plans deemed material, and of interest to the Government of Alberta, the impact is modelled where there is sufficient reliable data available.

Economic Model

The economic model is a simulation of the current and forecasted Alberta health care system. It integrates the demographic, utilization and cost data in the actuarial model with:

- Health sector model of funding and labour use;
- Public sector projections based on the functional health structure in the Province's budget; and
- A general economic model derived from Alberta Finance's long-term projections.

Projection Uncertainties

The long-term nature of the projections magnifies the uncertainty inherent in any actuarial and economic projection. While every reasonable effort has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the projections, it is important to note that the reliability of very long-term projections is somewhat limited.

Nevertheless, the funding issues surrounding health care related to demographic shifts are relatively predictable. These shifts are also sufficiently large that early planning is required.

Corrective Model Design

To minimize the impact of statistical uncertainty, assumptions have been linked together where possible. For example, where an assumption proves invalid, it will impact both costs and revenues, minimizing the relative difference between alternatives – if one option is superior to another, the invalidated assumption will not change the analysis, even if the precise calculation and forecasts require revision.

Similarly, the economic modelling has been done as a simulation, rather than a traditional multivariate projection, as the policy changes and potential for changes in causal relationships are too high to ignore.

Inflation


America and the Organization for Economic Co-operation the largest source of uncertainty. Health care spending in t is not possible to separate the policy and market forces Alberta has more than doubled in the last ten years, after remaining relatively stable during the previous ten years. Projected inflation rates for health care service costs are from the rapid increase, nor to assess precisely how the sustainability becomes increasingly at risk in North medical inflation rate will change as health care and Development (OECD) countries.

Conference Board of Canada's recent study on Ontario's and projecting them forward is not a useful process. The Indeed, the current inflation trends are not sustainable, health care system also found it necessary to trend the inflation costs down from current levels (even where there is no evidence that there are management: initiatives to justify the assumption). Without this adjustment, health care is unsustainable.

Policy Neutrality


There is no intention that the projections and analysis in demographic, health service utilization, cost projections policies to the Government of Alberta. Projections and neutral. This report is limited to a technical analysis of analysis are, to the extent possible, value and policy his document recommend any specific or general and other economic factors.

The study represents the opinions of the authors who are solely responsible for its contents. It does not represent This study was funded by Alberta Health and Wellness. government policy.



Conceptual Models

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Overview

This section provides a variety of different conceptual models of insurance plans for the Government of Alberta to consider. Each model represents a different funding and benefit insurance structure for providing insurance in the Alberta Health Care System.

Each conceptual model:

- Can stand alone or be combined with any other plan selected for other health services groups;
- Has no implementation interdependencies between other conceptual models presented in this report (although there may be scale or other efficiencies associated with implementing more than one model at a time);
- Provides for the continued delivery of health services programs for all eligible Alberta residents, regardless of their ability to pay;
- Is supported by actuarial models that describe the costs of the health services, both to government and to participating insured persons, over time, and according to a forecasted demographic description of the Alberta population; and
- Simplifies the complexity of an actual health insurance system with many operational mechanisms not fully represented.

Conceptual Models

Six conceptual models were identified through collaborative evaluation against overarching policy objectives for more detailed investigation for at least one health benefit group:

- Mandatory Public Health Insurance;
- Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage;
- Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Replacement Coverage;
- Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling;
- Mandatory Private Health Insurance; and
- Optional Private Health Insurance.

Evaluation Criteria

Each conceptual model was evaluated on how effectively it promotes the province of Alberta's health care objectives. Three classes of objectives were identified for the purposes of this project:

- Personal responsibility;
- Efficient care; and
- Cost control.

2-2

Personal Responsibility

The models were evaluated based on the degree to which each insurance plan conceptual model encourages citizens to take personal responsibility for their health and the outcomes (lifestyle and financial) associated with personal decisions.

Note that factors beyond an individual's control (such as family history of disease) would not be included in this evaluation of plan effectiveness, even if they impact an individual's risk levels.

Efficient Care

The conceptual models were assessed on their ability to promote efficient delivery of health care. This is largely related to how well each specific conceptual model:

- Promotes the use of cost-effective treatment by promoting, for example, positive health outcomes and wellness, with minimal cost; and
- Reduces unnecessary or not cost-effective treatment (e.g., shopping for diagnoses, substitution of more expensive drugs where there is no clinical evidence of better outcomes).

To this end, the ability to promote improved operational management and competitive pressures is a significant advantage for any of the insurance plan conceptual

Cost Control and Sustainability

Models were also evaluated based on their ability to control rising health care costs. Specifically, how well do plans limit the demand and increase the supply of health care services?

Assessment of conceptual models includes both direct and indirect impact.

- Direct impact How does the contribution of an insurance plan (based on a conceptual model) directly impact cost savings? These savings are intrinsic to the operation of the plan.
- Indirect impact What cost control mechanisms can be implemented if this conceptual model is in place? Essentially, how does the model's structure or characteristics allow for other cost control programs?

Furthermore, the conceptual models need to reduce long-term health care costs and support sustainability in the following ways:

- Public health care costs should not grow excessively, threatening the ability of the Government of Alberta to support other provincial programs.
- Total public and private health care costs should not grow excessively to threaten the economic well being of Albertans. The proportion of total

spending devoted to health care should remain as close to current levels as possible.

population groups that currently receive support from the province of Alberta (e.g., children living in poverty or the Finally, a conceptual model's cost control mechanisms are only acceptable if they do not disadvantage disabled).

Preliminary Comments

The conceptual models share a number of common characteristics and properties discussed below.

Health Insurance

the basic principles of health insurance, paying premiums they have a number of common attributes. In this section, As the conceptual models are all health insurance plans, and the effect of competition are discussed.

What is health insurance?

services (e.g., filling a drug prescription to treat illness).2 individuals, limiting each member's maximum financial Health insurance spreads risk across a large group of exposure related to the provision of necessary health

The following statements illustrate how this works.

- For individuals, the timing and need for health care services over a lifetime is largely uncertain.
- The cost of providing health care services is also uncertain in terms of timing and magnitude. This

requires very costly health care would be unable creates a potential risk that an individual who to pay health care costs.

- However, for a large group, such as the population of Alberta, the timing, need and cost of health services are relatively predictable.
- Health insurance allows payment of premiums into medical services as needed. Since the cost of a funding pool that is used to pay for covered health care services for the pool is relatively predictable, everyone shares risk.

How can everyone afford to pay premiums?

several techniques available to ensure that the collected premiums are sufficient to cover the anticipated cost of processes, each with different implications. There are Premiums are collected using a number of different health care:

- those members of society without the means or Governments can pay premiums on behalf of capacity to make payments on their own;
- Other income support plans may be adjusted to incorporate the need to pay health insurance premiums; and
- taxable income. If you do not earn taxable income, Those with higher income levels contribute more, then you do not pay health insurance premiums. Health insurance premiums can be linked to

2-4

in a manner similar to the income tax system. This particular method for calculating a public sector insurance premium is the most common approach where the public sector is involved in providing health insurance.

Premium Types

Health insurance premiums in different countries are structured in different ways to meet the requirements of local policies. Three general types were identified:

- Fixed dollar premium a standard rate (e.g., \$750 per year) is levied to provide health insurance coverage;
- Percentage of taxable income the health insurance premium is based on taxable income (e.g., 1%) and may, or may not, have a maximum amount; and
- Progressive rate of taxable income the health insurance premium is based on taxable income, but the rate increases as income rises (e.g., 1% for taxable income below \$40,000 and 2% on taxable income above \$40,000). There may, or may not, be a maximum amount of premium paid.

The collection of health insurance premiums may require adjustments to other provincial revenue streams to balance the effects of new income sources.

Projections in this study rely on the total premium collected and do not evaluate the specific policy implications of the different premium types.

Health Care Providers and Delivery

The conceptual models identified in this study are suitable for implementation in environments with different health care delivery ownership structures. Accordingly, each conceptual health insurance model could be implemented with health care providers owned by the public sector or private sector.

An insurance provider optimizing the cost-benefit of premiums and health services focuses on measures of service effectiveness (cost, efficiency, quality and similar performance attributes), rather than ownership. If the ownership structure impacts cost effectiveness, it has a material impact on health costs and premiums.

Effect of Competition

Competition between health insurance providers takes place on the following levels:

- Premium rates;
- Services and procedures covered;
- Speed of care delivery; and
- Flexibility and choice.

To the extent that health insurance carriers can make individual supplier arrangements with a variety of health care providers, they are better able to offer a wide range

of plans, each offering different attributes more targeted to the needs of specific population segments.³

For example, an insurance provider could contract with a specialty diagnostic lab and guarantee an MRI within 72 hours from a specialist's request. This would be offset by the need for the patient to visit a specific lab. Consumers would then be able to make choices between plans such as this, and others that offer greater flexibility in selecting providers, but have longer wait times.

Therefore, if there are only public-sector health care providers (or only public-sector providers for a large group of health services), it is difficult to create a range of insurance plans as there is likely limited variation in health service delivery.

Community Rating

Within the context of health insurance, community rating means that an insurance company must charge the same premium rate for a given level of service, regardless of age, sex or health status. Essentially, all adults pay the same amount for the same benefits (subject to linking premiums to income level, where everyone at the same income level would pay the same premium).⁴

All of the conceptual models where insurance coverage is mandatory (i.e., everyone in the population must participate) can accommodate community rating as an attribute of specific insurance plan design.

This approach does not generally work for plans where coverage is optional, as individuals who are more likely to

need health services and make claims are more likely to purchase insurance. Those in low risk groups generally take on lower levels of coverage. The net effect is to increase the cost of insurance to those most likely to need it 5

Unlike auto or life insurance, individual attributes such as age, sex, health or past claims do not affect premium rates. Thus, while ensuring equal premiums and shifting costs across the entire population, community rating precludes setting premiums in a manner that encourages wellness and healthy lifestyles (which in turn reduce the overall health care costs).

One example for using community rating would be to ensure that the differential cost of health services between genders does not create different premium costs. Simply, without community rating an insurer would offer different rates to men and women. As noted above, to effectively price a flat insurance rate for the entire Alberta population regardless of gender, an insurance plan should be mandatory.

Risk Pooling

Where public policy requires community rating or a limited number of individual attributes for setting premiums, there is a risk that private insurers will 'select' their clients. That is, the insurer seeks to obtain clients with a risk profile that is lower than is commensurate with the premium charged. This will increase profitability for the insurer

To minimize the effect of this, and focus competition on providing cost-effective insurance with appropriate consumer choice, risk pooling could be introduced for the mandatory private health insurance models. Essentially, a funding pool is created and those insurers with lower risk are required to pay into the pool, and those with higher risk clients are entitled to take funds out. This approach, or a variant on it, is currently used in several countries, including Switzerland and the Netherlands.

Cost Management

Insurance providers use a number of techniques to manage health costs. There are two common techniques that can be used with any of the conceptual models – cost sharing and treatment protocols.

Cost Sharing

Cost sharing means that claimants must make payments towards the insured health services they use. Copayments and deductibles are two forms of cost sharing. Insurance providers use these cost sharing techniques to ensure that claimants are aware of the cost associated with health care, and to limit unnecessary demand by making the claimant partially responsible for payment of the health service.⁶

While similar in principle, the two techniques differ in

- Co-payments a claimant pays either a
 percentage of the cost or a fixed fee each time
 they receive a health service and;
- Deductibles the claimant pays up to a certain amount, making the claimant the first payer. The insurance provider does not pay for the health service until the costs exceed the deductible value.

These mechanisms may be further modified by introducing different minimum and maximum payment levels. For example, some plans specify that total copayments or deductibles paid cannot exceed a defined maximum in a specific year.

Note that for health services included in the *Canada Health Act*, co-payments and deductibles may attract penalties or the withholding of transfer payments.⁷

The effectiveness of co-payments and deductibles is controversial in the health care arena. Proponents suggest that co-payments and deductibles reduce citizens' sense of entitlement toward health care, limiting demand and placing greater responsibility on individuals to adopt healthy lifestyles. They encourage people to only use the health care system when there is an appropriate need. Without cost sharing, people tend to overuse health care as it is inexpensive to access, but expensive to deliver. Critics use research evidence to suggest that these objectives are not reached in practice, and although health care demand is reduced through co-

payments, it fails to target the kind of health care use that strains the system. For example, patients with colds that are untreatable by physicians tend to reduce their use of the health care system in the same proportion as patients with hypertension, who should be seeking urgent medical attention.⁸

Treatment Protocols

Insurance providers can use treatment protocols for specific health services to standardize medical care, raise quality of care, reduce risks and balance cost and medical outcomes. These protocols often consist of a set of decision points and recommended (or mandated) choices for specific courses of treatment.

From the cost control perspective, initial treatment is often the lowest cost/best-outcome combination. If initial treatment is inappropriate or ineffective, there is a list of successive options to consider. Taken together the protocols attempt to optimize the trade-offs between cost and effectiveness.

On one hand, health care providers often resist these protocols on the grounds that only the attending professional has all of the facts available to make a decision and select a course of treatment that best meets the needs of the specific patient.

On the other hand, health care professionals face difficulties remaining up-to-date on all aspects of health care. There is also a disconnect between prescribing

treatments and paying for care – ultimately, medical professionals may be unable to make the cost-effective decisions required to keep the health care system as a whole sustainable.⁹


Cost Impacts

Where a conceptual model has a private sector component, there is a cost impact. Although the impact varies somewhat for each insurance model and health service, these can be summarized as:

- Increasing costs due to requirement for return on capital and profit, and
- Decreasing costs associated with higher productivity associated with trend to increase capital use in the private sector.

Pre-funding refers to a saving or investment strategy that works in conjunction with an insurance plan to minimize the effect of rising premiums associated with inflation, increased health service utilization and an aging population.10

Pre-funding refers to a saving or investment strategy that minimizes the effect of rising premiums associated with inflation, increased health service utilization and an aging population.




rising health care costs exceed the premiums collected, funds in the reserve can be investment income and additional surplus premiums. Then, at a future point when Essentially, pre-funding collects more premiums and other income than there are anticipated costs, creating a reserve. Over time, this reserve grows through used to pay costs, minimizing the effect of rising costs.


The above pre-funding example shows how the rate of increase in costs is higher than the increase in premiums. Indeed, while costs nearly double in the example, the premiums rise by only 20% as the reserve and subsequent investment income fund a portion of costs once premiums are unable to do so. Thus, although costs

are not contained in the example, the "spike" in costs is not as significant a disruption as would otherwise be the case.

Aging Population

Pre-funding is particularly significant where there is an aging population and the proportion of the working population is declining relative to those not working (e.g., retired persons). Based on updated and extended Alberta Finance population projections, the number of workers per retired person will decline from 5.2 to between 1.9 and 3.3 by 2050.¹¹





- The per capita cost of health care increases as the population ages (older people use more medical services); and
- Fewer workers reduce the component of provincial revenue growth correlated with employment.

Accordingly, there is a trend of increasing health care costs and reduced revenue growth, which may increase significantly should natural resource incomes decline materially after 2025. In turn, this could impose a dramatically higher financial burden on the post baby boomers to support the health care costs of the previous generation. Indeed, as the demographic bulge (currently between 45 and 62 years old) levels off, subsequent generations would face a relatively lower financial burden.

Pre-funding provides an opportunity to reduce the payment growth rate and allow the baby boom generation to increase contributions to their future health care costs (and reduce the proportionate burden on the following generation).¹²

Health Savings Account

A Health Savings Account (HSA) is a tax advantaged savings plan that allows individuals to deposit money to pay for current and future medical expenses. Generally, money is deposited to the account prior to paying tax, and the account may be drawn upon to pay for medical expenses on a tax free basis. These typically include:


- Full payment of uninsured health services; and
- Co-payments and deductibles for those services covered by insurance.

All of the conceptual models described in this document may be used in conjunction with a Health Savings Account.

29 MARCH 2006

2-11

This plan centres on a single government run, or owned, health insurance provider. Operationally, the insurance plan is set up and run in a manner similar to a private insurer, without needing to generate a profit (unless there is a policy decision to do so).



The core element of the conceptual model is the common funding pool, which is used to pay ongoing costs and create a reserve (for risk management or pre-funding anticipated expenses). Funding sources could include:

Government of Alberta

- General revenue; and
- Health funding from the federal government.

Private Sector

- Individuals are typically the primary contributors to the funding pool. Their contributions could be offset by a reduction in income/other taxes and the current Alberta Health Care Insurance Premium.
- Employers could be required to pay a portion of the premium (this decision may account for the level of funding currently provided by employers for the specific group of insured health services).

Premiums directly from the private sector can be either flat fee or income based. As noted previously, flat fees enable universality with government support for those with low incomes or limited wealth.

Since this model assumes a single government-controlled purchaser of health care (unless individuals can purchase health care directly), provider fees will largely be set through negotiation and/or regulation.

Choice is limited, as the provider often resembles a monopoly. Although there is no conceptual limitation that prevents offering different plans with a variety of coverage levels, no structural pressure exists to encourage the insurance provider to offer choice.

Administrative Structure

Mandatory public health insurance has a centralized administrative process with a single premium collector and one manager of health care providers.

Canada Health Act

There is no profit element in mandatory public health insurance. Plan design elements, such as cost sharing, could attract penalties.

Transparency of Government Support

Transparency is variable – premiums are distinct from other government support to health care and variations in premiums could be clearly linked to changes in government support (e.g., reduction in federal health transfers directly increases premiums).

Cost Control

Predictability

The Government of Alberta can determine the total level of health care spending in the province. Although limiting

this can impact quality and quantity of care, there is an absolute ability to prevent an undue rise in total costs.

Competitive Pressure

As the mandatory public health insurance model is monopolistic, there are no competitive pressures on plan costs.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage

This type of plan offers two choices of health insurance:

- Mandatory health insurance via a publicly run insurance plan; and
- Optional private insurance plan as a supplement to public insurance.

The public plan provides universal coverage, but citizens have the option of purchasing additional private insurance from a range of providers to ensure supplemental benefits, including faster or additional services. The core element of the public plan is a common funding pool (as in the mandatory public plan), which is used to pay the ongoing costs and create a reserve (for risk management or pre-funding anticipated expenses). Funding sources could include:

Government of Alberta

- General revenue; and
- Health funding from the federal government.

2-13

Private Sector

- Individuals are typically the primary contributors to the funding pool. Their contributions could be offset by a reduction in income/other taxes, and the current Alberta Health Care Insurance Premium.
- Employers could be required to pay a portion of the premium (this decision may account for the level of funding currently provided by employers for the specific group of insured health services).

The supplemental plans are paid exclusively through individual or employer private sector premiums, including:

- Individuals who decide they would like to purchase insurance allowing access to a different level of coverage than that in the standard universal plan; and
- Employers that wish to provide employees with additional insurance coverage, to ensure enhanced medical services.

Note that where there is a small set of niche services available for supplemental coverage, it may be difficult to attract a significant level of insurance providers. This scenario assumes that only a small number of consumers will take supplemental health insurance if the range of services covered is small, for the majority will seek coverage only when the risk is realized and the private carrier will not provide coverage (i.e., the illness manifests itself and coverage would be denied for the pre-existing condition).¹³

Regulation

Private plans may require regulation or oversight for solvency and coverage levels. Private plans may also require a community rate or individual premiums based on health risk assessments.

For publicly provided services, choice is limited by a monopolistic provider. There is no structural pressure encouraging the insurance provider to offer choice.

Private services would operate in a fully competitive environment, and one would expect a range of choice commensurate with market size and variability in customer needs.

Administrative Structure

The public insurance administration is centralized, with a single premium collector and one purchaser of health care services.

In contrast, the private supplemental insurance administration is decentralized, with multiple channels for sales and collecting premiums and multiple purchasers of health care services.

Canada Health Act

There is no profit element for the mandatory public health insurance. Plan design elements, such as cost sharing, could attract penalties.

Transparency of Government Support

Transparency is variable. For the public element of the plan, premiums are distinct from other government support to health care and variations in premiums could be clearly linked to changes in government support (e.g., reduction in federal health transfers increases premiums

directly). Private costs would be clear (and direct) to the extent that they are paid directly (rather than through an employer or other group).

Cost Control


Predictability

The Government of Alberta can determine the mandatory level of health care spending in the province, but the supplemental portion will be subject to supply and demand pressures as would any other consumer service.

Competitive Pressure

The monopolistic nature of the public plan leaves the possibility for competitive pressures on the mandatory portion of plan costs. Supplemental services would have full competition on prices and service delivery.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Replacement Coverage



This type of plan provides a core level of universal health insurance through a publicly run insurance plan. However, citizens have the choice to replace this coverage with private insurance that meets a defined minimum level of coverage.

This type of plan offers universal coverage and allows for community rates, providing rates are supported by

regulation. Variation in group pricing such as those offered by employers, is also an option.

The core element of the public plan is a common funding pool (as in the mandatory public plan), which is used to pay the ongoing costs and create a reserve (for risk management or pre-funding anticipated expenses). Funding sources could include:

Government of Alberta

- General revenue; and
- Health funding from the federal government.

Private Sector

- Individuals are typically the primary contributors to the funding pool. Their contributions could be offset by a reduction in income/other taxes, and the current Alberta Health Care Insurance Premium.
- To offset the potential issue of high-income earners selecting private insurance, privately provided replacement coverage does not need to result in a complete exemption from premiums.
- Employers could be required to pay a portion of the premium (this decision may account for the level of funding currently provided by employers for the specific group of insured health services).

The replacement plans would be paid through individual or employer private sector premiums, including:

 Employers that wish to provide employees with additional insurance coverage, to ensure enhanced medical services.

Plan Choice

Choice in finding a suitable insurance plan would be relatively high as insurance carriers seek to attract business.

Administrative Structure

The public insurance administration is centralized, with a single premium collector and one purchaser of health care services.

The private supplemental insurance administration is decentralized, with multiple channels for sales and collecting premiums and multiple purchasers of health care services.

Canada Health Act

Alignment with the CHA principles is dependent on the specific plan design and funding processes. Plan design elements, such as cost sharing and multiple levels of coverage, could attract penalties.

Transparency of Government Support

Transparency is variable. Premiums are distinct from other government support to health care and variations in premiums could be clearly linked to changes in government support (e.g., reduction in federal health transfers increases premiums directly). For private costs, there is no government support.

Cost Control

Predictability


The Government of Alberta can determine the mandatory level of health care spending in the province, but the replacement portion will be subject to supply and demand pressures as would any other consumer service. This may increase total costs if the replacement insurance covers health care of higher quality (and price).

Several factors could drive higher income purchasers to private insurance, leaving the public system with a higher proportion of those unable to afford premiums. The overall impact would see an increase in the need for direct or indirect subsidies to the public plan. It is unclear, however, whether the total public funding for the "at risk" population would increase; specific plan design and funding policies would determine this. As noted previously, individuals selecting replacement coverage may be required to make some payments into the common public funding pool. Nevertheless, the funding

Competitive Pressure

The presence of private insurance providers fosters competitive pressure in the system. Subsidies to the public sector could distort the market by potentially reducing the size of the private sector, and thus limiting competitive pressure. This would be highly dependent on the actual relative pricing between plans.

Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling



With this conceptual model, health care insurance is provided through a privately managed plan, but with premiums provided through a common fund. A similar model was introduced in the Netherlands on January 1, 2006.

Public Providers

Private Providers 2-18

Participation in funding a common public funding pool is mandatory, although individuals and employers have the opportunity to purchase additional insurance beyond coverage funded by the pool. The public funding pool receives payments from both the Government of Alberta and the private sector.

Government of Alberta

- General revenue; and
- Health funding from the federal government.

Private Sector

- Individuals are typically the primary contributors to the funding pool. Their contributions could be offset by a reduction in income/other taxes, and the current Alberta Health Care Insurance Premium.
- Employers could be required to pay a portion of the premium (this decision may account for the level of funding currently provided by employers for the specific group of insured health services).
 In the Netherlands this level of contribution was set quite high, and there has been a very negative reaction from the private sector who view this as a hidden tax.

Additional coverage could be provided with individual and employer private sector premiums, including:

- Individuals who decide they would like to purchase insurance allowing access to a different level of coverage than that in the standard universal plan;
- Employers that wish to provide employees with additional insurance coverage, to ensure enhanced medical services.

Payment from the public common funding pool to individual providers is based on either the:

- Risk rating of the specific pool of clients; or
- Costs where the insurers act as administrators on behalf of the public plan.

Regulation

Private plans require regulation and oversight for solvency and coverage levels. The Government of Alberta can determine the mandatory level of health care spending in the province, but the additional coverage is subject to supply and demand pressures, as is any other consumer service.

Private insurers make fee and payment arrangements directly with health care providers, who may be either private or public.

Plan Choice

Choice in finding a suitable insurance plan would be relatively high as insurance carriers seek to attract business.

Administrative Structure

The administration for collecting premiums is centralized; the common funding pool collects all premiums, possibly in conjunction with the income tax system.

Administration of plans is decentralized, with multiple channels for sales and multiple purchasers of health care services.

Canada Health Act

Alignment with the CHA principles will depend heavily on the specific plan design and funding processes, particularly the profitability associated with health insurance risk. Also, plan design elements, such as cost sharing and multiple levels of coverage, could attract penalties or the withholding of transfer payments.

Transparency of Government Support

Transparency of government support is variable. For the public portion, premiums are distinct from other government support to health care and variations in premiums could be clearly linked to changes in government support (e.g., reduction in federal health transfers increases premiums directly). There is no public funding for private insurance beyond the core.

Cost Control

Predictability

The Government of Alberta can determine the mandatory level of health care spending in the province, but the supplemental portion will be subject to supply and demand pressures as would any other consumer service.

Competitive Pressure

Pressure exists on administration and health care delivery. Each insurer would like to provide better value for the same premium. To the extent that the insurer holds funds, cash management is a potential area of competitive pressure.

Mandatory Private Health Insurance

In this conceptual model, plan design and/or benefits are mandated by the government but the coverage is purchased directly by individuals or by employers acting on their behalf. Groups who are unable to obtain coverage would require financial support, which would be funded either from the public purse or levied in the form of extra charges to those who can afford coverage.

Without risk pooling regulation to prevent client selection, universal health coverage is difficult to provide with this model for individuals or groups who are high risk candidates. Although community rating is possible, it would require regulations to ensure that plan purchasers are offered coverage at the same rate regardless of the risk factors. This would increase the risk to the insurer, and there is a high likelihood that this would result in a higher level of premiums to offset this higher risk. Thus, for universal coverage to be practically implemented for this model, a publicly or privately managed risk sharing pool is required.

Plan Choice

Choice in finding a suitable insurance plan would be relatively high as insurance carriers seek to attract business.

Administrative Structure

The mandatory private plan model has decentralized administration with multiple channels for sales and collecting premiums and multiple purchasers of health care services. It would be possible for private insurers to adopt a common claim processing standard to minimize administrative costs.

Canada Health Act

There are several areas where this model may conflict with CHA's principles. However, international experience has shown that universal health care based on mandatory private insurance is possible (e.g., Switzerland).

Transparency of Government Support

Transparency would be limited, as only those receiving government support would be aware that their coverage is subsidized.

Predictability

This type of plan has limited ability to directly control cost, with the exception of the level of subsidies provided to various economically or health disadvantaged groups.

Sustainability of this program is variable. It is viable to the extent that market forces are able to contain costs. There is limited capacity to maintain sustainability if the private sector fails to adequately control risks. Sustainability is, to an extent, a function of the private carriers' success in building delivery networks.

Competitive Pressure

Pressure exists on administration, cash management and health care delivery – each insurer would like to provide better value for the same premium.

Cost control is also a function of the private carriers' success in building and managing networks of health care providers.


Optional Private Health Insurance

This is a type of plan where the purchaser negotiates the plan design. Coverage is optional and may be obtained from any private insurer on the market. Pricing is determined by competitive pressures, service delivery costs and profit expectations, as is currently the case with supplemental health insurance offered in Alberta.

Under this model, there is no universal coverage.

Community rating is not possible for consumers with higher risk characteristics as those requiring greater risk for insurance would force most insurers to materially raise the premiums to unaffordable levels.

Insofar as the basic requirements of insurance models set out for this study, there is limited scope for extending this type of insurance within Alberta.



Aon Consulting

Public Providers

Private Providers

For this model of insurance coverage, regulation is generally limited to solvency, as coverage is optional and there is often little perceived need to monitor corporate plans. Sustainability is variable insofar as those unable to pay do not have to purchase insurance.

Plan Choice

Choice in finding a suitable insurance plan would be relatively high as insurance carriers seek to attract business.

Administrative Structure

Optional private plans are decentralized, with multiple channels for sales and collecting premiums and multiple purchasers of health care services. It would be possible for private insurers to adopt a common claim processing standard to minimize administrative costs.

Canada Health Act

There are several areas where this model may conflict with CHA's principles.

Transparency of Government Support

Not applicable.

Cost Control

Predictability

Optional private coverage allows for limited ability to directly control costs, with the exception of the level of subsidies that are provided to various groups (e.g., low income).

Total health services spending is likely limited by market forces – if prices become too high, fewer consumers will purchase. That is, consumers may choose self-insurance, which could become costly in the long run.

Competitive Pressure

Pressure exists on administration, cash management and health care delivery – each insurer would like to provide better value for the same premium.

Cost control is also a function of the private carriers' success in building and managing networks of health care providers.

Aon Consulting 2-24

Conceptual Model Summary


Optional Private Health Insurance	Private Sector Individuals Employers	O Z	Limited to solvency	High	Decentralized	Dependent on plan design and public administration
Mandatory Private Health Insurance	Alberta government Private sector Individuals Employers	Requires risk shared pool	Required for all mandatory components of plans	High	Decentralized	Dependent on plan design and public administration
Mandatory Private Health Insurance With Public Premium Pooling	Alberta government Private sector Individuals Employers	Yes	Required for all mandatory components of plans	High	Collecting premiums centralized Administering plans decentralized	Dependent on plan design and public administration
Mandatory Public Health Insurance With Private Replacement Coverage	Alberta government Private sector Individuals Employers	Yes	Required for all mandatory components of plans	High	Public plan is centralized Private supplement is decentralized	Dependent on plan design
Mandatory Public Health Insurance With Private Supplemental Coverage	Alberta government Private sector Individuals Employers	Yes	Required for all mandatory components of plans	Limited for public plan High for private supplement is where market size sufficient	Public plan is centralized Private supplement is decentralized	Dependent on plan design
Mandatory Public Health Insurance	Alberta government Private sector Individuals Employers	Yes	Publicly run	Limited	Centralized	Dependent on plan design
Plan Attributes	Funding Sources	Universal Coverage	Regulation	Plan Choice	Administrative Structure	Canada Health Act

Conceptual Model Summary continued

Mandatory Private Optional Private Health Insurance Health Insurance	Not applicable	Limited ability to • Limited ability to control cost control cost	Competitive risk management, administration, service provision and cash management management
	Limited	• . <u>s.</u> D)	•
Mandatory Private Health Insurance With Public Premium Pooling	Variable	Public spending is predictable Supplemental coverage is unpredictable (market determined)	Competitive administration, service provision and cash management
Mandatory Public Health Insurance With Private Replacement Coverage	Variable	Public plan is predictable Replacement coverage is unpredictable (market determined)	Competitive system, potentially distorted by public subsidies
Mandatory Public Health Insurance With Private Supplemental Coverage	Variable	Public plan is predictable Private supplement is unpredictable (market determined)	Public plan – none Private supplement subject to supply and demand
Mandatory Public Health Insurance	Variable	Predictable	None
Plan Attributes	Transparency of Government Support	Predictability	Competitive Pressure

Prescription Drugs

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Overview

This section is an actuarial projection and analysis of prescription drug care in the province of Alberta. At present, such care is provided both publicly and privately. Public sources of drug care are:

- Alberta Health and Wellness sponsored drug plans;
- Other Alberta ministerial drug benefit programs;
- Alberta government-sponsored drug plans; and
- Indirect Government of Alberta drug spending.

Private sources are largely private insurance carriers and not-for-profit health insurance providers, but 27% of the provincial population are currently not insured under either a public or a private plan.

Analysis identified the primary cost drivers of prescription drugs as:

- Increased drug utilization;
- Drug cost inflation;
- Aging;
- Population growth; and
- Research, which is leading to the creation of new and often very expensive drugs.


Baseline Projection

Current Cost Growth Unsustainable

The rate of increase in Alberta's drug costs is currently around 18%, and the rate of increase is accelerating. A projection was first developed, based on these trends to determine whether this rate is supportable by the economy.

A projection was developed using a base 15% cost inflation rate. This 15% is before aging, population growth and increasing utilization. The base cost projections were then constructed by multiplying the applicable therapeutic class, age and gender cell costs by the projected population. In this scenario, the total public and private drug costs would exceed taxable income by 2036. This is clearly not sustainable.

Total public private costs exceed 100% of taxable This is not sustainable. income by 2036.



Revised Baseline


measures. It is assumed that the government will take the driver's seat and enforce a Accordingly, the baseline projections used in the actuarial modelling assume the current inflation rate decreases with the introduction of cost and demand control rational and optimal utilization of available drug budgets. The assumption that appropriate measures will be introduced is important, but is only valid if the Government of Alberta introduces measures.


modelling. See Considerations for Cost Control Measures later in this section. Assuming accelerated implementation of cost and demand control measures, including budget control constraints, the following was built into subsequent

3-3


population growth and aging) of provincial drug expenses is 18% per year until 2007; Under the constraints, the overall growth rate (that is, including inflation, utilization, then it decreases by 1.5% per annum until it reaches 8% in 2014 and subsequent years.

amounts paid by beneficiaries, out-of-pocket amounts paid by uninsured individuals taxable income by 2050, whereas total drug cost reaches 20%. In the graph below, programs (e.g., drugs for cancer, transplants and HIV) reaches 10% of projected On this basis, the projected total cost of the existing Government of Alberta drug 'Private" refers to employer-provided coverage, deductibles and co-insurance as well as costs of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs.





revenue by 2050. Since the public share happens to represent 50% of total drug costs The same projected costs, expressed in a percentage of projected provincial revenue, provincial revenue. Accelerated implementation of budget constraints is not expected (as seen in the preceding graph), the 2050 projected public costs represent 32% of presents an equally challenging view: total drug costs reach 65% of provincial to have sufficient impact to produce acceptable long-range results.



Cost growth with these assumptions is still unsupportable in the very long run and additional cost control measures are required

Total public and private costs reach 65% of provincial revenue by 2050.

Model Assumptions

All projections presented below are based on a level of minimum mandatory coverage that must apply to 100% of the Alberta population (except the Canadian Armed Forces, RCMP, federal inmates and others covered by federal government plans).

The minimum mandatory plan design tested includes the following key attributes:

- Current Non-Group benefits (with the current \$25,000 maximum benefit eliminated); and
- A maximum out-of-pocket payment of 3%, 4% and 5% of household taxable income. For this purpose, out-of-pocket amounts include only coinsurance paid on drugs covered by the current Non-Group formulary.

Methodology

To ensure that the entire Alberta population and their projected drug use were included in the cost projections, several sources of data were used to create a complete picture. The following provides a high-level description of how costs and demographic characteristics of populations were determined for both those currently entitled to the various programs as well as those not currently insured for prescription drugs. A more detailed description is contained in the section, Actuarial Methodology, appearing later in this report.

Costs

- Claims costs were established by therapeutic class, age and gender cell using detailed drug claims paid in Fiscal Year 2004-2005 for current beneficiaries of:
- Alberta Health and Wellness sponsored drug plans;
- Other Alberta ministerial drug benefit programs;
- Alberta government-sponsored drug plans; and Indirect Government of Alberta drug spending.
- It was concluded, from a review of the Public Use Microdata File of the Canadian Community Health Survey Cycle 2.1 (2003), that the health characteristics (within a given age and gender cell) of those not currently insured for drugs could be assumed to be the same as those that are insured for prescription drugs. It was therefore assumed that, within each age and gender cell, the costs for the 27% of Albertans currently not insured by a public or private plan were the same as those of the average population.
- For those covered by employer contracts, therapeutic class, age and gender cell costs of a large employer plan were used. These costs were then multiplied by a factor so that total drug costs in Alberta in Fiscal Year 2004-2005 matched the amount derived from CIHI statistics.

Population

In a similar manner, population projections with income and age data were created from multiple sources. Partial demographic data was available for the population completed based on the assumption that it corresponded adjusted to match statistics provided by the Government to the population of claimants but the total was then covered by Alberta Health and Wellness. This was of Alberta.

- It was assumed that the demographic composition Seniors and Community Supports corresponds to of the population covered by AHRE and Alberta the population of claimants, but the total was adjusted to match statistics provided by the Government of Alberta.
- The demographics of the estimated 27% of the population not covered by existing drug plans were derived from the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS).
- The population covered by employer groups was determined by subtracting those covered above from the total population.
- provided by Statistics Canada and the Canada Personal and household income data was Revenue Agency.

Cost Control Assumptions

oudgetary cost controls as a level to limit prescription representing a different rate of implementation of Three different scenarios were modelled, each drug costs.

- assumed to be limited to 8% in 2008 and beyond. Under the Immediate scenario, growth was
- Under the Accelerated scenario, growth rates were scaled to reach 8% by 2014.
- Under the Gradual scenario, growth rates reached 8% by 2017.

Gradual Accelerated (%) Immediate (%) Gradual (%) (%) (%) (%) Gradual (%) 18.0 (%) (%) Gradual (%) 18.0 18.0 1.00 18.0 18.0 1.00 18.0 18.0 1.39 16.0 16.5 8.0 1.39 16.0 13.5 8.0 1.89 16.0 13.5 8.0 1.89 17.0 13.5 8.0 2.48 17.0 8.0 8.0 2.48 17.0 8.0 8.0 2.48 17.0 8.0 8.0 3.48 9.0 8.0 8.0 3.48 9.0 8.0 8.0 3.83 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.17 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.57 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.57 8.0 8.0 4.57 8.0 8.0 4.57)		1			
Gradual ² Accelerated ³ Immediate ⁴ Gradual 18.0 18.0 1.00 18.0 18.0 1.00 18.0 18.0 1.18 17.0 16.5 8.0 1.39 16.0 15.0 8.0 1.89 15.0 13.5 8.0 1.89 14.0 12.0 8.0 2.48 13.0 10.5 8.0 2.48 12.0 9.0 8.0 2.80 11.0 8.0 8.0 3.48 9.0 8.0 8.0 3.48 9.0 8.0 8.0 3.48 9.0 8.0 8.0 4.17 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.51 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.51 8.0 8.0 8.0 4.87 8.0 8.0 8.0 5.26		Implem	entation of Budget	Constraints ¹		Cumulative Costs	sts
18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 17.0 16.5 8.0 16.0 15.0 8.0 16.0 13.5 8.0 17.0 10.5 8.0 17.0 9.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	Year	Gradual ² (%)	Accelerated ³ (%)	Immediate ⁴ (%)	Gradual	Accelerated	Immediate
18.0 18.0 17.0 16.5 8.0 16.0 15.0 8.0 15.0 13.5 8.0 14.0 12.0 8.0 13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2006	18.0	18.0	18.0	1.00	1.00	1.00
17.0 16.5 8.0 16.0 15.0 8.0 15.0 13.5 8.0 14.0 12.0 8.0 13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2007	18.0	18.0	18.0	1.18	1.18	1.18
16.0 15.0 8.0 15.0 13.5 8.0 14.0 12.0 8.0 13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2008	17.0	16.5	8.0	1.39	1.39	1.39
15.0 13.5 8.0 14.0 12.0 8.0 13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2009	16.0	15.0	8.0	1.63	1.62	1.50
14.0 12.0 8.0 13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2010	15.0	13.5	8.0	1.89	1.87	1.62
13.0 10.5 8.0 12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2011	14.0	12.0	8.0	2.17	2.12	1.75
12.0 9.0 8.0 11.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2012	13.0	10.5	8.0	2.48	2.37	1.89
11.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2013	12.0	0.6	8.0	2.80	2.62	2.05
10.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2014	11.0	8.0	8.0	3.14	2.86	2.21
9.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2015	10.0	8.0	8.0	3.48	3.08	2.39
8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2016	9.0	8.0	8.0	3.83	3.33	2.58
8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2017	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.17	3.60	2.78
8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2018	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.51	3.89	3.01
8.0 8.0 8.0	2019	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.87	4.20	3.25
	2020	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.26	4.53	3.51

Notes

Under all scenarios, once the allowable increase of 8% is attained, it remains constant until 2050.

Allowable increase is reduced by 1% per annum, starting in 2008 until it reaches 8% in 2017. Allowable increase is reduced by 1.5% per annum, starting in 2008 until it reaches 8% in 2014. The 8% maximum allowable increase applies from 2008.

-. 5. E. 4.

It is assumed that 8% as an ultimate inflation rate is realistic based on:

- An assumed general consumer price index of 3% in Alberta;
- An estimated average increase in costs of from 1% to 2% per year resulting from demographic factors;
- Various strategies to optimize drug utilization and control costs; and
- Budgetary caps to ensure the anticipated inflation rate is not exceeded.

There is sufficient evidence to justify the assumption that the Government of Alberta can contain spending to this limit.

Cost control methods include continuing education programs to:

Reinforce the use of first line therapies with evidence of effectiveness along with evidence of cost and cost-effectiveness to most patients;

 Promote higher levels of awareness of risks associated with replacing drugs with proven safety records by new drugs. It has also been assumed that the introduction of additional mechanisms will control the cost of prescription drugs in Alberta. These may include:

- Drug plan management
- Electronic claims management and real-time adjudication capabilities;
- Plan design (including sharing and step therapy);
- Improved purchasing and distribution capabilities

Projections

The base cost projections were then constructed assuming the Accelerated Implementation of budget constraints. The projected population was developed using Low, Medium and High projections already in use by the province. However, these projections were adjusted to account for future mortality improvement according to established actuarial practice.

Per Capita Costs

The next graph represents the per capita costs of prescribed and non-prescribed drugs used in Alberta in 2006. These costs do not include drugs administered within hospitals, long-term care facilities or similar institutions. This is likely the explanation for the reduction in costs at higher ages.

In 2006, 33% of total costs arise from those aged 65 or more. Noting the rapid increase in per capita costs by age and the expectation of an aging population, it is clear that pre-funding is potentially very helpful in providing for the expected costs of public insurance.

34,099,491 3,174,654,783

8,968,353

25,131,139 1,702,547,466

Total + 06

1,472,107,317

3-11



the population ages.

2020 2048

2038

2039

2034 2032

2030

2028 2028

2024 2022

2020 2018

%0

→ High

--- Medium

WO T

Population Sensitivity Analysis

increases of 7.5%, 8% and 8.5%. Although a lower population growth results in lower Cost projections using the Low, Medium and High population scenario are based on future costs in dollars (it is assumed that the increase is only 7.5% instead of 8%), it income. This means that the cost of drugs, when expressed in a percentage of also implies an aging of the population and, hence, a slower growth of taxable the Accelerated Implementation of budget constraints with respective ultimate taxable income, is higher under a low population growth scenario.



25%

20%

Population is an important


Per Cent of Taxable Income

cost driver

3-13

Cost Control Implementation Speed Sensitivity

As expected, the speed of implementation of budget constraints has a major impact on projected costs, as illustrated by the following graphs. The three implementation schedules are described in Cost Control Assumptions earlier in this section.



Mandatory Public Drug Insurance

The mandatory public drug sound insurance principles provide full drug coverage project costs and manage adopted for more detailed province of Alberta would testing. In this model, the insurance model was the to all Albertans, using to develop premiums, first insurance model the plan.



From page 2-12

continue without changes except that the current \$25,000 coverage and benefits for other persons are assumed to The assumed plan design is that the current Non-Group uninsured individuals as well as those currently covered eliminated) is the mandatory plan, applicable to current by employer or union plans. The government-provided maximum benefit is eliminated for Alberta Health and benefits (with the current \$25,000 maximum benefit Wellness drug benefit plan beneficiaries.

current Non-Group benefits. It is assumed that the use of individuals currently insured under employer and union prescription drugs by such persons would remain the plans since these plans are more generous than the This effectively results in a reduction of benefits for


same and that the reduction of coverage would be paid out-of-pocket by beneficiaries. Note that the relative merit of each model is independent of the assumed plan design. Such a plan would incorporate:

- Deductibles and maximum out-of-pocket amounts vary with the capacity to pay;
- Tiered co-insurance percentages apply; and
- programs (for instance drugs for cancer, HIV and All drugs currently covered by the special drug transplants) are included within the mandatory plan.

need to be determined, it cannot be used in this section However, since the parameters of this ideal plan still to compare the various models.

Cost Projections

In the next chart, "Public (Additional)" refers to the current 27% of the population that are not insured and to the portion of current employer and union plans that correspond to the current Non-Group benefits.



Pre-Funding

Pre-funding is a means of stabilizing rising costs over a specified number of years by specified period. (In the projections, the fund is reduced to zero by the end of 2050.) costs, creating a surplus that is run down in later years when costs exceed available funding. The surplus is invested, creating investment income that reduces the cost. charging a level premium that is projected to be sufficient to pay the costs over a In effect, it averages the costs, so that initial funding contributions exceed current Such surplus must be earmarked for payment of future claims.

reasonable match between required funding and ability to pay. It does, however, have average impact of funding on all Albertans, ignoring differences in income. As such, it Thus, the flat dollar funding contribution per capita that would pre-fund all projected costs to 2050 was calculated. This flat dollar charge gives a useful estimate of the basis to use, because taxable income is a readily recognized index that ensures a The level per cent of taxable income basis for expressing the premium is a logical one deficiency – a percentage is not necessarily easy to interpret in dollar terms.

is essentially an average across all income levels and is provided as a rough measure, rather than a recommended approach.


This flat dollar amount is \$2,603 per capita. In determining this amount:

- The flat amount was indexed at 4% per year; and
- Albertans aged less than 16 were excluded from the calculation.

This compares with the level percentage of taxable ncome specified later in this section (7.98%) The following graph compares the flat charge with the level per cent charge, using 2006 taxable income, separately for males and females.

---Flat ---- Males - Level % ---- Females - Level % + 000 001 000 - 100 Level Per Cent Taxable Income Funding vs. 42 000 - 20 000 Flat Funding for Drug Costs 40 000 - 42 000 Salary Band 32 000 - 40 000 30 000 - 32 000 - 000 97 30 000 SO 000 - SE 000 20 000 - 000 SI 12 000 - 000 01 10 000 4,000 8,000 12,000 16,000 20,000 Required Funding in 2006

7.98% of taxable income of the entire population. This With pre-funding, the public share of the cost is a flat percentage applies from 2006 to 2050.



If a maximum out-of-pocket cost were included, the

additional cost (expressed in level percentage of taxable income) would be:

Cost (as a Level Per Cent of	Taxable Income)	0.37%	0.30%	0.25%	
	Maximum Level	3% of Household Income	4% of Household Income	5% of Household Income	

that the Non-Group formulary excludes some expensive These relatively low changes are explained by the fact

drugs (such as cancer treatment) that are paid by Alberta Health and Wellness special drug programs.


Examples include an extra premium from the insured population or a contribution from the province's general revenue fund. Note as well that the government would need to The additional cost for such a maximum would need to be funded from other sources. determine how to provide the full mandatory coverage for those who could not afford to pay the required premiums.

Sustainability

income, so the aggregate cost to Albertans is higher over time than would be the case Pre-funding significantly increases the sustainability of the plan, first by stabilizing the expected cost and second by generating investment income to pay part of the costs. The current pay-as-you-go system does not build up funds to generate investment under a pre-funding model

Total public and private costs

are almost identical to those
of the revised baseline.



3-17

Opportunity for Choice

With a single provider, there is little opportunity for choice.

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

inking coverage to behaviour could require differential available to smokers or to the obese would be highly controversial to bring about. Such a decision would lifestyle choices. For example, restricting coverage require careful policy examination by the province. treatment of Albertans based on health status and

Another approach is through plan design, for instance, by having deductibles and other plan features that make users share the financial implications of their drug consumption (which is often driven by lifestyle).

Implementation

that they would not welcome a mandatory public plan that is currently very involved in the insurance and delivery of prescription drug care to Albertans. It can be anticipated Implementation would be complex - the private industry would reduce their premium volumes.

Conclusion

- Mandatory public coverage ensures universal coverage for all Albertans.
- extensive preparation with the private insurance Implementation would be complex and require industry.

- some degree of cost control by limiting available The public model enables Alberta to exercise funding
- Limiting funding does not in itself limit demand growth.
- and employer choices without materially reducing The mandatory public model reduces employee


Mandatory Public Drug Insurance with Private Replacement Coverage¹⁴

purposes it is assumed that Non-Group benefit and that Albertans be covered by a Under this model, it would Mandatory Minimum Plan. least as generous as the this Minimum plan is the prescription drug plan at be mandatory that all For cost projection



current employer plans would not be affected.

would then continue as they do at present. Likewise other existing coverages would not change. Thus, there would There will be no change to existing group plans, which be minimal disruption to the current arrangements.



2020 2048

2046 2044


2042

■Private ■ Public (Pay-as-You-Go) ■ Public (to be Funded)

29 MARCH 2006

Pre-Funding

This model blends public costs that could be pre-funded, (seniors and special support plans, payable by the entire population), public costs that would operate on a pay-asyou-go basis and private plans that would continue to operate on an annual premium basis, without pre-funding. This limits the potential benefits of pre-funding to a public plan, but it is believed that private insurers will not be willing to underwrite the longterm risk of claims inflation and utilization that results from pre-funding approaches.



Because of the larger role of

the private sector, the impact

of pre-funding is not as

important as in the mandatory

public model.

Sustainability

This arrangement would be somewhat more sustainable than the current model through the inclusion of a prefunding element.

Opportunity for Choice

This offers as much choice as the current arrangements.

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

There is little opportunity to link coverage to behaviour, except through the public plans, in which case the considerations outlined in the Mandatory Public scenario apply.

Implementation

Implementation would be relatively easy, but the following two issues should be mentioned:

- A method would be required for plan sponsors to annually report to the government that a given individual was covered for prescription drugs during a certain number of months. The T4 could likely be used for this purpose.
- Employers (and their insurers) would not be able to deny mandatory coverage to employees, their spouses or children. Some private plans, especially smaller ones, may be subject to high claims fluctuations due to individuals they would decline in the current system. A similar situation exists with the public-private mix plan in Quebec.


Insurers have responded by introducing a pooling of large losses that applies to all drug contracts in the province. Such an arrangement could be set up in Alberta.

Conclusion

- This arrangement offers minimal disruption to the current arrangements.
- It provides universal coverage. Employers would have to provide at least the mandatory coverage to their pre-65 employees and their spouses and children.
- Employers could benefit from prices and fees negotiated by the government with dispensers, wholesalers and manufacturers.
- This model enables Alberta to exercise some degree of cost control by limiting funding and actively managing the minimum mandatory core benefit list.
- Private insurers could be allowed to offer supplemental or additional coverage to persons covered under the public plan, thus increasing choice.

3-21

This insurance model is based on the following:





From page 2-18

pre-funding model. Under a pre-funding model, the population would pay into a common pool of public funding at a rate expected to fund projected costs over a specified future period.

- In support of this system, individuals would need to select coverage from private carriers.
- The required insurance premiums for each private insurer would then be determined and distributed by the government based on the risk characteristics of each carrier's insured population.
- The types of plans offered would need to meet minimum standards of coverage defined and regulated by the government.


Under this arrangement, private carriers would not be allowed to revise their premium rates in an unregulated fashion. Instead, their pool of available premiums would be determined by the provincial government. Private carriers are then faced with delivering on their benefit commitments, providing for their own administrative expenses and achieving required profit margins within the constraints of available funding. To deal with these considerations, private carriers are expected to develop provider networks to negotiate compensation arrangements that fit within funding constraints. This would constitute a change in business focus for private carriers, one that they might not welcome.

Moreover, pharmacists might also be resistant to this approach, as there is no incentive for them to develop a network and agree to lower drug costs.

29 MARCH 2006

Cost Projections

This model does little to address the accelerated growth of drug costs, unless private carriers succeed in identifying and implementing measures that have this impact. However, their ability to do this is no greater under this model than it is under the current arrangement.



Because private insurers have

limited opportunity for

productivity gains in the drug


area, costs are highest in this

model.

3-24

Pre-Funding

government could still adopt a pre-funding method for the determination of the public projected to rise from about 4% of taxable income to about 20% by the year 2050. A pool of funds that would be used to pay the private premiums. The total costs are Pre-funding is not expected to be acceptable to private carriers. However, the pre-funded cost would represent about 9% of taxable income.



In this model, pre-funding is done within the public pool.

If the government can successfully limit available funding and private carriers can build profitable businesses on this basis, this would be a sustainable model.

Opportunity for Choice

There is extensive choice available to individual Albertans under this arrangement, limited potentially only by the extent of group insurance, under which the choice of carrier is made by the plan sponsor.

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

There is limited opportunity to link behaviour.

Implementation


Implementation issues from the insurer's perspective have to do with ensuring adequate coverage for the uninsurable on terms that the private industry will accept. Issues also arise in connection with the insurer's acceptance of government control over how much premium revenue they will receive to honour their insurance commitments and meet stockholder profit expectations. Other than these points, this model closely resembles the current system for private carriers.

Conclusion

- This model has not been market-tested over time, so its true feasibility is not known.
- Success is a function of private carriers' abilities to run their businesses within revenues determined or constrained by government.
- Government would need to play an active oversight role.
- This model, when applied to prescription drugs, is more complex and expensive than the two other models discussed in ths section.

Continuing Care

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.




This section is an actuarial projection and analysis of continuing care in the province of Alberta. Analysis identified the following primary cost drivers of continuing care:

- Salaries;
- Capital costs; and
- Aging.

economic growth rates, but it is expected that population The current trend of salaries and capital costs is not excessive in comparison with general inflation and aging will create a material increase in costs.


both through the year 2040 and over the entire projection The following graph displays the key sources of growth period (based on the Mandatory Public scenario)



in other benefits, where claim costs do not slope quite as For continuing care, cost inflation drives costs, but unlike the other benefits, the significance of population aging is ages means that aging is relatively more important than disproportionately large costs of continuing care at later materially greater. This result shows how the steeply by age.

Pre-funding the increasing costs of continuing care was identified as the primary technique for minimizing the aging population effects. No effective insurance policies were identified to directly through operational efficiencies and increased use of capital. A detailed analysis of these approaches was educe costs, although there may be scope to do so outside the scope of this study.

changes in the delivery of continuing care, as they impact Finally, a 45-year projection was required to fully account would need to be continually revised to reflect underlying duration are highly sensitive to cost assumptions due to requirements. Although necessary, projections of this compounding effects. Premium and cost calculations for the aging population and the pre-funding short and long-term cost projections.



Continuing care is provided to home living based clients, facility based clients and supportive living based clients.

Home Living Based Clients

The main categories of clients are short-term, long-term and palliative. Services include assessment and coordination, nursing and therapy services, personal care, home making, social support and household operation.

Facility Based Clients

Long-term care facilities provide a range of health services to frail, disabled or chronically ill residents. Residents are based in facilities and are not able to live at home. Many services are offered on a 24-hour basis. Specialization has resulted in some facilities offering subacute care, respite care, palliative care and services to people with Alzheimer's or other dementias.

Long-term care facilities can either be owned and operated by the public sector (regional health authorities), not-for-profit or for profit organizations. There are approximately 208 long-term care facilities with 14,300 beds in Alberta.¹⁵

Supportive Living Based Clients

Supportive living is a conceptual and operational approach to provide continuing care services to seniors and adults with developmental or physical disabilities, while allowing them to live outside of an institutional setting. It enables the greatest amount of independent living possible within a series of medical, social and financial constraints.

Currently, there are approximately 20,000 supportive living spaces in Alberta in buildings owned and operated by public management bodies, as well as private and non-profit housing operators. Spaces are available in:

- Lodges;
- Enhanced lodges;
- Group homes;
- Assisted living; designated assisted living, adult family living and family care homes.

4-3

Baseline Projection

Baseline projections are based on Scenario 2 of the Alberta Health and Wellness Regional Continuing Care Model (RCCM).¹⁷ This scenario assumes a "mediumshift" from facility-based services to community-based services until 2050.

Subsequently, the RCCM cost projections were revised in the following ways:

- Alberta Finance's medium population projection was revised to reflect anticipated improvements in mortality rates;
- Unit cost inflation was increased to 4% to reflect anticipated trends;
- Percentage of total funding allocated for capital expenditures was increased to recognize obsolescence;¹⁸ and
- Expected costs from implementation of the "What We Heard & Draft Recommendations" produced by the Task Force on Continuing Care, were incorporated into the cost projections.¹⁹

As in the RCCM model, it is assumed that resident accommodation charges cover related costs, and that this policy will continue (note that accommodation charges and expenses are excluded from the current RCCM model).

The baseline projection can be broken down into three phases: 2005 to 2015; 2016 to 2040 and after 2040.

2005-2015

Costs will remain largely level, or may slightly decline, in proportion to provincial personal taxable income. There is no question that costs will be rising, but less so than economic growth and personal income. A moderate increase in use of supportive living is offsetting some of the cost increases associated with improving care. The effect of these additional expenses is to increase the level funding contribution by 0.1% of taxable income (assuming contributions by the whole population).

2016-2040

A significant shift occurs during this period as the working population's proportion of total population declines, while there is a steady increase in demand for continuing care. This aging effect is compounded by the capital costs associated with high demand for long-term care facilities.

Taken together, the proportion of personal income needed to support continuing care will double during this period.

After 2040

Although the costs of providing continuing care are still rising, this is offset by a reduction in the need for capital expenditure. Essentially, there is no need to build additional long-term care facilities and this reduces cost.

Alternative Payer Approaches

Two different approaches were modelled to reflect different policies for collecting continuing care payments:

- Universal premium base This model assumes
 that the entire working population is required to
 fund continuing care until they go into a continuing
 care program (i.e., premiums are collected until
 claims begin). This approach spreads the burden
 across the widest number of people, reducing the
 cost for those contributing.
- Limited premium base This model assumes that continuing care payments are only collected from the population between the ages of 30 and 75.
 These ages were selected because:

Some jurisdictions do not require these types of premiums from younger people entering the workforce on the assumption that they need assistance when they are "starting out"; and If premium payments continue beyond age 75 until someone enters continuing care, there will be a marginal incentive to enter continuing care earlier. Two methods can be used to avoid this problem:

Do not stop premiums when a beneficiary enters a long-term care facility; and Discontinue premiums once the Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living attains a given level (e.g., "D").

This applies no matter if the beneficiary receives home based or facility based services.

Population Sensitivity

With population aging as a key driver of rising continuing care costs, changes to the population projections have a significant impact.


For example, the projected annual cost of continuing care against total provincial taxable income is 3.5% when the base (or medium) Alberta Finance population projection is used. When the high population projection is used, assuming a higher birth rate and net immigration rate of working age population, the cost to taxable income ratio falls to 2.8%. Should population growth fall short of the medium population projection and have an older average age, the cost to taxable income ratio increases to 4.2%.

29 MARCH 2006

4-6

Projected 2050 costs range from 2.8% of taxable income 4.2% of taxable income (low population scenario) (high population scenario) to

costs as a proportion of Similarly, continuing care provincial revenue are favourably impacted by higher population growth.



Consequently, for continuing care, increases in net immigration and population growth can improve sustainability. However, the cost to taxable income ratio will still double over a 35-year period with the most favourable demographic projection.

Need for Mandatory Insurance

government to support them. Mandatory health insurance Due to the anti-selection phenomenon²⁰, for an insurance ensures everyone pays for services they could potentially some other form of social assistance. Essentially, these individuals will make no payments and then rely on the portions of the population will be uninsured at the time they require continuing care, and will therefore require model to replace the current pay-as-you-go approach, Otherwise, there would be a significant risk that large coverage will need to be mandatory and universal.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance

funding mechanism without provision or management care changes the current mandatory public health insurance for continuing materially impacting the of delivering services. The introduction of

Insofar as premiums are collected through taxes. assumed that they are income based, it is



From page 2-12


Cost Projections

Given little change to operations and the underlying cost structure, this insurance model assumes no impact on:

- Utilization rates;
- The split between residents using public and private facilities; and
- Inter-provincial migration patterns.

projections with the mandatory public health insurance Consequently, there is no material impact on the cost model

Projected Annual Cost - Mandatory Public



4-7

Pre-Funding

Early collection of premiums that exceed costs will create a reserve to fund future continuing care costs. The investment income for the reserve helps reduce the longterm burden of continuing care. As discussed previously, there is limited opportunity in continuing care to materially reduce costs beyond what is currently planned (with the possible exception of some capital investment to increase productivity). To the extent that this is true, prefunding is the only viable mechanism to reduce growth in continuing care and limit the peak costs in terms of taxable income or provincial revenue.


The level per cent of taxable income basis for expressing the funding charge is a logical basis to use, because taxable income is a readily recognized index that ensures a reasonable match between required funding and ability to pay. It does however have one deficiency – a percentage is not necessarily easy to interpret in dollar terms. Thus Alberta Health and Wellness also requested that the flat dollar funding contribution per capita that would pre-fund all projected costs to 2050 be determined. This flat dollar charge gives a useful estimate of the average impact of funding on all Albertans, ignoring differences in income. As such, it is essentially an average across all income levels and is provided as a rough measure rather than a recommended approach.

This flat dollar amount is \$779 per capita. In determining this amount:

- The flat amount was indexed at 4% per year; and
- Albertans aged less than 16 were excluded from the calculation.

This compares with the level percentage of taxable ncome specified later in this section (2.4%).

The following graph compares the flat charge with the level per cent charge, using 2006 taxable income, separately for males and females.




Where the entire population makes continuing care insurance premium payments, the pre-funding rate would be 2.4% of personal income. This is lower than the peak premium payable of 3.4% in 2040 that would otherwise occur without pre-funding.


Should only the population between the ages of 30 and 75 make contributions, a level premium rate of 2.9% would offset the increase of 1.8% to 4.2% during the 2010 to 2040 period.

In the event that the level premium rates are not viable, the reserve could be funded from a combination of sources. In addition to individuals, employers could be

required to make matching contributions (although this would raise their effective tax rate). Alternatively, the Government of Alberta could contribute to the reserve through general revenue or by creation of an endowment from natural resource revenue.

Finally, pre-funding could be done on a partial basis, by selecting a rate between the level rate and the current cost. This would mitigate the impact of cost increases, while reducing the effect of a sudden increase in collected pre-funding premiums (or taxes). The specific level of pre-funding critically influences the magnitude of these effects.





4-9

Sustainability

Public mandatory insurance has no underlying impact on costs, and can contribute to sustainability through prefunding

Opportunity for Choice

This model does not impact the current level of choice for continuing care, which currently includes a mix of public and private (non-profit and for profit) providers.

Note that accommodation fees are excluded from this model, and would need to be purchased by those receiving care, as they are today.

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

directly link premiums to responsible lifestyle decisions. The mandatory public scenario offers little scope to

Conclusions

- With little disruption to the current continuing care provider network, this type of plan would be relatively straightforward to introduce.
- providing a level funding contribution percentage. Its primary benefit is to enhance sustainability by

Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage


penefits, such as enhanced levels of continuing care. available for those that would insurance plan similar to the here is a core public health nsurance model. However, private health insurance is additional or higher quality This model assumes that ike to have coverage for mandatory public health



From page 2-14

Although supplemental private health insurance plans are currently available, sales are minimal. Increased plan use may require tax or other financial incentives to increase savings available to purchase continuing care services, monthly payouts and de-indexing from inflation. Health savings accounts would also complement, or possibly public demand, or offset some portion of the risk that beyond those provided by the core mandatory public replace this type of insurance, with tax advantaged nsurers pass on to consumers, by limiting the total nealth insurance plan. The cost projection for mandatory public health insurance is not expected to increase continuing care. These will be largely determined by supply and demand. Purchasing private services has been conservatively estimated to increase by 10% based on an however, to the extent that private services are purchased to upgrade the level of as underlying cost dynamics remain unchanged. There will be additional costs, average of experience in other countries.21


The conceptual model has little impact on the pattern of projected future claims.



Note: Public contribution is assumed to be paid by the entire population.

Sustainability

not medically necessary, the open market forces that determine total expenditure are The public mandatory health insurance element of this model can use pre-funding to enhance sustainability. To the extent that additional private coverage is optional and not necessarily material to the sustainability question.



Opportunity for Choice

This model marginally increases the level of choice for those able to afford it. Given the relatively low uptake in insurance sales today for such coverage, it is unclear whether the level of choice will materially increase without some government incentives.

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

The mandatory public component in this model offers little scope to directly link premiums to responsible lifestyle decisions. Similarly, the supplemental private health insurance component is unlikely to have a material effect on behaviour.²²

Conclusions

provides marginally increased choice for continuing care insurance coverage In comparison to public mandatory health insurance, this conceptual model by providing private supplements for a marginally higher total cost (with no change in public expenditure).

Mandatory Private Health Insurance with **Public Premium Pooling**

public health insurance. As premiums and then paying they carry, this conceptual model blends many of the insurers based on the risk attributes of private and ndividual premiums to noted previously, the collecting mandatory With a public body



precise design of the policies will have a significant impact on actual pricing and benefits offered to the population.

Cost Projections


Introducing private insurers changes the cost projection dynamics. The major effects include:

- capital, and the need for a return on investment; Additional expenses for marketing, the cost of
- Possible productivity gains linked to increased use of capital and improved operational processes.

mandatory health insurance policy, cost dynamics will For any supplemental insurance beyond the core emain the same as the mandatory public health nsurance with supplemental private coverage. An average increase in productivity of 1% per year during mprovements are practical through a review of potential the productivity gap between health care sector and the the first 15 years is assumed. This rate closes much of recommended prior to any final decision on funding. general economy by 2020, but is based on general capital use and operational improvements is statistical trends. Validation that productivity

versus 2.4% with public mandatory health insurance). private health insurance with public premium pooling This reflects the long term accumulation of capital to Although mid-term costs are higher (until 2015), this percentage of taxable income (2.1% with mandatory model results in a slightly lower level premium as a changes associated with this modelling approach. improve productivity and the ongoing operational

4-14



Sustainability

This model is marginally more sustainable than the others, as it has lower peak and level premiums, but is critically dependent on private insurers being the catalyst for increased productivity and lower costs. There is a risk that this will not occur, and sustainability would be compromised. To the extent that additional private coverage is optional and not medically necessary, the open market forces that determine total expenditure are not necessarily material to the sustainability question.

Opportunity for Choice

This model increases the level of choice to the extent that insurers are able to offer

Opportunity to Link to Behaviour

offers little scope to directly link premiums to responsible The mandatory private health insurance component lifestyle decisions as the premiums are pooled. The supplemental coverage element of the model means it is unlikely that there will be insurance mechanisms to encourage healthy lifestyles for continuing care.

Implementation

delivery of services. So it would involve selling or leasing insurance industry to ensure that a sufficient number of publicly-owned long-term care facilities to the private sector. Prior discussions would be required with the To achieve its potential, this model requires private insurers would enter this market.

Conclusions

insurance plan, this conceptual model provides the However, there is a risk that the productivity gains In comparison to the public mandatory health potential for increased choice and a marginal reduction in the base cost of continuing care.

anticipated by privatization are not realized, and that the cost does not decrease, but increases.

into the continuing care system, the impact of cost To the extent that private providers of continuing accommodation) can be introduced successfully reduction from introducing insurance would be care (in addition to the current private lessened

Mandatory Private Health Insurance

coverage that is affordable level of inflation risk made insofar as private insurers to the Alberta population. this approach untenable The long term and high are unlikely to offer



From page 2-21


Private coverage would require risk based premiums and Mechanisms exist to pool such risks but this model does the related underwriting expenses. Some people would private health insurance with public premium pooling not offer any advantage compared to the mandatory not qualify for coverage due to health conditions.

Optional Private Health Insurance

This insurance model was not assessed in detail. No viable implementation approach was identified that could meet the fundamental objectives of Alberta Health and Wellness. This approach would leave large portions of the population requiring continuing care without insurance coverage, which is an unacceptable outcome.




From page 2-22



Continuing Care Appendix

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness. 4A-17



Overview


This appendix complements the Continuing Care section in the *Health Benefit Design Options* for Alberta Health & Wellness Report, March 29, 2006. It models the potential impact on costs and insurance premiums should the fee for a semi-private room in a continuing care facility be included as a benefit under the insurance models. For the purpose of this appendix, this additional cost will be referred to as "Accommodation Fees".

With the exception of assumptions and comments relating to Accommodation Fees, assumptions and comments found in the Continuing Care section remain accurate. This appendix focuses only on elements directly related to Accommodation Fees.

Building on the analysis found in the Continuing Care section of the main report, we explored the cost impact of adding the Accommodation Fees – assumed to be \$42 per day per client in 2006 – to various conceptual insurance models. The incremental \$42 unit cost reflects the current cost of a semi-private room, and is assumed to increase annually based on the inflation rate used in the Continuing Care section. Private room clients would pay an additional \$6 above the \$42 Accommodation Fee.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance


Cost Projections



Pre-funding


The flat dollar amount that would pre-fund all projected costs to 2050 is \$870 per capita (this compares to \$779 per capita without Accommodation Fees). Albertans aged less than 16 were excluded from these calculations.

The corresponding level percentage of taxable income is 2.7% (compared to 2.4% without Accommodation Fees).




This graph compares the flat funding dollar amount with the level per cent charge using 2006 taxable income for males and females. The term flat funding refers to an amount that is, during a given year, constant across all levels of taxable income. However, this flat amount is assumed to increase at 4% per annum.

level premium rate would be required to fund continuing care costs (compared to 2.9% Should only the population between the ages of 30 and 75 make contributions, a 3.2% without Accommodation Fees).




Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage


Cost Projections




Note: Public contribution assumes the entire population pays the annual premium.



Mandatory Private Health Insurance with Public Premium Pooling





Level funding = 2.5%

Implications of Including Accommodation Fees

that only the cost of semi-private room would be covered by the insurance program. Although approximately 60% of clients currently have a private room, we assumed increase demand for private rooms but this demand could obviously not be met by Note that having the insurance program cover the cost of a private room would existing facilities.

Given the almost universal receipt of Old Age Pension by Albertans aged 65 and over, having the insurance program cover 100% of Accommodation Fees seems to leave clients with a significant amount of "pocket money".


Since the \$42 would be payable irrespective of whether clients elect to stay in publicly or privately owned facilities, this benefit would not change the expected mix of private / public clients.

The semi-private benefit would create a slight incentive for clients to use facility-based care, rather than aging in place. However, since eligibility criteria is based on medical conditions, no material increase in usage is expected (nor was such an increase included in the model).

29 MARCH 2006

Non-Emergency Health

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Overview

At present, Alberta Health and Wellness does not offer non-emergency services as a separate category of services. Instead, both emergency and non-emergency services are offered without any differentiation. As a result, it was necessary to adopt a protocol to identify those services that would be considered non-emergency for purposes of the analysis.

It was decided that the triage score assigned upon registration at the emergency room should be the basis for defining services as emergency or non-emergency. These scores are based on the Canadian Emergency Department Triage and Acuity Scale, a nationally recognized scale used in all provinces for the purpose of ensuring that the most urgent cases presenting themselves to emergency are treated first. The scale consists of the following five levels:

- Level I Resuscitation Conditions that are threats to life or limb (or imminent risk of deterioration) requiring immediate aggressive interventions.
- Level II Emergent Conditions that are a
 potential threat to life, limb or function, requiring
 rapid medical intervention or delegated acts.
- Level III Urgent Conditions that could potentially progress to a serious problem requiring emergency intervention. May be associated with

significant discomfort or affecting ability to function at work or activities of daily living.

- Level IV Less Urgent (semi-urgent) Conditions related to patient age, distress or potential for deterioration or complications; would benefit from intervention or reassurance within one to two hours.
- Level V Non Urgent Conditions that may be acute but non-urgent as well as conditions which may be a part of a chronic problem with or without evidence of deterioration. The investigation or interventions for some of these illnesses or injuries could be delayed or even referred to other areas of the hospital or health care system.

After evaluation of the five levels defined above, it was decided for purposes of this analysis that triage scores of one or two would be regarded as emergency and therefore would fall beyond the scope of this analysis. The remaining triage scores would then be classified as non-emergency and fall within scope. This provides analysis of the maximum impact of insurance, recognizing that a more limited group of services could subsequently be assessed.

In summary then, non-emergency health consists of the following types of services:

 Emergency room visits with a triage score of three or more. Visits with lower triage scores are excluded from the definition of "non-emergency".

- Hospitalizations resulting from the emergency room visits referred to above.
- Other hospitalizations, that is, hospitalizations that do not result from emergency room treatment.
- Treatment rendered at hospital ambulatory care
- Treatment provided at a general practitioner's or a specialist's office.
- Physician's services provided at a hospital ambulatory centre following emergency room treatment.
- Physician's services rendered in connection with in-patient care or in a long-term care facility.
- Physicians' fees in connection with diagnostic or therapeutic services.
- Community laboratory and other diagnostic fees.

At present non-emergency health services are all provided publicly through Alberta Health and Wellness and the regional health authorities. Recent growth rates in costs for these services have been quite high in Alberta, both in absolute terms and in comparison to other provinces. In the 2004/05 fiscal year, more than \$4.0 billion was spent in providing the above services to Albertans.²³ Funding for publicly provided services is currently available from a variety of sources, on a pay-asyou-go basis:

- Federal government transfers under the Canada Health Act.
- Direct contributions from Albertans, which are referred to as "premiums" although they are not developed according to insurance principles.
- General government revenues.

Neither the current plan design nor the current funding arrangements provide any incentive or mechanism to reduce demands on the system.

Summary of Findings

Baseline Analysis

The baseline analysis projects costs through the year 2050 assuming that no changes are made in plan design, funding or the delivery system. Thus recent patterns of growth are projected forward unadjusted through 2050.

Costs

Claim projection factors

a. Claim projection factors were developed and applied to per capita claim rates developed from data provided by Alberta Health and Wellness.

The development of the factors was based on data published by The Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). The analysis uses per capita costs published in a report entitled "Provincial and Territorial Government Health Expenditures By

- b. The figures in the report are in 1997 dollars, using the Implicit Price Index (IPI) as a deflator.
- c. The report displays growth rates for all ages and both genders combined, both by province and for the whole of Canada. It also shows costs by age and sex for the whole of Canada.
- d. To develop costs by age and sex for Alberta, the costs by age group and sex in (c) above were increased by the appropriate IPI and adjusted by the difference between Alberta costs and those for the whole of Canada. Thus it is assumed that the following two elements do not vary by age or sex:
- IPI; and
- Alberta-specific differences.
- e. Benefit groupings shown were:
- Hospitals;
- Other institutions;
- Physicians; and
- Other professionals.

- f. The resulting projection factors by age and sex are shown in the table below.
- g. The projections were based on (e)(i) above for each of hospital accommodation, emergency room charges and ambulatory charges and on (e)(iii) above for all other elements of non-emergency medical care, (that is, for physicians' costs and for laboratory and other diagnostic charges).

Per Capita Claims Projection Factors


Hospital Expenses E 8.1% 7.5% 6.9% 6.3% 7.6% 6.3% 7.6% 6.2% 8.5% 7.0% 8.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.9% 9.0% 7.9% 9.4%			,	Males -	Females -
Ian 1 7.8% 8.1% 7.5% 6.4% 6.9% 6.9% 5.3% 5.6% 6.3% 6.5% 6.3% 7.6% 6.8% 6.2% 8.5% 6.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.1% 7.4% 9.0% nore 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	Age Group	Males – Hospital	Females – Hospital	Other Expenses	Other Expenses
6.4% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 5.3% 5.6% 6.3% 6.3% 7.6% 6.2% 8.5% 6.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.1% 7.4% 9.0% nore 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	Less than 1	7.8%	8.1%	7.5%	7.2%
5.3% 5.6% 6.3% 6.5% 6.3% 7.6% 6.8% 6.2% 8.5% 6.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.1% 7.4% 9.0% nore 7.9% 9.4%	1-4	6.4%	%6.9	%6.9	2.7%
6.5% 6.3% 7.6% 6.2% 8.5% 6.2% 8.5% 7.1% 7.0% 8.7% 9.0% nore 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	5-14	5.3%	2.6%	6.3%	6.3%
6.8% 6.2% 8.5% 6.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.1% 7.4% 9.0% once 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	15-44	6.5%	6.3%	7.6%	7.1%
6.7% 7.0% 8.7% 7.1% 7.4% 9.0% nore 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	45-64	%8.9	6.2%	8.5%	7.7%
7.1% 7.4% 9.0% nore 7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	65-74	%2'9	7.0%	8.7%	8.6%
7.9% 7.9% 9.4%	75-84	7.1%	7.4%	%0.6	%0.6
	85 or more	7.9%	7.9%	9.4%	8.8%

5-4

Total

projection period, cost inflation and population growth had combined to increase this amount to \$245.8 billion. As a per cent of projected taxable income, costs grew from Total projected costs from the model were \$5.1 billion in 2006. By the end of the 5.5% in 2006 to 37% in 2050.

The cumulative growth rate leads to extremely high costs that would be unaffordable for Alberta by 2050.



If the provincial government chose to immediately implement a "pre-funding" approach taxable income required to cover baseline costs is 15.95%. For some years thereafter, The surplus would be invested in a dedicated trust fund to ensure that it was not spent to provide for anticipated costs through the year 2050, the equivalent level per cent of this financing cost would exceed the current annual costs, thereby generating surplus. for other purposes; it would be required to pay later year costs, which would be

expectation of investment credits reduces the degree of expected to exceed the available financing. The financing required by Albertans.

year for those aged less than 65 and by 2% per year for assumption that taxable incomes will grow by 4% per those age 65 or more, lead to a "cross-over point" in 2031, where costs exceed available current year The modelling assumptions, combined with the financing.

By Age


categories and began to rise thereafter, peaking at ages While costs for the age category zero-to-four were quite Costs per capita displayed a fairly consistent pattern. 90 and above. This led to a characteristic U-shaped high, they declined for the next two or three age graph of costs by age category.

Nevertheless, aging of the population is an additional distribution of covered population by age is such that Although per capita costs rose steeply by age, the cost driver as more of the population lives longer. older ages do not represent the bulk of the costs.

By Gender

females tended to be lower than for males. This pattern is Outside of the child-bearing years, per capita costs for consistent with other studies and was therefore not

unexpected



Female

Overall Age Band	Male	Female	Total
0 - 4	179,761,134	150,071,597	329,832,731
5-9	060'686'28	64,340,704	152,329,794
10 - 14	69,026,307	53,637,407	122,663,713
15 - 19	78,105,862	94,490,725	172,596,587
20 - 24	77,062,529	151,660,786	228,723,316
25 - 29	74,725,401	195,976,880	270,702,281
30 - 34	83,793,793	207,254,268	291,048,061
35 - 39	92,649,907	176,449,323	269,099,231
40 - 44	114,348,066	175,290,583	289,638,649
45 - 49	150,107,982	196,309,777	346,417,758
50 - 54	169,828,683	199,341,922	369,170,605
55 - 59	178,239,906	187,701,197	365,941,104
60 - 64	165,462,002	160,503,024	325,965,026
69 - 69	162,417,836	150,660,290	313,078,126
70 - 74	171,953,670	157,258,476	329,212,146
75 - 79	177,300,358	179,870,940	357,171,298
80 - 84	135,541,067	164,889,876	300,430,942
85 - 89	80,862,446	126,168,066	207,030,512
+ 06	33,812,710	74,487,288	108,299,998
Total	2,282,988,750	2.866.363.127	5 149 351 877

insurance.

In 2006, nearly one-third of aged 65 or more. Noting the costs by age and the funding is potentially very helpful in providing for the rapid increase in per capita expectation of an aging expected costs of public total costs arise from those population, it is clear pre-

Mandatory Public Health Insurance

The baseline analysis summarized above is essentially an extrapolation, using current per capita costs and recent inflationary trends projected far into the future. This means that it produces unreasonable results as the end of the projection period is approached.



For example, by the year 2050, projected costs for this benefit grouping reach 37% of projected taxable income.

Such disproportionate expense on one segment of health care alone is certainly unsustainable; therefore, the baseline scenario should not be used as the basis for any detailed analysis.

In the mandatory public health insurance scenario, the government is expected to provide a single, centrally administered source of insurance coverage. The required financing would be determined by:

- Using appropriate insurance principles to project costs; and
- Assessing required premium rates expected to be sufficient to meet costs over periods to be defined by reference to desired public policy outcomes.

For illustrative purposes, the projected financing has been expressed as a level per cent of taxable income sufficient to meet projected costs through the year 2050. By expressing it compared to taxable income, it is easy to assess the true affordability of the model.


The level per cent of taxable income basis for expressing the funding charge is a logical basis to use because taxable income is a readily recognized index that ensures a reasonable match between required funding and ability to pay. It does, however, have one deficiency — a percentage is not necessarily easy to interpret in dollar terms. Thus, Alberta Health and Wellness also requested that the flat dollar funding contribution per capita be determined that would pre-fund all projected costs to 2050. This flat dollar charge gives a useful estimate of the average impact of funding on all Albertans, ignoring differences in income. As such, it is essentially an average across all income levels and is provided as a rough measure, rather than a recommended approach.

This flat dollar amount is \$3,965 per capita. In determining the flat amount:

- The flat amount was indexed at 4% per year; and
- Albertans aged less than 16 were excluded from the calculation.

This compares with the level percentage of taxable income specified later in this section (12.16%).

The following graph compares the flat charge with the level per cent charge, using 2006 taxable income, separately for males and females.



Adoption of such minimums and maximums, although it would be clearly desirable in To calculate the required level financing percentage of taxable income, no taxable income was excluded. That is, neither minimum nor maximum were implemented. social terms, would increase the required financing percentage.

cells for which it was already less than 6%. In this context it is important to bear in mind In modelling mandatory public health insurance, the growth factor applied to per capita the fact that Alberta has experienced higher than average growth in its per capita costs claim rates after the year 2015 was reduced to 6% for all ages except those age/sex for hospitalization and physician costs compared to other provinces, (2.1% more for

hospitalizations and 3% more for physicians' costs).²⁵ It would be prudent to set an affordable rate of future growth in per capita costs and identify changes in practice that could potentially assist in achieving this target. Relevant considerations include:

- Community-based care is less expensive than institution-based care. (Note that Alberta Health and Wellness has already implemented changes in its long-term care delivery strategy to encourage "aging in place", fundamentally a strategy for promoting community-based care, when it is appropriate.)
- At present, there is little emphasis on preventive measures; instead the system is oriented to treatment of acute conditions.
- Currently, outcomes measurement and productivity measurement are rarely used as a tool for effective delivery of health care services.

There arises a natural question – what specific changes could be implemented that might reduce future growth in costs? Unlike prescription drug benefits, there has been relatively little effort expended either by governments or by private insurers in identifying such activities in connection with non-emergency health. Thus the following are presented as suggestions that may stimulate discussion and provide building blocks to improve processes rather than final recommendations:

- Use outcomes measurement to identify the providers and processes (if relevant) that deliver the most favourable health outcomes. Use this information as deemed appropriate, for example:
- Reduce the province's exposure to processes or providers that deliver sub-optimal results; Change compensation structure for providers to reduce the economic incentive to conduct multiple tests and see many patients; or Use outcomes measurement as the basis for focused training meant to ensure that better processes are widely adopted.
- Be alert to opportunities to meet demand growth through investment of capital instead of through adding more people to the delivery system.
- Develop plan designs that emphasize preventive care. Continue to emphasize the value of healthy lifestyles, both as a quality of life issue and as a means to reduce demands on the medical system.
- Identify initiatives taken in connection with other benefit groups that also have the potential to reduce non-emergency costs. For example, it has been estimated that 17% of all drug-related hospitalizations arise from improper prescribing. By adopting tools and promoting education aimed at reducing improper prescriptions, Alberta Health and Wellness would improve not only prescription

Noting that Alberta's rates of cost growth have exceeded those of other provinces during the last ten years, study processes and history of other provinces to identify practices that might reduce Alberta's growth to similar levels to those found in other provinces.

The successful search for initiatives that directly affect growth in costs would offer the potential to achieve a future growth rate of 6% or perhaps even lower.

The ability to achieve a 6% trend would have a significant impact on costs:

• Costs would rise to \$146 billion by 2050, instead of the \$245 billion under the baseline scenario.


The final projected costs are 22% of projected taxable income of the entire population in 2050.

 The equivalent level funding per cent of taxable income is 12.16%, a reduction of nearly 25% from the baseline scenario. Note though that the 6% target, though difficult to achieve, still represents a growth rate roughly double the CPI. Thus it would still impose significant stresses on the future provincial economy.


5-11

of the level funding The impact of lower inflation percentage by nearly 25% compared to the baseline scenario. However, growth in actual costs is still significant Inability to limit inflation would have serious can be seen in the reduction over the projection period.

consequences.



Federal transfers are significant, but impact is projected to decline.



Key Cost Drivers

Essentially future costs are expected to grow because of the combined impact of the Key cost drivers tend to be the same for any benefit grouping and in any scenario. following factors:

- Population growth;
- Provider expectations.

Rising demand; and


New technology;


Aging population;

Since providers will expect, over a period of years, to see their incomes rise at a rate evel for projections. Thus, the minimum expected increase is in excess of CPI. The that exceeds consumer price inflation, provider expectations represent a minimum other four factors listed above will normally tend to drive health costs higher yet. although net population growth also enhances the population's ability to fund health care costs.

control costs by limiting available funding. The weakness in this strategy is that it fails to address issues like demand growth. As a result, any cost control initiative based in An important element of cost in this scenario is the provincial government's ability to limiting funding would need to be coupled with appropriate measures to ensure that the population continues to be satisfied with the quality of the care that it receives.

The following graph displays the key sources of growth both through the year 2040 and over the entire projection period.





but to a lesser degree. Note as well that population growth leads to economic growth. primary cost driver. Population growth and aging also contribute to increased costs, The graph above shows that over the entire projection period, cost inflation is the This can potentially offset the resulting increase in medical costs.

Sustainability

This approach offers hope for sustainability if it is combined with pre-funding. In this scenario, it is important to adopt appropriate measures to limit costs without damaging quality. As a result, using waiting lists as a tool for cost reduction is not an option.

Opportunity for Choice

Under this arrangement, health care funding is provided through a centrally administered system. As is the case under the current system, there is freedom to select certain health care providers, but there is no choice of insurance provider available.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

The mandatory public health insurance scenario offers little opportunity to link available health care to behaviour, unless the government wishes to consider brand new policy initiatives such as varying the coverage available by health status, e.g., obesity or smoking status, or contemplating the use of cost sharing or other user fees in reimbursing certain types of services. It would be prudent to assess any such initiatives in advance to understand their potential impact on federal transfers under the *Canada Health Act*.


Sensitivity Testing

The following additional scenarios have been tested:

Ultimate inflation rate 1% higher, at 7%;

- Ultimate inflation rate 1% lower, at 5%;
- High population scenario;
- Low population scenario; and
- Salary indexation of 1% less for under age 65 and 0.5% less for age 65 or more.

will vary from that assumed in the base mandatory public costs approach 30% of taxable income by the year 2050. with costs projected to exceed 32% of taxable income by The first two scenarios test the possibility that utilization The other scenarios are relatively benign in their impact health insurance projections. The next two examine the capacity of the population to tolerate the expected level growth imposes the greatest burden on the population, growth and high inflation each add from 2% to 2.5% to the year 2050, followed by high inflation, under which the required financing percentage; the others have a mpact on available funding in the absence of strong increases. In terms of level financing, low economic economic growth. It will be seen that low economic mmigration and births. The final scenario tests the of increases under different assumptions as to net more modest impact or even a favourable impact. on the province's ability to absorb the projected



The inability to maintain high

economic growth or to limit

cost inflation undermines

sustainability.

Conclusions

- Net immigration into the province potentially increases funding capacity.
- growth, because demand is expected to increase. delivery if funding is used as a tool to limit cost Focus must be on effective, prompt service
- research is needed into means of limiting growth healthy growth rates that have characterized the Economic growth and inflation have the largest in demand and maintaining or increasing the single impact on costs, which suggests that provincial economy in recent years.
- Level funding is not a panacea by the year 2050 the projected level funding surplus has reduced to zero, but costs continue to rise faster than the economy.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage

sorted non-emergency health services into two segments – and the other to be privately one to be publicly financed conducted of a model that segment could either be Preliminary testing was optional or mandatory. financed. The second



Public Services

Public services included:

- Emergency room treatment with triage scores of 3 or more and all resulting hospitalizations;
- Physicians' services delivered in hospital, except ambulatory; and
- Diagnostic and therapeutic services, including community lab.

Private Services

Private services included:

- Ambulatory services; and
- Physicians' services delivered in the ambulatory centre or outside of a hospital setting.

Key Cost Drivers

The following factors drive cost:

- Population growth;
- Aging population;
 - New technology;
- Rising demand; and
- Provider expectations.

Sustainability

Since public acceptance of a design that potentially varies funding sources between public and private for different elements of a single course of treatment is unlikely, this model is not believed to be sustainable.

Consideration was also given to a model in which specified treatments would be removed from the public domain and offered privately. One possible example was hip replacement, in which potential recipients who had previously bought the coverage would be allowed to bypass waiting lists or access a more extensive type of replacement. However, this model is also expected to be unsustainable, as the potential private market would be much too small to interest a prospective insurer in the market potential or to convince the insurer that there would be a large enough spread of risk to enable profitable insurance pricing.

Opportunity for Choice

In this model, there is very little opportunity for choice for publicly financed benefits, with significant opportunity for choice among privately financed benefits if the private insurance market believes there is a viable market.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

This model offers only limited opportunity to link to behaviour.

Sensitivity Testing

No sensitivity testing was conducted.

Conclusions

This model was judged unsustainable for non-emergency health services and as a result no additional testing was conducted.

29 MARCH 2006

This insurance model is based on the following:

Public Premium Pooling

system, or through government would province's current either in a similar provide funding, The provincial way to the



pay into a common pool of public funding at a rate expected to fund projected costs over a specified a pre-funding model, where the population would future period


- characteristics of each carrier's insured population The required insurance premiums for each private insurer would then be determined and distributed by the government based on the risk and the mandatory coverage level.
- In support of this system, individuals would need to select coverage from private carriers.
- The types of plans offered would need to meet minimum standards of coverage defined and regulated by the government.

fashion. Instead, their pool of available premiums would considerations, private carriers are expected to develop allowed to revise their premium rates in an unregulated the constraints of available funding. To deal with these would constitute a change in their business focus, one expenses and achieving required profit margins within Under this arrangement, private carriers would not be carriers are then faced with delivering on their benefit be determined by the provincial government. Private arrangements that fit within funding constraints. This commitments, providing for their own administrative provider networks to negotiate compensation that they may not welcome.

Public, Common Funding Pool

Important modelling assumptions for this scenario include increase is still considerable - rising from 5.8% in 2006 to leads to first year projected costs of 104% of those of the annual costs rise from \$5.4 billion in 2006 to \$135 billion in 2050. Expressed as a per cent of taxable income, the they were assumed to remain. With these assumptions, public model. These costs would potentially fall to 92% 20.2% in 2050. The equivalent level funding per cent is costs and from private profit margins, but compounding nitial cost increases resulting both from administrative cost savings offset these increases in the future. This of public model costs by the year 2020, at which level 11.3% of taxable income.

Non-Emergency Health - Mandatory Private Health Insurance Compared with Baseline and Mandatory Public Health Insurance



Productivity gains are central

to financing affordability.

Key Cost Drivers

The following factors drive cost:

- Population growth;
- Aging population; New technology;
- Rising demand; and
- Provider expectations, as negotiated with the private carriers.

single, centrally administered plan to one with de-centralized administration offered by permanent, compounding savings compared to other models. In summary, this model multiple sources will tend to drive administrative costs up. There is potential to offset counterpart in public financing models. In addition, it may well be that moving from a Private carriers include a profit margin in their pricing, which is a factor that has no appears to offer the likelihood of higher initial costs with possible future savings this if private carriers succeed in negotiating provider arrangements that offer

If government can limit available funding and private carriers can successfully build profitable, efficient delivery networks that achieve productivity gains, this model has the potential to be sustainable.

Opportunity for Choice

Consumers would have freedom to choose the plan and the insurer that they wished, except to the extent that selection of insurers was made by an employer sponsor of a group insurance plan, so this model offers maximum scope for individual choice.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

The opportunity to link to behaviour in this scenario is a function of the plan design mandated by the government.

Sensitivity Testing

The relative impact of changes in inflation, population and salary indexation would be very similar in this scenario to those modelled under the mandatory public health insurance scenario.

Conclusions

 It is suggested that Alberta Health and Wellness assess the impact of such an approach to nonemergency care delivery on federal transfers under the Canada Health Act.

- Extremely large accounting liabilities would be imposed upon private employers if all non-emergency benefits were privately offered and mandatory.
- There would very likely be an immediate increase in costs, with the possibility of future reductions.
- Given the different business model required of private insurers to operate under this scenario, significant time would need to be devoted to working with the private industry to prepare for it.
- The private industry might resist this model, on the grounds that it would impose a large potential profitability risk without allowing them the latitude to price in accordance with their expected risk (because of government control over available financing).
- The long-term success of this approach would be determined by the government's ability to constrain private funding and private carriers to build a profitable business under this model, while achieving productivity gains with their provider base.
- Government would need to exercise a significant degree of oversight to ensure that plans met regulatory minimums and met appropriate quality standards.

5-21

Supplemental Health

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



At present, supplemental health care is largely privately insured, although the Alberta health system offers limited coverage of certain supplemental health care services on a public basis. Private plans are essentially group insurance plans negotiated by employers or unions on behalf of their employees or members, although there is a small volume of individual policies. Since private carriers generally do not wish to accept the long-term risk of claims utilization and inflation, private plans are typically priced on an annual renewable term basis.

Public plans are currently funded on a pay-as-you-go basis under which costs are paid or incurred with little incentive to manage them.

The present system does not provide universal coverage for all Albertans. Specifically, there are individuals who do not qualify for the limited public coverage and are not covered for private benefits. These individuals would generally fall under the following groups:

- Self-employed and their dependents;
- Unemployed and their dependents; or
- Retired individuals without access to postretirement insurance. (Some group plans offer post-retirement coverage, but recent changes in Canadian accounting requirements have created an incentive for employers to eliminate such offerings.)

Based on the extent of prescription drug coverage, it is likely that approximately 30% of Albertans of working age are not covered for supplemental health benefits.

Some of these individuals may have the means to buy individual coverage. However, individual coverage may not suffice. First, it generally requires the submission of evidence of insurability. Second, the scope of individual coverage may not match that of prevailing group insurance plans.

The specific benefits involved may be characterized as a typical group extended health care policy, without prescription drug coverage. As such, the benefit package modelled in this study consists of:

- Private duty nurses when ordered by a physician;
- Paramedical specialists (physiotherapists, chiropractors, acupuncturists, podiatrists, chiropodists, naturopaths, homeopaths);
- Psychologists;
- Speech therapists;
- Registered massage therapists;
- Ophthalmologists and optometrists;
- Osteopaths;
- Dental accident coverage within ninety (90) days of an accident;
- Vision care (lenses, frames and eye examinations);

- Hearing aids;
- Mobility aids, prosthetic equipment, diagnostic laboratory tests, x-ray procedures and other medical supplies, including hospital-type bed, wheelchair and other such medical and mobility devices when medically necessary;
- Orthotic devices prescribed by podiatrists, chiropractors, chiropodists, or orthopaedic surgeons; and
- Orthopaedic shoes, up to one pair every 12 months (three pairs if under 19 years of age) prescribed by an orthopaedic surgeon.

In the private health insurance industry, such coverage is typically sold subject to deductibles and co-payments. Based on a forecast prepared by The Canadian Institute for Health Information, it is estimated that the cost of such coverage to Albertans in 2004 exceeded \$1.7 billion, including out-of-pocket expenses. ²⁶ Recent renewal increases for coverage in the private health insurance industry exceed those applicable to nonemergency health. Many private carriers have moved to limit plan designs to contain this growth, thereby shifting costs to the claimant.

Summary of Findings

Baseline Analysis

As was the case with non-emergency health care, the baseline analysis assumes a continuation of the

practices, plan designs and delivery mechanisms that have led to the current situation. Thus the baseline analysis fundamentally assumes continuation of private health insurance and no immediate success in reducing inflationary trends that drive cost increases.

Costs

Claims data compiled from a representative selection of private sector plans was used to generate per capita claims that varied by age and sex. The resulting claims rates were tested against Alberta's population and adjusted so that they produced total costs that were consistent with the 2004 forecast referred to above.

Claim Projection Factors

Claim projection factors were developed for each agesex cell by analyzing utilization and inflation patterns within the same representative selection of private sector plans and ensuring that the aggregate impact was consistent with increases typically required by private insurers at renewal. This process led to inflation factors that vary by age, sex and benefit sub-group as listed in the following table. It is not reasonable to assume that such high increases will continue indefinitely. As a result, for the five years following the year 2010, the initial projection factors were graded down into factors that were identical to those utilized in the non-emergency health model. Even with this moderating assumption, compounding leads to significant increases over time.

Claim Projection Factors


Age Band 0 - 4 5 - 9 10 - 14	Male					The second secon	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	the state of the s		
0 - 4 5 - 9 0 - 14 5 - 19		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
5 - 9 0 - 14 5 - 19	8.75%	8.05%	5.62%	3.13%	20.50%	24.00%	16.88%	14.20%	17.50%	21.00%
0 - 14 5 - 19	8.75%	8.05%	5.62%	3.13%	20.50%	24.00%	16.88%	14.20%	17.50%	21.00%
5 - 19	7.70%	7.35%	5.62%	3.13%	20.50%	24.00%	16.88%	14.20%	15.40%	18.48%
	7.70%	7.35%	5.62%	3.13%	20.50%	24.00%	15.19%	12.78%	15.40%	18.48%
20 - 24	2.95%	8.40%	4.95%	2.75%	20.50%	24.00%	15.19%	12.78%	15.40%	18.48%
25 - 29	2.95%	8.40%	4.95%	2.75%	20.50%	24.00%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
30 - 34	2.95%	8.40%	4.05%	2.25%	13.94%	17.28%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
35 - 39	2.95%	8.40%	4.05%	2.25%	13.94%	17.28%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
40 - 44	2.95%	7.00%	4.05%	2.25%	13.94%	17.28%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
45 - 49	2.95%	7.00%	4.05%	2.25%	13.94%	17.28%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
50 - 54	2.95%	7.00%	4.05%	2.25%	13.94%	17.28%	14.35%	12.07%	12.60%	15.12%
55 - 59	7.00%	7.00%	4.50%	2.50%	20.50%	24.00%	16.04%	13.49%	14.00%	16.80%
60 - 64	7.70%	7.00%	4.50%	2.50%	20.50%	24.00%	19.41%	16.33%	14.00%	16.80%
69 - 99	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	21.32%	24.96%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%
70 - 74	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	21.32%	24.96%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%
75 - 79	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	22.14%	25.92%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%
80 - 84	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	22.14%	25.92%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%
85 - 89	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	22.14%	25.92%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%
+ 06	7.70%	7.00%	5.40%	3.00%	16.40%	19.20%	19.41%	16.33%	17.50%	21.00%

Total

that increased from just over \$2 billion in 2006 to \$115 billion in 2050. As a per cent of The final adjusted per capita claims rates and inflation factors produced annual costs insurance model, pre-funding has little applicability, because private insurers are equivalent level funding per cent was 7.76%. Note, however, that in a private taxable income, claims increased from 2.2% in 2006 to 17.25% in 2050. The unlikely to accept the attendant risks.

Supplemental Health - Baseline Scenario

If not controlled, inflation threatens the affordability of health insurance in future.



6-5 Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006


By Age


Costs per capita displayed a fairly consistent pattern. While costs for the age category zero-to-four were quite high, they declined for the next two or three age categories and began to rise thereafter, peaking at ages 90 and above.

Although per capita costs rose steeply by age, the distribution of covered population by age is such that older ages do not represent the bulk of the claims. Nevertheless, aging of the population is an additional cost driver, as more of the population lives longer.

By Gender

Outside of the child-bearing years, per capita costs for females tended to be lower than for males. This pattern is consistent with other studies and was therefore not unexpected.





Aon Consulting

Despite generally higher male per capita claim rates, female utilization during the childbearing years is such that in total females cost more in health care than males.

Aon Consulting

Mandatory Public Health Insurance

Key Cost Drivers

The following factors drive cost:


- Population growth;
- Aging population;
- New technology;
- Rising demand; and
- Provider expectations.



From page 2-12

The following graph displays the key sources of growth both through the year 2040 and over the entire projection period.

Supplemental Health - Sources of Growth



For supplemental health, claims inflation is the principal cost driver.

Sustainability

The current growth rate of costs under private insurance is not sustainable. Public insurance does not appear to offer greater scope for demand constraint than the current private model.

Opportunity for Choice

A public system would eliminate the opportunity for choice.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

The mandatory public health insurance scenario offers little opportunity to link available health care to behaviour, unless the government wishes to consider brand new policy initiatives such as varying the coverage available by health status, e.g., obesity or smoking status, or contemplating the use of cost sharing or other user fees in reimbursing certain types of services.

Sensitivity Testing

No sensitivity testing of this scenario was conducted.

Conclusions

currently, these benefits are almost entirely privately insured. Given the anticipated growth rate of all medical care expenses and government's wish to reduce its exposure to such increases, it was decided that there was little

sense in performing any additional analysis of publicly funded scenario for these benefits.

Mandatory Public Health Insurance with Private Supplemental Coverage

Key Cost Drivers

The following factors drive cost:



- Population growth;
 - Aging population;
 New technology;
- Rising demand; and
- Provider expectations.

From page 2-14

Sustainability

The current growth rate of costs is not sustainable.

Opportunity for Choice

A public system would eliminate the opportunity for choice.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

As in the previous scenario, the mandatory public scenario offers little opportunity to link available health care to behaviour.

Sensitivity Testing

No sensitivity testing of this scenario was conducted.

Conclusions

 Currently, these benefits are almost entirely privately insured. Given the anticipated growth rate of all medical care expenses and government's wish to reduce its exposure to such increases, it was decided that there was little sense in performing any additional analysis of publicly funded scenario for these benefits.

Mandatory Private Health Insurance

Since these benefits are currently privately provided, the mandatory private model bears certain similarities to the current situation.

based on the premise that

This insurance model is



government would mandate


minimum benefits that would be required coverage for all Albertans through private carriers. Not all Albertans would be able to afford private premiums, so the model envisages the need for premium subsidies on a household means-tested basis. Private carriers would be free to establish their own costs for the plans they provided. Policyholders and/or plan sponsors would be


6-9

This system would closely resemble the current system, with the chief differences being that minimum coverage would be mandated and all Albertans would need to be insured for at least the minimum coverage.

In this scenario, the expected future inflation was reduced compared to the baseline scenario. As with non-emergency health care, maintaining unreduced future inflation led to costs that were clearly unrealistic and unsustainable. Therefore, revised inflation factors were developed for each age-sex cell and for each benefit grouping as follows:


 Initial inflation factors were unchanged for five years; and Beginning in 2011, annual reductions were assumed so that by the year 2015 the inflation assumption was identical to that assumed to apply to non-emergency health care under the mandatory public health insurance scenario. Annual costs rise from \$2.1 billion in 2006 to \$63 billion in 2050. Expressed as a per cent of taxable income, the increase is still considerable – rising from 2.2% in 2006 to 8.8% in 2050. The equivalent level funding per cent is 5.6% of taxable income.





Aon Consulting

Co-payments reduce the price of health insurance plans, but their long-term impact on cost is unknown.



Key Cost Drivers

The following factors drive cost:

Population growth;

Aging population;

- New technology;
 Rising demand; and
- Provider expectations.

Private carriers include a profit margin in their pricing, which is a factor that has no counterpart in public financing models. In addition, it may well be that moving from a single, centrally administered plan to one with decentralized administration offered by multiple sources will tend to drive administrative costs up. There is potential to offset this if private carriers succeed in negotiating provider arrangements that offer permanent, compounding savings compared to other models. In summary, this model appears to offer the likelihood of higher initial costs with possible future savings.

Sustainability

This model is as sustainable as the current optional private system of offering supplemental health insurance.

Opportunity for Choice

Consumers who purchase individual coverage would have freedom to choose the plan and the insurer that they wished, so this model offers maximum scope for individual choice. People who have insurance provided through a group insurance contract would be bound by the choice made by their employer, but the employer could choose from all carriers in the market.

Opportunity to Link Behaviour

The opportunity to link to behaviour in this scenario is a function of the plan design(s) mandated by the government.

Sensitivity Testing


The following additional scenarios have been tested:

- Ultimate inflation rate 1% higher, at 7%;
- Ultimate inflation rate 1% lower, at 5%;
- High population scenario;
- Low population scenario; and
- Salary indexation of 1% less for under age 65 and 0.5% less for age 65 or more.

The general conclusions are similar to those reached under the non-emergency health models. Low economic growth imposes the greatest burden on the population, with costs projected to exceed 14% of taxable income by the year 2050, followed by high inflation, under which costs approach 13% of taxable income by the year 2050. The other scenarios are relatively benign in their impact on the province's ability to absorb the projected increases.

Aon Consulting 6-12 29 MARCH 2006

private industry.



Conclusions

- This approach to providing supplemental health care coverage to the population enables all individuals to benefit from a floor of coverage.
- Recognizing that private insurers would consider some individuals to be representatives of the private industry to determine how best to ensure uninsurable it would be prudent to hold advance discussions with coverage for all and appropriate catastrophic coverage.
- The government would need to become involved in oversight to a greater degree than is currently the case.
- The modelling assumption of reduced future inflation has not been validated in practice.

Economic Analysis

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.

Model Overview

The economic model uses a systems dynamic approach to simulate the economic effects associated with the baseline health care system and conceptual insurance models that have been actuarially modelled. This approach was adopted mainly to respond to the large number of causal factors that could be introduced with a large-scale change to the health care system. It also takes into account the high probability that this would degrade the reliability of analysis based on multivariate regression techniques.

The economic model includes following primary modules:

- Population and Employment;
- Provincial Economy (GDE and GDP);
- Provincial Revenue and Expenses (Public Finance);
- Alberta Health and Wellness revenue and expenses;
- Contributions by Other Government Departments to Health Care;
- Economics of Health Care; and
- Actuarial Model Link.




Chart 1. System Dynamic
Model Structure

7-2 Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006

The model includes approximately 400 variables. Of these, approximately 70 have manageable inputs for testing sensitivity, the impact of different assumptions and policy changes.

As a simulation, the model creates a number of dynamic loops that permit simulation of feedback relationships. The most significant of these are:

- Employment/unemployment and GDP; and
- Health care employment, productivity, health care GDP and provincial GDP.

Primary Data Sources

Data sources used in this model include:

- Alberta Finance's projected population model (three scenarios – high, medium and low);
- Alberta Finance's Economic Model Projection 2002-2009 (specifically the key indicators were used to ensure that the key economic factors were aligned with current government projections);
- Provincial Expense based on Historical Fiscal Summary of 2005-08 Fiscal Plan of the Budget 2005.
- Alberta Health and Wellness Revenue and Expenses based on Ministry Statement of Operations of the Business Plan 2005-08;
- Other department contributions based on list of programs in Government of Alberta Ministries, not

including Alberta Health and Wellness that provide health funding.

Historical data for the province found in *Health*Care and Social Assistance GDP Statistics
Canada data at Basic Price 1984-04 and Labor
Force Survey 1976 -2005.²⁷

The following section reviews the impact that key variables in the economic model have on the outputs. Note that in building on the current Alberta Finance Economic Projections and Demographic data, there are a number of implicit assumptions in the model that cannot be varied. These are noted below where appropriate.

Baseline Analysis

The baseline economic projection incorporates the baseline assumptions of the actuarial models. Furthermore, current taxation and other spending policies are deemed to be constant.

Provincial Finances

For the baseline projection, the key financial impact points for the province of Alberta are as follows:

- In 2016 provincial health care expenses could exceed 50% of the total provincial spending;
- Total provincial expenses may exceed revenue in

7-3

2017, putting the province in a deficit position; and

Consistent deficit spending would eliminate accumulated net financial assets in

resources, the projected increase in heath care costs will still put the province in a It is important to note that even with Alberta's projected revenue from natural deficit position within the projection period.

Health Care

Projected Fiscal Summary 2005 to 2025 Provincial Income Statement

(similar of collects)					
	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025
Revenue					
Personal provincial tax	\$5,062	\$7,162	\$10,737	\$15,893	\$23,163
Business provincial tax	\$2,372	\$2,633	\$3,829	\$5,537	\$7,945
School property tax	\$1,268	\$1,417	\$1,643	\$1,905	\$2,208
Other tax revenue	\$1,920	\$2,444	\$3,347	\$4,557	\$6,156
Resource revenue	\$12,307	\$10,568	\$9,075	\$7,793	\$6,692
Investment income provincial revenue	\$1,404	\$2,674	\$3,068	\$2,610	\$897
Other own source revenue	\$4,114	\$5,238	\$7,171	\$9,762	\$13,187
Federal government transfers	\$3,413	\$4,409	\$5,900	\$7,895	\$10,566
Provincial Revenue	\$31,859	\$36,547	\$44,772	\$55,952	\$70,815
Expense					
AHW Expense	\$8,879	\$13,733	\$20,260	\$29,489	\$43,072
Health (other programs)	\$771	\$1,139	\$1,750	\$2,880	\$5,080
Total Provincial health care funding	\$9,650	\$14,872	\$22,009	\$32,369	\$48,152
Education	\$6,482	\$7,922	\$9,711	\$11,828	\$14,296
Social services	\$2,530	\$3,140	\$3,848	\$4,687	\$5,665
Other expense	\$6,298	\$7,139	\$8,751	\$10,659	\$12,883
Debt servicing cost	\$317	\$251	\$251	\$251	\$251
Total Provincial Expense	\$25,277	\$33,323	\$44,570	\$59,794	\$81,247
Assets			P. W.		
Net Financial Assets beginning of year	\$16,580	\$39,056	\$46,947	\$37,770	\$3,509
Capital Assets beginning of year	\$10,640	\$12,850	\$15,224	\$17,976	\$21,167
Net Assets Beginning of year	\$27,220	\$51,907	\$62,171	\$55,746	\$24,676

With current trends, the

province will shift to a deficit

position in 2016/17 due to rising

health care costs.

Canada Health Act Related Funding

Projected Fiscal Summary 2005 to 2025

(Millions of Dollars)		,	Current Situation	_	
Funding	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025
Public					
Alberta Health and Wellness	\$8,879	\$13,733	\$20,260	\$29,489	\$43,072
Other departments	\$771	\$1,139	\$1,750	\$2,880	\$5,080
Total Health Care Public Funding	\$9,650	\$14,872	\$22,009	\$32,369	\$48,152

health spending as a portion of

total spending due to above

average utilization and inflation

For the baseline projection, the

most significant trend is private

Insurer funding

Total Health Care Private Funding Out-of-pocket

\$7,956

\$5,462 \$16,053

\$23,953

\$72,105

\$48,422

\$32,721

\$21,226

\$12,827

Total Health Care Cost

for supplemental health and

\$15,998

\$10,591

\$7,021 \$3,690 \$10,711

\$4,077


\$1,984 \$1,192 \$3,176

\$6,354 \$2,277


Use of Funds prescription drugs.

The second secon					
Prescription drugs cost	\$2,735	\$5,698	\$9,316	\$13,697	\$20,008
Continuing care cost	\$1,263	\$1,749	\$2,269	\$3,196	\$4,674
Non-emergency cost	\$4,714	\$7,378	\$11,454	\$17,793	\$27,726
Supplementary health cost	\$1,830	\$3,621	\$6,221	\$9,402	\$14,241
Other health care	\$1,900	\$2,317	\$2,929	\$3,710	\$4,708
Total Health Care Cost	\$12,827	\$21,226	\$32,721	\$48,422	\$72,105


2-2 Aon Consulting 29 MARCH 2006



changes to revenue).



Apart from controlling cost, the growth of the provincial economy is the most significant factor in ensuring sustainable health care. An average real GDP growth rate of 3.5% (until 2025) would be required to prevent a deficit position.




Other Program Cost Impact on Sustainability

The baseline projection largely assumes that non-health costs for the Government of other services, delayed the shift to a net deficit until 2023/24, but did not change the Alberta will increase proportionately to increases in the CPI and population growth. Testing with a non-health care cost growth of the CPI only, implying a reduction in overall trend towards a non-sustainable position.


7-7 Aon Consulting 29 MARCH 2006

GDP Sensitivity of Net Financial Assets

Similarly, with the higher GDP growth rate and avoidance of a net financial assets are deficit position, the province's maintained and can continue to contribute to revenue through investment income.



The baseline projection largely assumes that non-health costs for the Government of Alberta will increase proportionately to increases in the CPI and



Selected Insurance Plan Impacts

Projections associated with different insurance plans have material impact on sustainability. The specific nature of this effect is largely related to any tax policy changes that would offset a portion of the additional revenue or reduced costs linked to specific insurance models.

Prescription Drugs

The following table summarizes the potential economic impact of the public mandatory insurance with private replacement conceptual model where applied to prescription drugs.

If premiums collected are in addition to current revenue, there is a significant effect as the growth in costs associated with prescription drugs is completely offset with new revenue. As this would have the effect of a large increase in personal taxes, other policy changes would be necessary.

Giving premiums a tax-deductible status has a minor impact on the total surplus as personal income tax rates are relatively low.

Fully offsetting new premiums with a tax reduction would slightly worsen public finances as this is done in conjunction with increased prescription drug costs for the province (slightly increased use for those currently uninsured or under-insured). Any offsetting taxes would

need to carefully balance the impact on individuals receiving insurance through their employer and those who would be obtaining insurance through a public provider.

Prescription Drugs

Scenario	Health Care/Provincial Revenue	incial Revenue	Net Revenue (millions)
	2005	2025	2025
Baseline	30%	%89	(\$10,432)
Public with Private Replacement	78%	53%	\$11,976
Public with Private Replacement (with oremiums considered tax- deductible)	29%	54%	\$9,704
Public with Private Replacement (taxes reduced to account for increased premiums)	30%	72%	(\$13,112)

Continuing Care

The following table summarizes the potential economic impact of the public mandatory conceptual model as applied to continuing care.

If premiums collected are in addition to current revenue, there is a material effect on net revenue that greatly delays the deficit breakeven point. Note, however, that this is essentially analogous to an increase in personal taxes.

Aon Consulting

- As this is a mandatory public plan, there is no material impact on costs if the insurance premiums are offset with tax reductions.
- Prior to 2025, pre-funding has no material improvement over the basic mandatory public insurance model. However, as the impact of population aging largely takes place in the 2020 2040 period, the longer-term sustainability would be enhanced.

Continuing Care

Scenario	Health Care/Provincial Revenue	incial Revenue	Net Revenue (millions)
	2005	2025	2025
Baseline	30%	%89	(\$10,432)
Mandatory Public (no pre- funding)	30%	61%	(\$2,101)
Mandatory Public (no pre- funding and taxes reduced to account for increased premiums)	30%	%89	(\$10,432)
Mandatory Public (with pre- funding)	30%	61%	(\$1,948)

Non-Emergency Health

The following table summarizes the potential economic impact on non-emergency health of a private mandatory insurance with public premium pooling model.

Where productivity gains enabled by the competition encouraged by private insurers meet the actuarial projections, there is a substantial improvement in the province's net revenue position.

The portion of health care within the public sector dramatically decreases (relative to the baseline projection for 2025) as a large portion of health care costs are not paid from the general revenue fund in this scenario. These costs still exist but there is no additional private sector burden, only the funding mechanism changes.

Should all federal transfers be eliminated with this model, there would be an anticipated worsening of public finances, as productivity gains would not offset the lost funding.

Non-Emergency Health

Scenario	Health Care/Provincial Revenue	incial Revenue	Net Revenue (millions)
	2005	2025	2025
Baseline	30%	%89	(\$10,432)
Private with Public Pooling (taxes reduced to account for increased premiums)	30%	28%	(\$3,691)
Private with Public Pooling (taxes reduced to account for increased premiums and elimination of federal transfers)	30%	32%	(\$11,204)

Other Comments

Based on current projections, rising health care costs would create a deficit for the Government of Alberta by 2017. The primary factors for improving this are:

 Economic growth above baseline projections, increasing provincial revenue sufficiently to offset the increase in costs. Sensitivity testing indicates

- Reducing health care costs beyond those estimated in the base actuarial projections. Ideally this will be done by increasing productivity through operational improvements or additional capital investment, limiting growth in health care labour requirements and subsequent wage inflation pressures.
- offsetting increases in health care costs with a net increase in revenue to the health care sector, either through increased taxes or new health insurance premiums (that are not fully offset by tax reductions). This may impact Alberta's relative tax level, requiring careful balancing of any increase in costs with the relative taxes and premiums levied in other jurisdictions.

Methodology Overview

Unemployment - GDP Relationship

unemployment and GDP growth based on historical annual data of Statistics Canada The summary table includes results of regression analysis of relationships between (Labor Force Survey 1981-2004 and GDP 1981-2004).

Summary Table

Variable	Coeff.	Std.Err.	t Stat.	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	0.026	600.0	2.786	0.014	900.0	0.046
GDP growth	-0.159	0.032	-4.974	0.000	-0.227	-0.091
unempl_1st	1.526	0.153	9.952	0.000	1.199	1.853
unempl_2nd -	-0.781	0.169	-4.632	0.000	-1.141	-0.422
unempl_4th	0.102	0.061	1.673	0.115	-0.028	0.232

Regression Statistics

t(2.5%, 15)	2.131
Deg. Free	15
# Missing	4
# Cases	20
Std. Err.	0.009
Adj. R Sqr	0.946
R Square	0.957
Multiple R	0.979

historically performed part of unemployment and a component related to GDP growth Based on the regression there is a clear loop between unemployment and GDP, and these results were used to absorb the component of unemployment related to GDP growth. Furthermore, unemployment was defined as a function of the sum of the (with negative coefficient 0.159).

Health Care Module Sensitivity Testing

period, sensitivity analysis was conducted for the Sum of critical factors for Health Care GDP are productivity and To analyze accumulated impact for the 2006-2025 time Real Health Care GDP (in Constant 1997 Dollars). The contributes to 12% growth in the Sum of Real Health unemployment rates). In both cases a 1% increase employment in health care (assuming level Care GDP.

12% in response to a 1% increase in employment. This is due to the assumption that there is sufficient capital stock 2006-2025 Sum of Real Health Care GDP to increase by growth is found to be a major factor in increasing Health Note that productivity, which is defined as a function of contributions to GDP have a proxy effect on the used to ensure the larger labour force's productivity rate is capital stock influence. Essentially, one expects the unchanged. Even with the proxy effect, productivity GDP divided by working hours, and employment Care GDP.

Insofar as there is a significant difference in productivity, (minimizing an education effect), the productivity gap is and that health care workers are highly educated largely defined by:

- Lack of available or used tools to work more productively; and/or
- Sub-optimal operational efficiency level.

		Input Values		Output	Output Values (Sum of Real GDP \$97M 2005-2025)	of Real 2025)			
Input Variable	Low	Base	High	Low	Base	High	Change	Swing	Per Cent Variance
Productivity growth after 2009	0.53%	1.48%	2.66%	161,190	172,899	189,054	9.3%	27,864	37.0%
Population projections (1-low, 2-medium, 3-high)	-	2	e	165,081	172,899	186,430	7.8%	21,350	21.7%
Additional growth of health care employment	%00.0	0.00%	1.00%	172,899	172,899	193,585	12.0%	20,686	20.4%
Additional growth of health care productivity	%00.0	0.00%	1.00%	172,899	172,899	193,523	11.9%	20,624	20.3%
Unemployment rate	3.90%	3.90%	5.30%	172,899	172,899	170,785	-1.2%	2,114	0.2%
Additional net in- migration/population	%0	%0	1.04%	172,899	172,899	174,697	1.0%	1,798	0.5%
Participation rate	72.84%	73.21%	73.56%	172,157	172,899	173,584	0.4%	1,427	0.1%
Hours per year	1804.58	1820	1820	171,670	172,899	172,899	%0.0	1,229	0.1%


Sensitivity Analysis

Productivity growth is a key

factor for cost effective

increases to Health Sector

GDP.



Sum of real HC GDP \$97M 2006-2025

Provincial Economy Sensitivity Testing


Alberta GDP by 9.34%. Switching to the high projection of population increases Sum The sensitivity chart above provide analysis of 2006-2025 Sum of Real Alberta GDP productivity and employment growth are highly correlated with the GDP projections. Thus, an increase in productivity after 2009 by 1.18% increases the Sum of Real (in Constant 1997 Dollars). As is the case with Sum of Real Health Care GDP, of Real Alberta GDP by 8.94%.

			Input Values			Output Val	output Values (Sum of Real GDP \$97M 2005-2025)	Keal GDP 5)	
Input Variable	Change	Low	Base	High	Change	Low	Base	High	Change
Productivity growth after 2009	-0.95%	0.53%	1.48%	2.66%	1.18%	3,543,007	3,800,125	4,154,881	9.34%
Population projections (1- low, 2-medium, 3-high)		-	2	8		3,605,352	3,800,125	4,139,735	8.94%
Unemployment rate	%00.0	3.90%	3.90%	5.30%	1.40%	3,800,125	3,800,125	3,753,710	-1.22%
Additional net in- migration/population	0:00%	%0	%0	1.04%	1.04%	3,800,125	3,800,125	3,839,647	1.04%
Participation rate	-0.37%	72.8%	73.2%	73.6%	0.35%	3,783,838	3,800,125	3,815,170	0.40%
Hours per year	-0.85%	1804.58	1820	1820	%00.0	3,773,131	3,800,125	3,800,125	0.00%

Productivity and population growth dominate GDP projections where full

employment is assumed.

Sensitivity Analysis



Sum of Real GDP \$97M 2006-2025

the changes to the unemployment rate are relatively linear (i.e., an increase of 1.4% in projections provided by Alberta Finance are the primary determinants of this module's variability. If the assumptions underlying this demographic projection are realized, the by 2025. Given the assumptions of the population projection, it is not surprising that increased population growth could create a 15.27% increase in employment growth Full employment is a major source of high GDP growth, assuming that the capital productivity levels. As indicated in the following chart, variations in the population stock is sufficient to utilize a growing labour force without decreasing current unemployment creates a reduction in total employement of 1.46% by 2025).

			Input Values			es andmo	\$97M 2005-2025)	5)	
Input Variable	Change	Low	Base	High	Change	Low	Base	High	Change
Population projections (1- low, 2-medium, 3-high)		-	2	က		2,032	2,237	2,579	15.27%
Unemployment rate	%00:0	3.90%	3.90%	2.30%	1.40%	2,237	2,237	2,204	-1.46%
Additional net in- migration/population	0.00%	%0	%0	1.04%	1.04%	2,237	2,237	2,260	1.04%
Participation rate	-0.37% 72.8%	72.8%	73.2%	73.6%	0.35%	2,225	2,237	2,247	0.47%

Sensitivity Analysis

2,500 2025 Employment 000s 0% 1.04% 72.8% 73.6% 2,300 5.30% 2,100 1,900 Unemployment rate Participation rate Population projections (1-low, 2-medium, 3-high) Additional net in-migration/population

The model's employment data
is heavily influenced by the
assumptions in Alberta
Alberta Finance's population
projections.

Provincial Government Revenue

The model does not reflect the impact of changes to the implicit tax rate on productivity and economic growth. Should a relationship between the implicit tax rate and productivity be identified, the model can be subsequently extended to accommodate this.

Health Outputs

There are suggestions that improvements in population health positively impact productivity and employment participation rate, leading to GDP growth. With the data available to this study, no strong relationship function could be identified between health outputs and productivity or GDP. Such analysis could be improved by additional data on capital stocks and other parameters impacting productivity. Furthermore, the time frame of the data could be extended (data permitting) to account for the considerable lag between improvement in health outcomes and economic impact.

General Comments on Multiplier


A reliable regression could not be found where the multiplier could be more than one within the scope of the analysis.

7-17 Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006

Vignettes

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.



Overview

forward to capture the anticipated results associated with The purpose of these illustrations is to demonstrate the representative households at different points in time. In each case, the costs and expected claims are carried expected pattern of funding contributions, available income and projected costs for a selection of the household, as the individuals in it age.

differently situated individuals or families were modelled: Five households, designed to capture a range of

- Lance Lake, single male, aged 22
- Joseph and Mary Allison, aged 32, two children, boy aged seven and girl aged two
- children, boy aged 17, girl aged 12 and boy aged Daniel and Celeste Denison, aged 42, with three
- Arthur and Jenn King, aged 52, no children
- Jessica Langley, pensioner, aged 72

In each scenario, an appropriate average income was assumed for the individual or household. The analysis compares expected average costs to the circumstances change in normal age-related patterns. changing relationships among these three items as nealth care system, financing contributions and net ncome at different future points in time. It captures

The points in time selected for comparison were 2006, 2020 and 2040.

was not included in the model as it is already provided care and non-emergency health. Supplemental health The modelling includes prescription drugs, continuing privately.

Vignette 1

ime, his projected funding contributions, costs and net Lance Lake is a 22-year old single male in 2006. Over ncome are as follows:

\$3,042 25% ice \$953 8%	\$54,0	o ·
Net income \$12,100 100% \$75,000 100%	100% \$188,000	%001

contribution is greater than the amount needed to pay for cost the system more than he has contributed to it. This from implementing level funding for all. Lance's funding illustrates the generational transfer of costs that results ncreased utilization on the part of those currently older By 2040, Lance has reached age 56, but he has yet to nis own costs, because he also needs to pay for the than he is.

Joseph and Mary Allison are a young couple, both aged 32 in 2006, with two children, a son aged seven and a daughter aged two. Over time, their projected funding contributions, costs and net income are as follows:

	2006	9	2020	0;	2040	2
Funding contribution	\$14,757	26%	\$42,423	35%	\$28,546	28%
Health service costs	\$5,800	10%	\$18,226	15%	\$90,387	868%
Net income	\$57,600	100%	\$122,000	100%	\$102,000	100%

By 2040, at age 66, Joseph and Mary will have begun to cost the system more than they contributed to it. The crossover point occurs, roughly, at age 60. Note that since costs are projected to grow more rapidly than income, the gap between the two is expected to grow over time.

Vignette 3

Daniel and Celeste Denison are a middle-aged couple, both aged 42 in 2006, with three children, a son aged 17, a daughter aged 12 and a second son aged seven. Over time, their projected funding contributions, costs and net income are as follows:

	2006	9	2020	0	2040	0
unding contribution	\$19,308	30%	\$37,917	31%	\$27,329	28%
Health service	\$5,978	%6	\$19,456	16%	\$165,651	167%
Vet income	\$65,000	100%	123,000	100%	\$99,000	100%

Vignette 4

Arthur and Jenn King are an older couple, both aged 52 in 2006. Their children are adults, who no longer live with their parents. Over time, their projected funding contributions, costs and net income are as follows:

26% 412% 100%	2020 2040 35 26% \$13,427	76% \$214,065		\$9,305	3%	\$11,017 \$5,309 1	Funding contribution Health service costs Net income
---------------	---	---------------	--	---------	----	--------------------------	--

Shortly after 2006, Arthur and Jenn's received health services exceed their contribution. This amount becomes extremely large over time. In essence, they benefit from the excess contributions paid by younger individuals like Lance Lake.

Jessica Langley is a single pensioner, aged 72 in 2006. Over time, her projected funding contributions, costs and net income are as follows:

	2006	2020		2040
Funding	\$4,799 25%	\$6,196	26%	N/A N/A
Health service	Health service \$6,872 36%	\$37,955	158%	N/A N/A
Net income	\$19,000 100% \$24,000	\$24,000	100%	100% N/A N/A

Throughout the projection period, Jessica is expected to cost the system more than she contributes.

Vignettes – Summary Observations

The five vignettes illustrate several characteristics of level percentage financing costs:

- The fact that no previous pre-funding has been conducted means that the vast majority of the current population will not fund their own costs over their remaining lifetime. Consequently, the funding rate for younger individuals is higher than it would be if they only needed to fund their own lifetime costs.
- The required funding contributions are significant.
 Taken as a whole, they divert very large amounts of money within the economy from consumption into forced savings.

Additional Analyses

Overview

In recognition of the fact that Alberta expects to produce budget surpluses for the next several years, the impact on pre-funding was modelled, should some of the available surplus be committed towards reducing the cost of pre-funding. Various possibilities were considered; the following were adopted:

- Single amounts available in 2006:
- \$1 billion for each benefit grouping
- \$2 billion for each benefit grouping \$5 billion for each benefit grouping
- \$10 billion for each benefit grouping
- Recurring amounts (for five years):
- \$1 billion for each grouping
- \$2 billion for each grouping
- \$5 billion for each grouping

Note that for these purposes, supplemental health was not included in the model as it is already provided privately.

In addition to the above, the decision was made to test the impact of a government endowment of required funding contributions. The available endowment was assumed to be either \$5 billion or \$10 billion in total. In each case it was assumed to be applicable for either five or 10 years.

- Pre-fund only the first 10 or 20 years of projected costs.
- Determine the "step-up" level per cent necessary to pre-fund costs over consecutive 10-year periods. This approach tested the possibility that the economy might be better able to tolerate gradual increases in the funding rate, rather than the abrupt increase needed to pre-fund through to 2050
- Test the impact of a three-year deferral of prefunding.
- Test the cost impact to the province of possible endowments for the insurance models.

Scenarios

1. Differing levels of government financing support for the population as a whole


The results of the different types and amounts of government support are summarized in the following table:

	Prescription Drugs	Continuing Care	Non- Emergency	Total Endowment
Mandatory Public No Support	7.98%	2.39%	12.16%	N/A
One-Time \$1B	7.95%	2.36%	12.13%	\$3B
One-Time \$2B	7.93%	2.34%	12.11%	\$6B
One-Time \$5B	7.85%	2.26%	12.03%	\$15B
One-Time \$10B	7.72%	2.13%	11.90%	\$30B
Recurring \$1B	7.86%	2.27%	12.04%	\$15B
Recurring \$2B	7.75%	2.16%	11.93%	\$30B
Recurring \$5B	7.40%	1.81%	11.58%	\$75B
\$5B Endowment - 5 years	6.89%	1.30%	11.10%	\$15B
\$5B Endowment - 10 years	7.44%	1.85%	11.63%	\$15B
\$10B Endowment - 5 years	5.81%	0.22%	10.04%	\$30B
\$10B Endowment - 10 years	%06.9	1.31%	11.11%	\$30B

Note – After either five or 10 years in the last four scenarios above, the funding percentage reverts to the full contribution required for the benefit group.

9-9

government endowment of the total funding costs on the population. This may not be The projected costs grow so rapidly that it is very difficult for the government to materially reduce them without advance commitments of very large amounts of money. The last four scenarios above display the impact of different levels of the most useful way to illustrate these results. Consider the following graph:



The graph above shows that projected temporary endowments can have a noticeable impact on the costs to the population over either the first five years or the first 10 years. Even with these endowments, the costs required to pre-fund to 2050 are significant – they would not be easily carried by the population.

- 2. Differing approaches to pre-funding
- Defer for three years:

	No Deferral	Three-Year Deferral
Prescription Drugs	7.98%	8.89%
Continuing Care	2.39%	2.49%
Non-Emergency Health	12.16%	13.23%

If Alberta is interested in implementing pre-funding, this must be done quickly. The price of delay will be significant.

Shorten the pre-funding period:

	To 2050	10 years	20 years
Prescription Drugs	7.98%	3.85%	4.84%
Continuing Care	2.39%	1.53%	1.64%
Non-Emergency Health	12.16%	6.55%	7.79%

Shortening the pre-funding period appears to offer mixed prospects. The projected costs for continuing care look quite manageable. However, continuing care is the least expensive of the benefit groups. Results for prescription drugs and non-emergency health are not economically viable.

"Step-up" level per cent funding:

	To 2015	To 2025	To 2035	To 2045	To 2050	
Prescription Drugs	3.85%	5.84%	8.15%	11.52%	15.07%	
Continuing Care	1.53%	1.75%	2.64%	3.35%	3.37%	
Non-Emergency Health	6.55%	9.02%	12.65%	17.32%	20.97%	

Unless a means can be discovered to reduce growth in costs, "step-up" pre-funding does not appear to have enough impact to significantly reduce the burden on the population. In addition, the adoption of such a system requires the implementation of future increases, which would need to be justified to and accepted by the population.

3. Permanent Population Subsidies

Should Alberta choose to adopt any form of premium payment as the basis for providing for the costs of public health care, those without the financial means to pay for their own insurance would require financial support. The specific system adopted is a decision to be taken by the Alberta government and must necessarily reflect a mix of policy, social and financial considerations. For example, any system that is based on excluding the first \$X of income for the entire population for funding purposes is liable to exclude an amount of income so large, that the resulting contributions would be completely unsupportable. A system was tested in which:

- Those with less than \$10,000 in taxable income are fully subsidized;
- Those with \$10,000 or more of taxable income but less than \$20,000 are partly subsidized; and
- Those with \$20,000 or more of taxable income are not subsidized at all.

In this system, the cost of subsidies was limited to 2.2% of total costs.

8-8

	2006
•	ģ
	Comparison
	Funding

Benefit Grouping	Level Funding Per Cent	Level Funding with Subsidies	Impact of Subsidies
Prescription Drugs	7.98%	8.16%	0.18%
Continuing Care	2.39%	2.44%	0.05%
Non-Emergency Health	12.16%	12.43%	0.27%

	Annual Co	ost compari	Annual cost comparison (\$000,000 s)	(6 00		i	
			Year				
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2015	2020	2025
7,431	7,852	8,312	8,769	9,245	11,859	14,970	18,613
167	177	187	197	208	267	337	419
2,225	2,351	2,489	2,626	2,768	3,551	4,483	5,574
20	53	99	59	62	80	101	125
11,325	11,966	12,668	13,364	14,089	18,074	22,815	28,367
255	269	285	301	317	407	513	638
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2006 7,431 167 2,225 50 50 255		2007 200 7,852 8,3 177 1 2,351 2,4 53 53	2007 2008 2009 7,852 8,312 8,769 177 187 197 2,351 2,489 2,626 53 56 59 11,966 12,668 13,364 269 285 301	Year 2007 2008 2009 7,852 8,312 8,769 177 187 197 2,351 2,489 2,626 53 56 59 11,966 12,668 13,364 1 269 285 301	Year 2007 2008 2010 20 7,852 8,312 8,769 9,245 11,8 177 187 197 208 2,626 2,768 3,5 2,351 2,489 2,626 2,768 3,5 62 2,626 2,768 3,5 53 56 59 62 2,626 2,768 18,0 11,966 12,668 13,364 14,089 18,0 269 285 301 317 4	Year Year 2007 2008 2010 2015 7,852 8,312 8,769 9,245 11,859 1 177 187 197 208 267 2,351 2,489 2,626 2,768 3,551 53 56 59 62 80 11,966 12,668 13,364 14,089 18,074 2 269 285 301 317 407

Actuarial Methodology

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness.

9-1

Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006

Population

The population of Alberta was projected until year 2050 for cost projections and financing purposes. These projections take into account mortality improvements as well as net emigration.

Projections were derived based on Alberta Finance's three projections: Low, Medium and High. (See Tables 1.1 to 1.3)

Note that Alberta Finance's projections give the number of persons per gender and five-year age-bands, from age-band 0-4 to age-band 95+, from the years 2003 to 2026. These projections do not include any mortality improvements.

Projection of Age-bands 0-4

From the Alberta Finance data, "0-4 age-band generating ratios" over five-year periods, were defined as the number of persons in age-band 0-4 in year y+5 divided by the number of women between the ages of 20 and 39 in years y+1 to y+5. The ratios derived for year y+5 = 2026 have been assumed to remain the same in following years. These ratios have been used to project the 0-4 age-bands until year 2081.

Projection of Age-bands 5-9 to 60-64

From the Alberta Finance data, "cohort replacement ratios" over five-year periods, were defined as the number of persons in age-band (x+5)-(x+9) in year y+5 divided by the number of persons in age-band (x)-(x+4) in

year y. This was done for x=0 to x=55 and for y=2003 to y=2021. These ratios take into account mortality without improvement and net emigration.

For the same cohorts, the cohort replacement ratios over five-year periods were taking into account mortality without improvement, and no migration. This has been done based on Alberta's mortality rates for years 1995-1997, published on Statistics Canada's website: http://www.statcan.ca/francais/freepub/84-537-XIF/tables/txttables/altam_f.txt and http://www.statcan.ca/francais/freepub/84-537-XIF/tables/txttables/altaf_f.txt. (See Table 1.4)

Net emigration ratios were then derived. The net emigration ratios for year 2026 have been assumed to remain the same in following years.

Mortality improvement factors were used as defined in the Scale AA published in Transaction of Society of Actuaries 1995, Vol. 47. (See Table 1.5)

Finally, mortality improvement factors were combined with net emigration ratios to project the population until year 2081.

Projection of Age-bands 65-69 to 95+

From the Alberta Finance data, "cohort replacement ratios" over five-year periods, were defined as the number of persons in age-band (x+5)-(x+9) in year y+5 divided by the number of persons in age-band (x)-(x+4) in year y. This was done for x=60 to x=90 and for y=2003 to

y=2021. These ratios take into account mortality without improvement. No migration for ages over 65 was also assumed.

The ratios derived for year y+5 = 2026 have been assumed to remain the same in following years.

For years 2008 and onwards, mortality improvement factors were used as defined in the Scale AA published in Transaction of Society of Actuaries 1995, Vol. 47, in order to modify the ratios calculated above and to project the population until year 2050.

Final Results

Final values were rounded to the closest five units. (See Tables 1.6 to 1.8)

Income

Income for the entire population of Alberta was projected.

Income per Individual

Income per individual was projected based on data provided by Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) for the 2002 tax year on its website http://www.cra-arc.gc.ca/agency/stats/gb02/pst/final/pdf/ab/table6-e.pdf. (See Table 2.1) Based on the general population data, the number of individuals without any income was derived in each age-band.

According to the Government of Alberta projections, the population's total personal income is estimated to have increased by 25.2% from 2002 to 2006. In order to

replicate this increase, CRA's data to tax year 2006 was projected by using an increase rate of 4% per annum for the income of persons aged less than 65, and an increase rate of 2% per annum for the income of persons aged 65 and over. The distribution of income by ageband and income-band for year 2006 was then derived. (See Tables 2.2 and 2.3)

Income per Household

The income per household was projected based on data provided by Statistics Canada for the 2003 tax year for households with one and two adults separately. (See Table 2.4)

As was done for income per individual, Statistics Canada's data to tax year 2006 was projected by using an increase rate of 4% per annum for the income of persons aged less than 65, and an increase rate of 2% per annum for the income of persons aged 65 and over. The distribution of income by age-band and income-band for year 2006 was then derived. (See Tables 2.5 and 2.6)

Consistency

Consistency between projected income per individual and projected income per household was verified.

Projection to Future Years

It was assumed that the income of persons aged less than 65 will increase at a rate of 4% per annum, and that the income of persons aged 65 and over will increase at a rate of 2% per annum.

It was also assumed that the income of households where the older partner is aged less than 65 will increase at a rate of 4% per annum, and that the income of households where the older partner is aged 65 or more will increase at a rate of 2% per annum.

It was assumed that, within an age-band, the proportion of individuals or households in each income-band would remain the same as in year 2006 for the following years.

Commentaries on Income Increases

It was assumed that the income of active persons would increase at an annual rate equal to the general consumer price index (CPI) plus 1% to recognize productivity gains. Moreover, it was assumed that, after age 65, income would increase at a rate equal to half the increase rate used for active persons, in order to take account of the fact that some private pensions are not indexed or are partially indexed, and that some government program pensions are indexed at the CPI rate.

These assumptions are consistent with the fact that the average CPI was close to 3% in Alberta from 2002 to 2005. Furthermore, it was assumed that the CPI in Alberta would be equal to 3% in the future years.

Public/Private Differential

It can safely be stated that the substitution of private insurance for public is likely to alter the projected stream of costs. What is more difficult to predict is the total

impact of such a substitution. In summary, the following are principal considerations:

- Private insurance will include profit margins.
- Under private insurance there may be increased administration margins (first because a single-payer system is replaced by a multiple-payer system and second because private insurers will likely have sources of administrative costs that public insurers will not have e.g., enrolment and billings, actuarial valuation, underwriting and sales)
- Private insurers may have a greater incentive to manage claims costs than a public carrier would, which may mean that savings would emerge over time to offset at least some of the first two items in the example above.

It is believed that the savings outlined in the third bullet above will not arise in connection with prescription drug benefits. This is because drug costs are principally driven by pharmaceutical pricing practices rather than by items like productivity that are perhaps more amenable to insurer control or influence. Thus when moving from public to private, the expected impact is an initial increase with the possibility of future savings, if the private insurers are successful in limiting cost inflation in the future.

29 MARCH 2006

Prescription Drugs

Drug costs are made of the sum of the following groups:

Prescribed drugs:

contracts with Alberta Blue Cross to offer three plans, including Alberta Health and Wellness Alberta Health and Wellness sponsored drug supplementary health plans for the following client groups:

Albertans under the age of 65 years, and Non-Group Coverage, available to all their dependants; Coverage for Seniors, for all Albertans 65 dependants, and for recipients of the Alberta Widows' Pension and their years of age and older and their dependants; and

diagnosed as being palliative and receiving Palliative Care Drug Coverage, for people their treatments at home.

Other Alberta ministerial drug benefit programs:

Alberta Human Resources and **Employment**; Alberta Children's Services and Alberta Seniors; and

Community Supports (AISH) clients.

Alberta government-sponsored drug programs:

Coverage (Group 1) offered by the Alberta The Alberta Blue Cross Non-Group Health Care Insurance Plan;

Seniors (Group 66) provided to all Alberta senior citizens and those on the Alberta The Alberta Blue Cross Coverage for Widows' Pension Plan (Group 66A);

for Palliative Care Drug Coverage (for these clients (for these clients the Alberta Human individuals the Palliative Care Drug Benefit The drug coverage provided to individuals Resources and Employment Drug Benefit approved by Alberta Health and Wellness Supplement must also be considered); or Seniors and Community Supports (AISH) Alberta Children's Services and Alberta The drug coverage provided to Alberta Supplement must also be considered). Human Resources and Employment,

Indirect Government of Alberta drug spending:

Cancer drugs; and

Province Wide Services (HIV, transplant drugs)

Non-prescribed drugs.

Incidence Rates

Incidence rates will vary differently from one therapeutic class class to another. For each of the 10 therapeutic class groupings used (see Table 3.1 in Appendix), relative incidence rates were established in year 2020 and these rates were interpolated linearly between 2006 and 2020. (See Table 3.2 in Appendix). It was assumed that the 2020 rates will remain the same for the future years. Incidences rates were established per five-year age-band and per gender. Incidence rates were established at 100% from 2004 to 2006.

Costs for Year 2004

Since, as previously mentioned, incidence rates will vary differently from one therapeutic class to another, average drug costs were determined per person, for various therapeutic class groupings. In order to take account of expected variations in the Alberta population demography, average drug costs were established per five-year age-band and per gender.

Comprehensive data on claims submitted to Alberta Health and Wellness (AHW) programs for the 2004-2005 fiscal year were received from Alberta Health and Wellness. Based on Table 4.3 of AHW Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Statistical Supplement 2003/2004, first the number of members in AWH programs in fiscal year 2004-2005, and then the average prescribed drug costs per age-band were projected. Since the AHW data provided eligible claim as well as paid claim information,

cost sharing between the government and the insureds were derived. (See Table 3.3)

Comprehensive data on claims submitted to income support programs for the 2004-2005 fiscal year were received from the Government of Alberta. Based on the number of members provided in the AHW presentation "Consolidation of Government of Alberta Drug Benefit Programs" dated November 1, 2005, the distribution of prescribed drug costs per age-band were derived. Since Government of Alberta's data provided eligible claim as well as paid claim information, the cost sharing between the government and the insureds were derived. (See Table 3.4)

Details on prescribed drugs paid under the special drug programs were not received, except for the total amount paid in the 2004-2005 fiscal year. It was assumed that the special drug programs prescribed drug costs would be proportional to the sum of prescribed drug costs paid under the AHW and the income support programs. This proportion has been established to be 57.5%.

Based on the table on page 94 in the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) document titled "Drug Expenditure in Canada 1985 to 2004", the total prescribed drug costs incurred by uninsured persons and persons under private insurance were derived.

According to the AHW presentation "Consolidation of Government of Alberta Drug Benefit Programs" dated November 1, 2005, 27% of Albertans do not have

supplemental coverage for community prescription drugs. The age and gender characteristics of these persons were determined based on Albertans that declared having no prescribed drug coverage in the Canadian Community Health Survey Cycle 2.1 (2003). It was assumed that, within each age-band and gender cell, these uninsured persons would use prescribed drugs at a rate close to the Alberta population average. (See Table

The number of persons covered under private insurance was derived as the difference between the entire population of Alberta and the sum of the uninsured persons and the populations covered under AHW and income support programs. In addition, the prescribed drug costs incurred under private insurance were derived as the total prescribed drug expenditure projected by CIHI minus the prescribed drug costs incurred by the uninsured persons and the various government programs. In order to determine average costs per ageband, the statistical information of a representative selection of private sector data was used. (See Table 3.6)

Finally, non-prescribed drug projections were based on CIHI's projection for 2004. It was assumed that, within each age-band and gender cell, the non-prescribed drug cost would be proportionate to the cost of all prescribed drugs. (See Table 3.7)

Rates of Increase

Various sets of rates of increase were used:

For prescribed drugs:

For the baseline projection, a flat inflation rate equal to CPI + 12% per annum was used to project drug costs assuming that the inflation rates observed in the last few years would remain the same in the future. This rate was combined with incidence rates and demographic variations. The projections used a CPI equal to 3% per annum.

For the revised baseline projection and the three insurance models, three different scales (Accelerated, Slow and Immediate), which include the impact of inflation, incidence rates and demographic variations were used. (See Table 3.8) The Accelerated scale was employed as a basis for the projections. The other scales were used for sensitivity analysis only. The Accelerated scale was modified when used with Low and High population projection.

For non-prescribed drugs:

A flat inflation rate equal to CPI + 2% per annum was utilized in order to project drug costs assuming that the inflation rates observed in the last few years would remain the same in the future. This rate was combined

with incidence rates and demographic variations. The projections used a CPI equal to 3% per annum.

Projection Method

For projection purposes, it was assumed that the ratio of persons in each group (AHW, income support, Uninsured, Private) over the entire population of Alberta would remain the same as in year 2004, for each ageband and gender.

annum inflation rate (5% for non-prescribed drugs), combined with incidence rates and demographic variations. For prescribed drugs in the baseline projection, 2006 costs were projected to future years using the appropriate increase and incidence rates. For non-prescribed drugs, 2006 costs were projected to future years using the appropriate increase and incidence rates for all models.

Except as specified below, for each age-band/gender cell, the annual drug costs in a particular year are equal to:

- The average cost in year 2004 for this particular age-band/gender cell
- Augmented in order to take account of the cumulative rate of increase since 2004
- Times the incidence rate for this particular year

 Times the number of persons in the ageband/gender cell in this particular year. For the revised baseline and the three insurance models and for year 2007 onwards, the total annual drug costs per group in a particular year are equal to:

- The total annual drug costs in the previous year
- Augmented by the rate of increase for the particular year, as defined in one of the three different scales (Accelerated, Slow, Immediate).

Calculation of Projected Values

For this paragraph, the following definitions will be used:

- Public fees It was assumed that administration expenses of public drug programs are equal to 5% of paid claims.
- private fees To account for additional expenses inherent to private business, including but not limited to marketing, commissions, cost of capital and profit, a 5% charge on the cost that would be incurred in the public sector was added.
- Ratio 1 = Ratio of paid claims on eligible claims in AHW's non-group drug program Based on the 2004-2005 fiscal year data, it was assumed that the ratio of paid claims on eligible claims in AHW's non-group drug program would be 81% in 2004, increasing by 0.5% per year until year 2012, and remaining at 85% after that date.

Ratio 2 = Ratio of paid claims on eligible claims in private drug programs — It was assumed that the ratio of paid claims on eligible claims in private drug programs would be equal to 85%.

In the Baseline projection:

- "AHW" means the government's share in AHW programs, plus public fees
- "Income support" means the government's share in income support programs, plus public fees
- "Special programs" means the cost of special drug programs, plus public fees
- "Private" means:

The insured's share in AHW programs; plus

The insured's share in income support programs; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group; plus

The drug cost for the private group times Ratio 2, plus private fees; plus

The drug cost for the private group times (1 – Ratio 2), that is the insured's share

In the mandatory public drug insurance projection:

The non prescribed drug cost.

"Public (Current)" means:

The government's share in AHW programs, plus public fees; plus

The government's share in income support programs, plus public fees; plus

The cost of special drug programs, plus public fees

"Public (Additional)" means:

The drug cost for the uninsured group times Ratio 1, plus public fees; plus

The drug cost for the private group times Ratio 1, plus public fees.

"Public" means:

Public (current); plus

Public (additional).

"Out-of-pocket" means:

The insured's share in AHW programs; plus

The insured's share in income support

programs; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group times (1 - Ratio 1); plus

The drug cost for the private group times (1 - Ratio 1); plus

The non prescribed drug cost.

In the mandatory public drug insurance with private replacement coverage projection:

"Public" means:

The government's share in AHW programs, plus public fees; plus

The cost of special drug programs, plus public fees; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group times Ratio 1, plus public fees.

"Public (to be funded)" means:

The government's share in AHW programs, for seniors only, plus public fees; plus

The government's share in income support programs, for seniors only, plus public fees;

The cost of special drug programs, plus public fees.

"Public (Pay-as-you-go)" means:

Public; less

Public (to be funded)

"Private" means:

The insured's share in AHW programs; plus

The insured's share in income support

programs; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group times (1

- Ratio 1); plus

The drug cost for the private group times Ratio 2, plus private fees; plus

The drug cost for the private group times (1 -Ratio 2); plus

The non prescribed drug cost.

In the mandatory private drug insurance with public premium pooling projection:

"Insurance companies" means:

The government's share in AHW programs, plus private fees; plus

The government's share in income support programs, plus private fees; plus

The cost of special drug programs, plus private fees; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group times Ratio 1, plus private fees; plus

The drug cost for the private group times Ratio 1, plus private fees.

"Out-of-pocket" means:

The insured's share in AHW programs; plus

The insured's share in income support programs; plus

The drug cost for the uninsured group times (1

- Ratio 1); plus

The drug cost for the private group times (1 - Ratio 1); plus

The non prescribed drug cost.

Continuing care costs were projected using the Regional facility-based to supportive living facilities. Scenario #2 various scenarios related to the transfer of clients from Continuing Care Model (RCCM) developed by Alberta Health and Wellness (AHW). The RCCM contains was used, which is the basis of AHW analysis.

However some modifications were made to the assumptions used by AHW:

- The population based on projections was used.
- was used, indicating that each facility is built for a provided by AHW, an obsolescence rate of 2.5% Parameters to account for obsolescence of facilities were added. Based on information 40-year lifespan.
- The inflation rate was increased to 4%, in order to be consistent with the assumed income increase expenditure increases are related to income rate, since most of the operating and capital increases.
- Operating expenditures were added to account for the fact that the number of nursing hours provided to long-term care residents should increase from 3.1 to 3.4 hours per day, as recommended in the and Programs. Based on information provided by Report of the Auditor General on Seniors Care AHW, this additional cost will amount to \$70

future years according to the number of residents million in 2006. This amount was projected in in long-term care facilities and to income increases.

Calculation of Projected Values

For this paragraph, the following definitions will be used:

- expenses of public programs are already included Public fees - It was assumed that administration in costs projected by the RCCM.
- would allow productivity gains equal to 1% of claim costs from year 2006 to year 2025. No productivity Private fees - To account for additional expenses and profit, a 5% charge on the cost that would be imited to marketing, commissions, cost of capital also assumed that private insurance companies incurred in the public sector was added. It was inherent to private business, including but not gains were assumed after year 2025.

In the baseline projection, the annual cost is as determined by the RCCM. In the mandatory public health insurance projection, the annual cost is as determined by the RCCM.

In the mandatory public health insurance with private supplemental coverage projection:

- "Public" is the annual cost is as determined by the
- "Private" is equal to 10% of public.

In the mandatory private health insurance with public premium pooling projection:

- determined by the RCCM, plus the difference "Basic coverage" is the annual cost is as between private fees and public fees
- "Additional" is equal to 10% of basic coverage.

Non-Emergency Health

Overview

For non-emergency health, the development of projected costs proceeded as follows:

- Annual costs per capita were developed for each age and gender cell.
- Projection factors were computed to apply to the 2005 per capita costs to calculate projected per capita costs in each future year.
- Projected costs for each age and gender cell and product of the relevant per capita cost and the in each future year were determined as the projected population in that cell.
- computed as the sum of projected costs over all Total projected costs in each future year were age and gender cells.

Per Capita Costs

Alberta Health and Wellness for each of the following The analysis was based on claims data provided by broad sub-groups of benefits:

- Emergency room visits with a triage score of three
- Hospitalizations resulting from the emergency room visits referred to above.
- Other hospitalizations, that is, hospitalizations that do not result from emergency room treatment.
- Treatment rendered at hospital ambulatory care centres.
- Treatment provided at a general practitioner's or specialist's office.
- ambulatory centre following emergency room Physician's services provided at a hospital treatment.
- Physician's services rendered in connection with in-patient care or in a long-term care facility.
- Physicians' fees in connection with diagnostic or therapeutic services.
- Community laboratory and other diagnostic fees.

by regional health authority. The data items consisted of The data was provided separately by benefit and sorted the following:

- Age;
- Gender;
- Number of individuals claiming;
- Total number of claims; and
- Total costs.

were computed by dividing these costs by the population total costs by age and gender were summed across all For each sub-benefit, costs were summed by age and sub-benefits to determine total costs. Per capita costs gender cell across all regional health authorities. The in that age and gender cell

Projection Factors

group using the method outlined previously in this report. Projection factors were developed for each benefit sub-In brief summary, the factors were based on overall Canadian experience, adjusted for inflation and anticipated extra utilization in Alberta.

Projected Costs

by per capita costs to calculate projected per capita costs The projection factors calculated above were multiplied multiplied by the projected population in each cell to generate total projected costs in each future year. for each age and gender cell. These costs were

Federal Transfers

projection period. Table 2.7 in the Appendix displays the projected to grow ultimately at CPI plus 3%. This growth which explains its decreasing significance over the full projected values of the transfer, allocated 95% to nonembodied in the non-emergency health projections, Federal transfers under the Canada Health Act are ate is lower than the ultimate inflation assumption emergency health.

Supplemental Health

representative selection of private sector plans was used as the base since virtually all of the supplemental health The analysis for supplemental health was similar to that for non-emergency health. The main difference was the data source. For supplemental health, data from a benefits are privately insured.

by sub-benefit were adjusted so that totals by sub-benefit effect by sub-benefit was compared to actual 2004 costs calculated for each future year by applying the projected Information. Based on this comparison, per capita costs were a better fit with the CIHI data. These adjusted per The base analysis produced per capita costs whose capita costs were projected forward as summarized per capita costs by age and gender to the projected previously in this report. Projected total costs were obtained from the Canadian Institute for Health population.

Actuarial Methodology Appendix

options developed for the Minister of Alberta Health and Wellness, and/or department This document contains advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy of Alberta Health and Wellness. 9A-14 Aon Consulting 29 MARCH 2006




Table 1.1: Low Projections September 2004

1	2	2003 Estimates			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008	
	SEX	×		SE	SEX	4101	S	SEX	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	ATOT.	SEX	v	TOT
AGE	MALE	FEMALE	1	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	200	MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	5
0-4	101,650	96,245	197,895	102,735	97,430	200,165	103,795	98,310	202,105	105,125	99,200	204,325	104,835	006'86	203,735	104,425	98,525	202,950
5-9	107,320	100,725	208,045	106,710	100,215	206,925	105,680	99,430	205,110	104,120	98,370	202,490	104,460	99,105	203,565	105,245	069'66	204,835
10-14	115,990	110,125	226,115	115,290	109,080	224,370	113,840	107,660	221,500	112,870	106,530	219,400	111,385	104,580	215,965	109,910	103,220	213,130
15-19	118,115	111,475	229,590	118,335	112,085	230,420	118,815	112,840	231,655	118,500	112,690	231,190	118,375	112,595	230,970	117,935	112,160	230,095
20-24	122,025	115,715	237,740	122,615	116,700	239,315	122,885	116,755	239,640	122,785	116,675	239,460	122,295	116,155	238,450	121,245	115,260	236,505
25-29	123,800	114,295	238,095	126,095	116,475	242,570	127,035	118,300	245,335	127,285	119,520	246,805	127,050	120,325	247,375	126,920	121,200	248,120
30-34	123,130	115,235	238,365	124,520	116,400	240,920	125,610	117,250	242,860	126,120	117,810	243,930	127,260	118,680	245,940	128,450	119,335	247,785
35-39	126,820	120,880	247,700	123,835	117,915	241,750	123,040	116,510	239,550	124,475	117,295	241,770	125,605	117,880	243,485	126,280	118,680	244,960
40-44	141,940	136,955	278,895	142,060	137,060	279,120	140,230	135,410	275,640	136,620	131,460	268,080	132,640	127,185	259,825	128,595	122,660	251,255
45-49	131,170	125,560	256,730	135,080	129,065	264,145	138,110	132,140	270,250	140,565	134,540	275,105	141,565	136,345	277,910	142,250	137,535	279,785
50-54	103,300	100,410	203,710	108,615	105,440	214,055	114,210	110,625	224,835	119,840	116,085	235,925	125,475	121,045	246,520	130,055	125,195	255,250
55-59	80,760	79,710	160,470	85,450	84,470	169,920	90,585	89,420	180,005	95,215	93,750	188,965	97,690	96,100	193,790	101,230	99,425	200,655
60-64	58,605	58,490	117,095	61,565	61,380	122,945	64,435	64,185	128,620	67,510	67,410	134,920	73,020	73,120	146,140	77,915	78,110	156,025
69-59	47,020	48,585	95,605	47,905	49,625	97,530	48,970	50,675	99,645	50,490	52,165	102,655	52,410	54,030	106,440	54,985	56,525	111,510
70-74	40,095	43,600	83,695	40,585	43,930	84,515	40,800	44,180	84,980	40,995	44,530	85,525	41,270	44,880	86,150	41,715	45,475	87,190
62-52	28,475	36,010	64,485	29,400	36,490	65,890	30,515	37,210	67,725	31,425	37,920	69,345	32,065	38,430	70,495	32,540	38,820	71,360
80-84	17,475	27,415	44,890	18,060	28,250	46,310	18,460	28,555	47,015	18,900	28,915	47,815	19,380	28,960	48,340	20,025	29,330	49,355
85-89	7,735	15,420	23,155	7,875	15,670	23,545	8,205	16,405	24,610	8,675	17,125	25,800	9,165	18,050	27,215	9,505	18,710	28,215
+06	3,035	8,085	11,120	3,070	8,225	11,295	3,105	8,250	11,355	3,090	8,220	11,310	3,080	8,225	11,305	3,105	8,210	11,315
TOTAL 1	1,598,460	1,564,935	3,163,395	1,619,800	1,585,905	3,205,705	1,638,325	1,604,110	3,242,435	1,654,605	1,620,210	3,274,815	1,669,025	1,634,590	3,303,615	1,682,330	1,647,965	3,330,295

Alberta Finance, Statistics

9A-16

SEX	MALE
75 94,780	100,475
35 99,210	105,135
90 100,960	106,460
90 102,885	109,390
35 112,060	117,635
15 117,075	122,215
15 122,790	127,715
80 121,505	130,280
55 119,385	126,865
75 118,945	124,375
136,120	139,910
20 126,345	130,220
15 101,440	101,715
90 79,185	76,480
50 55,025	50,850
10 41,085	34,310
90 31,670	22,990
00 20,170	11,200
35	3,335
55 1,709,405	1,741,555

2006
I
9 MARC
29

		2015			2016			2017			2018			2019			2020	
	S	SEX		SEX	×	, aror	SEX	*	TOTAL	SE	SEX	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	101
	MALE	FEMALE	101	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	10.12	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	4
	99,935	94,275	194,210	99,495	93,860	193,355	99,105	93,515	192,620	98,620	93,015	191,635	000'86	92,445	190,445	97,330	91,820	189,150
	104,460	98,570	203,030	103,725	97,875	201,600	102,875	97,075	199,950	102,145	96,385	198,530	101,540	95,805	197,345	101,000	95,305	196,305
	106,900	101,265	208,165	107,775	101,745	209,520	107,185	101,185	208,370	106,560	100,600	207,160	105,910	066'66	205,900	105,255	99,365	204,620
	107,905	101,695	209,600	106,030	100,345	206,375	106,160	100,880	207,040	106,800	101,235	208,035	107,015	101,605	208,620	107,440	101,910	209,350
	115,810	110,245	226,055	114,575	108,870	223,445	112,950	106,750	219,700	111,345	105,265	216,610	110,130	104,160	214,290	108,660	102,950	211,610
155	122,015	117,130	239,145	121,270	116,500	237,770	120,830	116,100	236,930	120,180	115,440	235,620	118,945	113,830	232,775	117,140	112,020	229,160
1 1	127,065	121,890	248,955	126,330	121,165	247,495	125,420	120,200	245,620	124,060	119,000	243,060	123,330	118,615	241,945	123,145	118,690	241,835
35-39	130,340	122,430	252,770	129,990	123,045	253,035	129,340	123,430	252,770	128,915	123,990	252,905	128,210	123,645	251,855	127,540	122,745	250,285
	127,310	119,600	246,910	127,340	119,710	247,050	128,175	120,280	248,455	129,120	120,725	249,845	130,155	121,700	251,855	130,230	122,615	252,845
. 6	123,125	117,160	240,285	124,225	117,670	241,895	125,105	118,070	243,175	125,590	. 118,730	244,320	126,060	119,025	245,085	126,495	119,225	245,720
1 077	137,835	134,230	272,065	134,100	130,140	264,240	130,080	125,845	255,925	126,045	121,315	247,360	122,570	117,910	240,480	121,360	116,160	237,520
	132,920	129,145	262,065	135,120	131,320	266,440	135,990	132,990	268,980	136,560	134,070	270,630	136,240	133,815	270,055	134,205	131,960	266,165
	106,795	106,210	213,005	111,925	111,325	223,250	117,070	115,985	233,055	121,260	119,860	241,120	124,565	122,910	247,475	127,155	125,635	252,790
	80,855	83,640	164,495	84,800	87,570	172,370	96,960	89,695	176,655	90,070	92,770	182,840	94,495	97,185	191,680	99,195	101,755	200,950
	53,070	57,435	110,505	55,515	60,225	115,740	60,020	65,285	125,305	64,005	69,705	133,710	67,480	73,640	141,120	71,305	77,730	149,035
	35,050	41,885	76,935	36,135	43,105	79,240	37,505	44,595	82,100	39,345	46,645	85,990	41,190	48,775	89,965	42,990	50,895	93,885
	23,090	31,830	54,920	23,215	32,085	55,300	23,355	32,310	55,665	23,605	32,735	56,340	24,010	33,345	57,355	24,540	34,000	58,540
1	11,620	20,550	32,170	11,960	20,960	32,920	12,165	21,210	33,375	12,325	21,390	33,715	12,420	21,460	33,880	12,485	21,585	34,070
	3,375	8,805	12,180	3,450	8,860	12,310	3,455	8,835	12,290	3,515	8,915	12,430	3,525	8,940	12,465	3,605	090'6	12,665
	1 749 475	4 747 000	2 AC7 ACE	4 750 075	1100001													00000

Alberta Finance, Statistics

9A-19

Series 2	2	2003 Estimates			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008	
1	SEX	×	TOTAL	S	SEX	TOTAL	St	SEX	TOTAL	SE	SEX	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL
AGE	MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE	
0-4	102,055	96,615	198,670	103,910	98,525	202,435	106,035	100,425	206,460	108,730	102,585	211,315	110,055	103,840	213,895	111,425	105,125	216,550
6-9	107,495	100,900	208,395	107,215	100,660	207,875	106,605	100,270	206,875	105,530	99,670	205,200	106,390	100,855	207,245	107,980	102,070	210,050
10-14	116,120	110,245	226,365	115,610	109,405	225,015	114,450	108,225	222,675	113,760	107,425	221,185	112,685	105,815	218,500	111,660	104,875	216,535
15-19	118,255	111,620	229,875	118,715	112,440	231,155	119,460	113,470	232,930	119,435	113,610	233,045	119,595	113,820	233,415	119,490	113,710	233,200
20-24	122,290	115,995	238,285	123,305	117,445	240,750	124,075	118,040	242,115	124,505	118,520	243,025	124,510	118,500	243,010	123,970	118,150	242,120
25-29	124,120	114,590	238,710	126,915	117,300	244,215	128,525	119,770	248,295	129,485	121,725	251,210	130,005	123,300	253,305	130,720	125,030	255,750
30-34	123,385	115,515	238,900	125,215	117,120	242,335	126,890	118,520	245,410	128,040	119,760	247,800	129,925	121,380	251,305	131,965	122,865	254,830
35-39	127,000	121,045	248,045	124,335	118,345	242,680	123,945	117,320	241,265	125,890	118,560	244,450	127,555	119,680	247,235	128,870	121,115	249,985
40-44	142,070	137,050	279,120	142,405	137,365	279,770	140,890	135,975	276,865	137,650	132,310	269,960	134,050	128,370	262,420	130,480	124,235	254,715
45-49	131,265	125,630	256,895	135,305	129,250	264,555	138,535	132,500	271,035	141,235	135,090	276,325	142,515	137,130	279,645	143,525	138,580	282,105
50-54	103,350	100,465	203,815	108,750	105,605	214,355	114,480	110,910	225,390	120,250	116,535	236,785	126,060	121,630	247,690	130,825	125,985	256,810
55-59	80,795	79,745	160,540	85,565	84,575	170,140	90,765	89,630	180,395	95,520	94,065	189,585	98,110	099'96	194,670	101,770	100,040	201,810
60-64	58,650	58,520	117,170	61,700	61,465	123,165	64,655	64,355	129,010	67,830	67,675	135,505	73,455	73,475	146,930	78,465	78,580	157,045
69-59	47,050	48,620	95,670	47,985	49,700	97,685	49,130	50,830	096'66	50,730	52,375	103,105	52,760	54,340	107,100	55,440	56,930	112,370
70-74	40,115	43,610	83,725	40,615	43,970	84,585	40,865	44,265	85,130	41,115	44,660	85,775	41,420	45,075	86,495	41,925	45,740	87,665
62-52	28,485	36,025	64,510	29,425	36,520	65,945	30,550	37,260	67,810	31,480	38,005	69,485	32,130	38,570	70,700	32,650	38,985	71,635
80-84	17,475	27,430	44,905	18,070	28,270	46,340	18,480	28,580	47,060	18,940	28,960	47,900	19,410	29,040	48,450	20,065	29,435	49,500
85-89	7,735	15,425	23,160	7,875	15,675	23,550	8,210	16,420	24,630	8,680	17,145	25,825	9,170	18,085	27,255	9,520	18,765	28,285
+06	3,035	8,085	11,120	3,070	8,230	11,300	3,105	8,255	11,360	3,095	8,220	11,315	3,080	8,235	11,315	3,105	8,220	11,325
TOTAL	1.600.745 1.567.130	1.567,130	3.167.875	1.625.985	1.591.865	3.217.850	1.649.650	1.615.020	3,264,670	1.671.900	1.636,895	3.308.795	1,692,880	1.657.700	3,350,580	1,713,850	1.678.435	3,392,285

Alberta Finance, Statistics

	ATOT	2	230,125	228,885	219,845	221,375	242,150	257,585	270,560	268,270	257,930	251,200	281,320	260,240	206,145	158,160	107,570	76,345	55,115	31,575	12,125	-
2014	×	FEMALE	111,720	111,075	106,965	107,370	118,420	126,345	132,870	129,635	124,905	122,525	138,635	128,225	102,920	80,375	55,915	41,645	31,980	20,325	8,785	-
	SEX	MALE	118,405	117,810	112,880	114,005	123,730	131,240	137,690	138,635	133,025	128,675	142,685	132,015	103,225	77,785	51,655	34,700	23,135	11,250	3,340	
	TOTAL	2	228,440	226,245	216,970	222,490	243,940	257,115	269,375	264,030	255,460	257,070	281,130	253,150	196,405	149,650	102,630	74,875	54,695	30,960	12,100	
2013	Ų	FEMALE	110,895	109,780	105,480	107,905	119,480	125,935	132,075	127,555	123,765	125,460	138,530	124,845	98,125	75,980	53,370	40,815	31,785	20,055	8,770	
	SEX	MALE	117,545	116,465	111,490	114,585	124,460	131,180	137,300	136,475	131,695	131,610	142,600	128,305	98,280	73,670	49,260	34,060	22,910	10,905	3,330	
	TOTAL	2	226,430	223,590	214,155	224,470	244,150	258,000	266,970	260,515	252,735	264,680	278,695	244,255	189,515	140,115	97,845	73,880	54,030	30,335	12,200	A COMPANY OF THE PARK OF THE P
2012		FEMALE	109,925	108,495	104,255	108,840	119,590	126,290	130,365	126,065	122,350	129,550	137,080	120,595	94,730 .	71,095	96,05	40,215	31,455	19,785	8,855	
	SEX	MALE	116,505	115,095	109,900	115,630	124,560	131,710	136,605	134,450	130,385	135,130	141,615	123,660	94,785	69,020	46,885	33,665	22,575	10,550	3,345	
	TOTAL	2	224,235	221,030	212,070	227,155	243,780	258,000	264,895	257,065	249,945	272,150	275,430	233,610	184,610	129,315	94,250	73,265	53,155	30,000	12,015	
2011	J	FEMALE	108,860	107,255	103,040	110,450	119,375	126,295	128,790	124,470	121,220	133,475	135,080	115,595	92,320	65,540	49,155	39,855	31,030	19,725	8,705	- Children and Control and Con
	SEX	MALE	115,375	113,775	109,030	116,705	124,405	131,705	136,105	132,595	128,725	138,675	140,350	118,015	92,290	63,775	45,095	33,410	22,125	10,275	3,310	After a principle of the factor of the factor of the
	TOTAL	2	221,810	216,420	213,980	228,765	243,895	257,490	262,340	254,945	246,985	279,135	270,305	222,545	175,845	123,270	91,380	72,690	51,900	29,490	11,935	The state of the s
2010		FEMALE	107,685	105,215	103,755	111,320	119,360	126,020	127,025	123,380	120,070	137,165	132,560	110,100	88,020	62,395	47,710	39,495	30,420	19,475	8,675	
	SEX	MALE	114,125	111,205	110,225	117,445	124,535	131,470	135,315	131,565	126,915	141,970	137,745	112,445	87,825	60,875	43,670	33,195	21,480	10,015	3,260	-
	TOTAL	1 1 1	219,205	212,970	215,390	231,385	242,595	256,900	259,095	252,510	248,785	282,300	264,100	211,915	166,105	117,850	89,385	72,275	50,520	29,050	11,500	
2009	×	FEMALE	106,415	103,590	104,340	112,635	118,575	125,815	124,950	122,280	121,275	138,675	129,425	104,980	83,195	59,665	46,695	39,260	29,810	19,265	8,365	
	SEX	MALE	112,790	109,380	111,050	118,750	124,020	131,085	134,145	130,230	127,510	143,625	134,675	106,935	82,910	58,185	42,690	33,015	20,710	9,785	3,135	
series 1		AGE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06	

Alberta Finance, Statistics

Alberta Finance, Statistics

9A-21

	TOTAL	2	231,870	243,140	249,160	246,725	244,585	243,890	266,600	281,525	286,035	281,475	264,045	248,240	259,220	249,430	195,900	135,940	76,865	38,395	12,965	4,056,005
2026	×	FEMALE	112,560	117,985	120,950	119,910	119,415	119,655	131,070	138,855	140,565	137,185	128,380	121,530	129,250	125,895	101,755	73,885	44,535	24,405	9,290	2,017,075
	SEX	MALE	119,310	125,155	128,210	126,815	125,170	124,235	135,530	142,670	145,470	144,290	135,665	126,710	129,970	123,535	94,145	62,055	32,330	13,990	3,675	2,038,930
	TOTAL	2	232,080	243,410	248,070	244,280	240,020	245,790	268,185	281,625	285,500	278,985	262,015	245,405	265,770	244,950	186,860	129,830	73,285	37,140	12,830	4,026,030
2025	. ×	FEMALE	112,665	118,115	120,435	118,700	117,390	120,365	131,930	138,830	140,275	135,460	127,320	120,430	132,775	123,610	97,040	70,600	42,415	23,620	9,185	2,001,160
	SEX	MALE	119,415	125,295	127,635	125,580	122,630	125,425	136,255	142,795	145,225	143,525	134,695	124,975	132,995	121,340	89,820	59,230	30,870	13,520	3,645	2,024,870
	TOTAL	2	232,395	243,515	246,670	241,690	236,585	247,175	270,785	280,335	284,940	275,785	259,600	247,080	268,735	239,475	178,135	122,960	70,200	36,320	12,725	3,995,105
2024	,	FEMALE	112,815	118,160	119,740	117,455	115,770	120,945	133,240	138,050	140,080	133,410	126,230	121,560	134,215	120,770	92,615	66,875	40,635	23,125	9,095	1,984,785
	SEX	MALE	119,580	125,355	126,930	124,235	120,815	126,230	137,545	142,285	144,860	142,375	133,370	125,520	134,520	118,705	85,520	56,085	29,565	13,195	3,630	2.010.320
	TOTAL	2	232,775	243,430	244,955	239,070	233,705	248,305	272,575	279,895	283,790	271,620	257,185	252,670	268,580	233,070	169,795	116,505	67,000	35,625	12,735	3.963.285
2023		FEMALE	113,000	118,130	118,905	116,185	114,280	121,475	134,305	137,635	139,305	131,360	125,115	124,375	134,120	117,635	88,350	63,310	38,815	22,670	9,105	1,968,075
	SEX	MALE	119,775	125,300	126,050	122,885	119,425	126,830	138,270	142,260	144,485	140,260	132,070	128,295	134,460	115,435	81,445	53,195	28,185	12,955	3,630	1,995,210
	TOTAL	2	233,145	243,010	243,000	236,415	230,935	250,260	272,775	280,745	281,400	268,200	254,540	260,005	266,285	225,065	163,850	109,090	63,855	35,125	12,685	3,930,385
2022		FEMALE	113,180	117,930	117,955	114,890	113,070	122,410	134,405	137,975	137,590	129,905	123,715	128,365	132,735	113,715	85,310	59,260	37,060	22,330	9,075	1,950,875
	SEX	MALE	119,965	125,080	125,045	121,525	117,865	127,850	138,370	142,770	143,810	138,295	130,825	131,640	133,550	111,350	78,540	49,830	26,795	12,795	3,610	1,979,510
	TOTAL	2	233,455	242,290	240,800	233,880	228,865	252,935	272,395	280,770	279,360	264,765	251,810	267,210	263,250	215,465	159,655	100,645	61,540	34,830	12,795	3,896,715
2021	~	FEMALE	113,340	117,565	116,895	113,665	111,865	124,005	134,195	138,000	136,045	128,305	122,600	132,155	130,860	109,065	83,160	54,640	35,770	22,140	9,165	1,933,435
	SEX	MALE	120,115	124,725	123,905	120,215	117,000	128,930	138,200	142,770	143,315	136,460	129,210	135,055	132,390	106,400	76,495	46,005	25,770	12,690	3,630	1,963,280
1		AGE	0-4	6-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-99	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06	TOTAL

Alberta Finance, Statistics

Table 1.3: High Projections September 2004

2	A.	2003 Estimates	The state of the s		2004			2005			2006			2007			2008	
	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	S	SEX	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	10101
AGE	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE		MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	
0-4	102,520	97,055	199,575	105,335	99,870	205,205	108,865	103,100	211,965	113,485	107,085	220,570	117,205	110,595	227,800	121,145	114,310	235,455
6-9	107,765	101,140	208,905	107,995	101,365	209,360	108,165	101,670	209,835	108,095	102,005	210,100	110,260	104,385	214,645	113,325	106,960	220,285
10-14	116,260	110,390	226,650	116,085	109,875	225,960	115,405	109,190	224,595	115,430	109,030	224,460	115,195	108,265	223,460	115,115	108,210	223,325
15-19	118,450	111,815	230,265	119,270	113,020	232,290	120,530	114,560	235,090	121,145	115,305	236,450	122,065	116,265	238,330	122,695	116,885	239,580
20-24	122,670	116,400	239,070	124,385	118,580	242,965	126,110	120,220	246,330	127,710	121,945	249,655	129,050	123,345	252,395	129,680	124,235	253,915
25-29	124,555	115,020	239,575	128,200	118,550	246,750	131,015	122,230	253,245	133,565	125,765	259,330	135,950	129,260	265,210	138,480	132,860	271,340
30-34	123,725	115,865	239,590	126,265	118,190	244,455	129,000	120,675	249,675	131,580	123,345	254,925	135,200	126,715	261,915	139,075	130,015	269,090
35-39	127,245	121,260	248,505	125,090	119,005	244,095	125,475	118,660	244,135	128,410	120,845	249,255	131,395	123,200	254,595	134,105	126,000	260,105
40-44	142,240	137,215	279,455	142,965	137,830	280,795	142,000	136,885	278,885	139,495	133,840	273,335	136,835	130,685	267,520	134,275	127,370	261,645
45-49	131,370	125,730	257,100	135,650	129,530	265,180	139,240	133,070	272,310	142,425	136,065	278,490	144,355	138,635	282,990	146,045	140,660	286,705
50-54	103,415	100,550	203,965	108,970	105,855	214,825	114,905	111,385	226,290	121,000	117,330	238,330	127,185	122,820	250,005	132,390	127,555	259,945
55-59	80,845	79,795	160,640	85,715	84,755	170,470	91,120	89,980	181,100	96,065	94,670	190,735	98,945	97,450	196,395	102,870	101,260	204,130
60-64	58,710	58,570	117,280	61,880	61,630	123,510	65,025	64,660	129,685	68,435	68,160	136,595	74,325	74,200	148,525	79,590	79,550	159,140
69-59	47,090	48,665	95,755	48,110	49,825	97,935	49,380	51,070	100,450	51,155	52,805	103,960	53,400	54,950	108,350	56,340	57,755	114,095
70-74	40,130	43,630	83,760	40,660	44,050	84,710	40,970	44,420	85,390	41,300	44,920	86,220	41,710	45,470	87,180	42,345	46,280	88,625
75-79	28,495	36,050	64,545	29,460	36,570	66,030	30,610	37,375	67,985	31,580	38,175	69,755	32,280	38,810	71,090	32,840	39,320	72,160
80-84	17,480	27,440	44,920	18,075	28,290	46,365	18,500	28,625	47,125	18,975	29,055	48,030	19,475	29,165	48,640	20,145	29,625	49,770
85-89	7,735	15,430	23,165	7,880	15,690	23,570	8,215	16,460	24,675	8,695	17,205	25,900	9,190	18,160	27,350	9,545	18,850	28,395
	3,035	8,085	11,120	3,070	8,230	11,300	3,105	8,255	11,360	3,100	8,230	11,330	3,085	8,240	11,325	3,110	8,240	11,350
TOTAL	1.603.735	1,570,105	3.173.840	1,635,060	1.600.710	3,235,770	1,667,635	1.632.490	3.300.125	1.701.645	1.665.780	3,367,425	1.737.105	1.700.615	3,437,720	1.773.115	1.735.940	3.509.055

Alberta Finance, Statistics

			2	
	(j	ŋ	
	¢		Ė	
	7	į	₹	
	١	١	?	
K	ı)	
	1		1	
	(•	_	
	7	ï	₹	
	(
9	¢	1		
		ſ	•	

"	
2006	֡
MARCH	֡
29	

	TOTAL	IOIAL	281,890	265,165	241,770	237,795	263,905	290,425	307,165	298,685	279,460	265,755	291,060	267,005	211,555	162,745	110,770	78,085	56,020	31,945	12,160	
2014	SEX	FEMALE	136,855	128,590	117,540	115,465	129,475	143,035	151,285	144,685	135,120	129,145	143,210	131,680	105,625	82,555	57,555	42,680	32,565	20,595	8,815	
	S	MALE	145,035	136,575	124,230	122,330	134,430	147,390	155,880	154,000	144,340	136,610	147,850	135,325	105,930	80,190	53,215	35,405	23,455	11,350	3,345	
	TOTAL	101	275,335	257,270	235,610	236,895	264,265	287,860	302,435	290,730	274,030	269,665	289,485	259,050	201,210	153,760	105,390	76,345	55,480	31,290	12,135	
2013		FEMALE	133,670	124,765	114,470	115,020	129,845	141,545	148,660	140,755	132,505	131,175	142,480	127,910	100,500	77,915	54,810	41,700	32,305	20,310	8,800	-
	SEX	MALE	141,665	132,505	121,140	121,875	134,420	146,315	153,775	149,975	141,525	138,490	147,005	131,140	100,710	75,845	50,580	34,645	23,175	10,980	3,335	
	TOTAL	2	267,950	249,660	229,960	237,030	263,020	286,320	296,345	283,600	268,580	275,460	285,800	249,355	193,720	143,725	100,210	75,120	54,680	30,600	12,235	
2012	J	FEMALE	130,085	121,070	111,875	115,070	129,215	140,660	145,075	137,455	129,730	134,430	140,475	123,265	96,785	72,780	52,210	40,970	31,890	19,985	8,880	-
	SEX	MALE	137,865	128,590	118,085	121,960	133,805	145,660	151,270	146,145	138,850	141,030	145,325	126,090	96,935	70,945	48,000	34,150	22,790	10,615	3,355	
	TOTAL	1	259,745	242,405	225,410	238,020	261,160	283,600	290,475	276,630	263,290	281,230	281,400	237,970	188,265	132,465	96,215	74,320	53,705	30,235	12,050	
2011	~	FEMALE	126,100	117,545	109,500	115,840	128,260	139,265	141,590	134,110	127,385	137,575	137,955	117,880	94,080	67,000	50,205	40,500	31,395	19,905	8,730	
	SEX	MALE	133,645	124,860	115,910	122,180	132,900	144,335	148,885	142,520	135,905	143,655	143,445	120,090	94,185	65,465	46,010	33,820	22,310	10,330	3,320	
	TOTAL	2	251,535	233,645	224,990	238,060	259,630	280,000	284,135	271,195	258,015	286,630	275,245	226,195	178,970	125,930	92,980	73,565	52,375	29,665	11,960	
2010	J	FEMALE	122,125	113,470	109,085	115,950	127,420	137,395	137,920	131,335	125,130	140,550	134,980	112,040	89,500	63,645	48,575	40,040	30,730	19,610	8,700	
	SEX	MALE	129,410	120,175	115,905	122,110	132,210	142,605	146,215	139,860	132,885	146,080	140,265	114,155	89,470	62,285	44,405	33,525	21,645	10,055	3,260	
	TOTAL	1	243,365	226,440	224,230	239,195	256,490	276,050	277,070	265,570	257,675	288,310	268,100	214,890	168,725	120,040	099'06	72,970	50,875	29,230	11,525	
5009	_	FEMALE	118,150	110,030	108,650	116,520	125,710	135,455	133,955	128,635	125,330	141,400	131,405	106,560	84,410	60,705	47,390	39,690	30,060	19,405	8,385	
	SEX	MALE	125,215	116,410	115,580	122,675	130,780	140,595	143,115	136,935	132,345	146,910	136,695	108,330	84,315	59,335	43,270	33,280	20,815	9,825	3,140	
-		AGE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	62-92	80-84	85-89	+06	

Alberta Finance, Statistics

2020	SEX	FEMALE	0 147,095 302,985	0 149,945 309,145	0 140,025 288,575	5 127,770 262,475	0 128,880 263,270	0 146,230 296,680	5 160,525 324,560	0 163,870 332,530	5 155,030 319,345	5 141,520 292,855	0 130,920 269,150	0 142,435 287,775	5 133,410 268,405	5 107,915 213,290	5 82,310 158,095	0 54,095 99,715	5 35,880 61,515	0 22,485 35,305	5 9,170 12,805	11000
		MALE	155,890	159,200	148,550	134,705	134,390	150,450	164,035	168,660	164,315	151,335	138,230	145,340	134,995	105,375	75,785	45,620	25,635	12,820	3,635	0.00
	TOTAL	2	300,845	303,615	280,455	255,315	262,495	297,805	321,470	328,625	312,350	287,300	268,825	289,390	261,695	203,025	149,470	95,220	29,990	34,990	12,595	
2019	SEX	FEMALE	146,065	147,275	136,085	124,350	128,425	146,795	158,825	161,940	151,090	138,850	131,105	. 143,250	130,025	102,820	77,835	51,690	35,030	22,270	9,040	
	S	MALE	154,780	156,340	144,370	130,965	134,070	151,010	162,645	166,685	161,260	148,450	137,720	146,140	131,670	100,205	71,635	43,530	24,960	12,720	3,555	071 007 0
	TOTAL	2	298,340	297,070	272,525	249,155	261,620	298,170	318,890	323,915	304,455	281,935	272,660	287,870	254,025	193,255	141,355	099'06	58,665	34,680	12,540	102 120 1
2018	*	FEMALE	144,840	144,085	132,225	121,270	127,990	147,145	157,335	159,340	147,170	136,270	133,095	142,535	126,360	97,890	73,545	49,270	34,235	22,100	9'00'6	101 101 0
	SEX	MALE	153,500	152,985	140,300	127,885	133,630	151,025	161,555	164,575	157,285	145,665	139,565	145,335	127,665	95,365	67,810	41,390	24,430	12,580	3,535	00000770
	TOTAL		295,395	289,690	264,935	243,530	261,725	296,935	317,380	317,835	297,380	276,515	278,385	284,310	244,705	186,180	132,275	86,240	57,715	34,255	12,380	201 117
2017)	FEMALE	143,415	140,500	128,545	118,690	128,035	146,535	156,460	155,750	143,905	133,480	136,340	140,585	121,835	94,335	68,795	46,965	33,645	21,845	8,910	00000
	SEX	MALE	151,980	149,190	136,390	124,840	133,690	150,400	160,920	162,085	153,475	143,035	142,045	143,725	122,870	91,845	63,480	39,275	24,070	12,410	3,470	400 400
	TOTAL	2	291,985	281,500	257,685	239,010	262,705	295,080	314,665	312,030	290,480	271,290	284,075	279,985	233,785	181,040	121,995	82,825	57,085	33,695	12,395	4 400 040
2016	Ų	FEMALE	141,760	136,515	125,015	116,330	128,795	145,580	155,065	152,290	140,575	131,175	139,430	138,105	116,640	91,755	63,390	45,170	33,255	21,540	8,930	2000
	SEX	MALE	150,225	144,985	132,670	122,680	133,910	149,500	159,600	159,740	149,905	140,115	144,645	141,880	117,145	89,285	58,605	37,655	23,830	12,155	3,465	0 074 000
	TOTAL		287,440	273,320	248,945	238,570	262,765	293,555	311,065	305,715	285,055	266,075	289,410	274,010	222,455	172,345	116,085	80,085	56,490	32,865	12,255	4 000 000
2015	~	FEMALE	139,545	132,560	120,965	115,915	128,905	144,740	153,190	148,650	137,810	128,940	142,400	135,190	110,960	87,400	60,265	43,740	32,870	21,065	8,860	4 000 070
	SEX	MALE	147,895	140,760	127,980	122,655	133,860	148,815	157,875	157,065	147,245	137,135	147,010	138,820	111,495	84,945	55,820	36,345	23,620	11,800	3,395	4 000 070
Series 1		AGE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	25-59	60-64	69-99	70-74	15-79	80-84	85-89	+06	TOTAL

Alberta Finance, Statistics

	2021			2022			2023			2024			2025			2026	
SEX	×	TOTAL	SEX	×	TOTAL	SE	SEX	TOTAL	S	SEX	TOTA	SEX	×	TOTAL	SE	SEX	101
	FEMALE	1	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	2	MALE	FEMALE	10.19	MALE	FEMALE	0.4	MALE	FEMALE	- E
156,855	148,005	304,860	157,745	148,840	306,585	158,575	149,630	308,205	159,415	150,415	309,830	160,295	151,260	311,555	161,275	152,170	313,445
161,520	152,145	313,665	163,285	153,805	317,090	164,790	155,225	320,015	166,080	156,440	322,520	167,205	157,485	324,690	168,140	158,395	326,535
152,760	144,010	296,770	156,965	147,970	304,935	160,780	151,565	312,345	164,130	154,730	318,860	166,965	157,415	324,380	169,290	159,610	328,900
139,395	131,825	271,220	143,115	135,335	278,450	147,015	139,025	286,040	151,060	142,860	293,920	155,240	146,805	302,045	159,450	150,775	310,225
134,425	129,280	263,705	136,545	131,635	268,180	139,595	134,225	273,820	142,665	137,290	279,955	146,380	140,700	287,080	151,040	144,750	295,790
150,515	146,115	296,630	150,300	145,345	295,645	150,220	145,305	295,525	150,655	145,740	296,395	150,985	146,190	297,175	151,015	146,590	297,605
164,740	161,365	326,105	165,635	162,310	327,945	166,250	162,935	329,185	166,240	162,560	328,800	165,680	162,005	327,685	165,750	161,875	327,625
170,375	165,725	336,100	171,675	167,115	338,790	172,320	167,990	340,310	173,400	169,460	342,860	174,795	171,155	345,950	175,455	171,995	347,450
166,945	158,665	325,610	169,290	162,095	331,385	171,755	165,685	337,440	173,835	168,275	342,110	175,785	170,175	345,960	177,500	172,025	349,525
153,950	144,250	298,200	157,485	147,570	305,055	161,250	150,830	312,080	165,185	154,715	319,900	168,200	158,610	326,810	170,820	162,230	333,050
141,180	133,105	274,285	144,055	135,415	279,470	146,640	138,145	284,785	149,360	140,700	290,060	152,195	143,345	295,540	154,775	146,055	300,830
143,020	139,525	282,545	140,490	136,475	276,965	138,075	133,315	271,390	136,300	131,370	267,670	136,825	131,195	268,020	139,690	133,345	273,035
137,920	136,255	274,175	139,645	138,650	278,295	141,195	140,550	281,745	141,960	141,225	283,185	141,175	140,420	281,595	138,945	137,590	276,535
110,610	113,340	223,950	115,890	118,310	234,200	120,345	122,610	242,955	124,025	126,090	250,115	127,090	129,330	256,420	129,780	132,050	261,830
79,560	86,310	165,870	81,810	88,735	170,545	84,940	92,055	176,995	89,215	96,625	185,840	93,795	101,340	195,135	98,395	106,380	204,775
47,885	26,860	104,745	51,885	61,675	113,560	55,425	006'99	121,325	58,480	099'69	128,140	61,790	73,580	135,370	64,775	77,080	141,855
26,580	37,080	63,660	27,710	38,520	66,230	29,235	40,420	69,655	30,735	42,370	73,105	32,180	44,300	76,480	33,765	46,550	80,315
12,945	22,780	35,725	13,070	23,035	36,105	13,270	23,450	36,720	13,565	23,970	37,535	13,950	24,560	38,510	14,475	25,415	39,890
3,655	9,235	12,890	3,640	9,160	12,800	3,660	9,195	12,855	3,665	9,190	12,855	3,685	9,285	12,970	3,705	9,400	13,105
2,254,835	2,215,875	4,470,710	2,290,235	2,251,995	4.542.230	2.325.335	2.288.055	4 613 390	2 359 970	2 323 685	4 683 655	2 204 215	2 250 155	4 753 370	0400040	2 304 280	A 822 220

Alberta Finance, Statistics

Aon Consulting

Male	0.46470	101 0.48958 0.36621	102 0.51379 0.38991	103 0.53717 0.41369	104 0.55958 0.43741	105 0.58087 0.46095	106 1.00000 0.48417	107 1.00000 0.50695	108 1.00000 0.52916	109 1.00000 0.55069										
remale	0.04363	0.04880	0.05496	0.06196	0.06964	0.07823	0.08797	0.09908	0.11514	0.12881	0.14354	0.15934	0.17619	0.19407	0.21293	0.23271	0.25336	0.27479	0.29689	0.31958
Male	0.0/15/	0.07911	0.08775	0.09734	0.10772	0.11913	0.13177	0.14588	0.17666	0.19648	0.21748	0.23956	0.26262	0.28654	0.31117	0.33637	0.36196	0.38777	0.41362	0.43932
Age	90	93	82	83	84	85	98	87	88	68	06	91	92	93	8	96	96	76	86	6
remale	0.00621	0.00662	0.00721	0.00798	0.00887	0.00989	0.01101	0.01223	0.01351	0.01487	0.01634	0.01797	0.01981	0.02174	0.02373	0.02595	0.02857	0.03175	0.03533	0.03922
Male	71010.0	0.01112	0.01225	0.01355	0.01498	0.01655	0.01832	0.02031	0.02248	0.02481	0.02736	0.03018	0.03330	0.03662	0.04010	0.04392	0.04826	0.05329	0.05888	0.06490
Age	8	61	62	63	2	65	99	19	89	69	70	71	72	73	74	92	9/	11	78	62
Female	0.00109	0.00117	0.00128	0.00139	0.00150	0.00163	0.00177	0.00194	0.00211	0.00230	0.00250	0.00274	0.00304	0.00341	0.00385	0.00432	0.00479	0.00523	0.00559	0.00589
Male	0.00182	0.00195	0.00208	0.00220	0.00232	0.00245	0.00261	0.00282	0.00306	0.00332	0.00362	0.00399	0.00444	0.00499	0.00562	0.00631	0.00705	0.00782	0.00858	0.00934
Age	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	20	51	52	53	54	55	56	22	58	59
Female	0.00042	0.00038	0.00036	0.00035	0.00035	0.00036	0.00037	0.00038	0.00041	0.00044	0.00047	0.00051	0.00056	0.00061	0.00066	0.00072	0.00079	0.00086	0.00093	0.00100
Male	0.00126	0.00129	0.00130	0.00127	0.00120	0.00111	0.00104	0.00101	0.00104	0.00112	0.00121	0.00130	0.00136	0.00140	0.00142	0.00144	0.00147	0.00152	0.00160	0.00171
Age	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	30
Female	0.00527	0.00043	0.00028	0.00026	0.00024	0.00019	0.00012	0.00007	0.00007	0.00008	0.00010	0.00010	0.00015	0.00021	0.00029	0.00037	0.00043	0.00047	0.00048	0.00045
Wale	0.00666	0.00048	0.00037	0.00031	0.00026	0.00021	0.00017	0.00014	0.00011	0.00011	0.00013	0.00014	0.00021	0.00034	0.00051	0.00070	0.00088	0.00102	0.00112	0.00120
Age	0	-	2	e	4	2	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	10

Statistics Canada

Table 1.5: Table of Mortality Improvement

Female	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001			0.005
Male	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001			0.007
Age	98	87	88	89	06	91	92	93	94	92	96	26	86	66	100			. 98
Female	0.005	0.005	90000	90000	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0:007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	9000	0.005
Male	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.012	0.011	0.01	600.0	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.014
Age	69	70	7.1	72	73	74	75	9/	11	78	62	80	81	82	83	84	85	69
Female	0.014	0.012	0.01	0.008	9000	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.014
Male	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.019	0.018	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.02
Age	52	23	22	22	26	25	99	29	09	61	62	63	2	99	99		89	52
Female	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.016	0.011
Male	0.005	0.005	0.005	900.0	0.007	0.008	600.0	0.01	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.019	0.005
Age	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	35
Female	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.01	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.01	0.014
Male	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.018	0.017	0.015	0.013	0.01	900.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.019
Age	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	18
Female	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.018	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.02
Male	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.02
Age	-	2	3	4	5	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	-

Scale AA - Transaction of Society of Actuaries 1995

2016	99,535	103,765	107,795	106,110	114,760	121,405	126,390	130,065	127,465	124,495	134,670	136,215	113,200	86,190	57,115	38,015	24,925	13,155	
2015	99,970	104,500	106,920	107,980	115,985	122,140	127,120	130,410	127,425	123,375	138,395	133,945	107,950	82,100	54,520	36,780	24,705	12,715	
2014	100,510	105,170	106,475	109,465	117,805	122,335	127,765	130,345	126,975	124,615	140,445	131,170	102,755	77,575	52,155	35,915	24,505	12,195	
2013	101,115	105,815	106,270	1.10,670	119,055	123,035	128,485	129,295	126,495	128,150	140,755	127,620	97,895	73,485	49,725	35,230	24,175	11,765	
2012	101,845	106,420	105,635	112,265	119,700	124,405	128,915	128,330	126,000	132,250	140,120	123,140	94,465	68,855	47,350	34,765	23,750	11,330	
2011	102,695	107,025	105,490	113,905	120,105	125,315	129,570	.127,490	125,080	136,315	139,160	117,650	92,075	63,645	45,555	34,445	23,205	10,985	
2010	103,380	106,105	107,350	115,120	120,815	125,970	129,880	127,420	123,955	140,080	136,860	112,190	87,685	60,770	44,135	34,200	22,455	10,660	
5000	103,955	105,595	108,775	116,875	120,870	126,500	129,710	126,915	125,155	142,155	134,020	106,780	82,805	58,125	43,145	33,940	21,555	10,370	
2008	104,430	105,265	109,920	117,985	121,355	126,995	128,480	126,320	128,660	142,420	130,365	101,695	78,410	55,415	42,355	33,475	20,825	10,020	and the same of th
2007	104,835	104,460	111,385	118,375	122,295	127,050	127,260	125,605	132,640	141,565	125,475	069'26	73,020	52,410	41,270	32,065	19,380	9,165	
2006	105,125	104,120	112,870	118,500	122,785	127,285	126,120	124,475	136,620	140,565	119,840	95,215	67,510	50,490	40,995	31,425	18,900	8,675	
2005	103,795	105,680	113,840	118,815	122,885	127,035	125,610	123,040	140,230	138,110	114,210	90,585	64,435	48,970	40,800	30,515	18,460	8,205	
2004	102,735	106,710	115,290	118,335	122,615	126,095	124,520	123,835	142,060	135,080	108,615	85,450	61,565	47,905	40,585	29,400	18,060	7,875	
2003	101,650	107,320	115,990	118,115	122,025	123,800	123,130	126,820	141,940	131,170	103,300	80,760	58,605	47,020	40,095	28,475	17,475	7,735	
MALE AGE	4-0	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	62-52	80-84	85-89	

9
0
0
2
I
$\overline{\circ}$
Ã
⋖
>
_
03
(1

																		,		
	2030	062'06	94,770	99,245	102,485	106,940	109,980	111,420	119,000	123,855	127,225	128,790	123,800	116,475	124,345	111,620	80,085	48,915	21,225	5.945
	2029	91,360	95,495	99,930	103,005	107,620	109,560	112,880	120,805	124,040	127,880	128,705	123,315	117,520	126,090	109,160	76,020	46,090	20,195	5,745
	2028	91,900	96,240	100,530	103,650	108,250	109,320	114,085	122,045	124,750	128,570	127,625	122,795	120,745	126,215	106,075	72,220	43,505	19,140	5,575
	2027	92,430	97,005	101,035	104,365	108,860	108,660	115,685	122,660	126,070	128,975	126,675	122,265	124,480	125,555	102,215	69,475	40,565	18,095	5,445
	2026	92,985	97,700	101,365	105,185	109,340	108,325	117,135	123,015	126,880	129,425	125,470	120,695	126,940	122,850	95,585	65,140	34,995	15,835	4,740
	2025	93,685	98,445	101,815	105,905	108,440	110,205	118,360	123,760	127,595	129,775	125,420	119,585	130,425	120,790	91,120	62,055	33,350	15,260	4,665
1	2024	94,405	99,125	102,335	106,590	108,025	111,655	120,165	123,945	128,265	129,710	124,970	120,710	132,345	118,265	96,670	58,645	31,870	14,860	4,615
-	2023	95,145	99,725	102,980	107,220	107,805	112,850	121,405	124,660	128,970	128,645	124,485	124,080	132,570	115,065	82,510	55,530	30,335	14,530	4,575
	2022	95,900	100,225	103,695	107,830	107,155	114,435	122,030	125,990	129,390	127,715	123,990	127,980	131,970	111,010	79,545	51,930	28,800	14,310	4,490
	2021	96,640	100,600	104,535	108,435	107,000	116,035	122,450	126,905	130,015	126,865	123,085	131,890	131,065	106,070	77,455	47,865	27,655	14,155	4,460
1	2020	97,380	101,045	105,280	107,535	108,870	117,295	123,215	127,630	130,385	126,825	121,980	135,500	128,895	101,145	73,785	45,670	26,720	14,015	4,375
:	2019	98,045	101,585	105,930	107,105	110,335	119,095	123,395	128,295	130,300	126,375	123,170	137,505	126,200	96,270	69,720	43,650	26,055	13,880	4,230
	2018	98,665	102.185	106.580	106,885	111,540	120,325	124,125	128,995	129,260	125,890	126,635	137,775	122,785	91,690	66,030	41,590	25,530	13,700	4,155
	2017	99.145	102,915	107,205	106,245	113,140	120,970	125,480	129,420	128,305	125,390	130,660	137,145	118,475	88,455	61,835	39,555	25,170	13,455	4,040
	MALE AGE	0-4	6-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-29	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

60-64

30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59

5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 70-74

75-79 80-84 85-89

69-59

110,530

108,050 111,850 111,085 114,090

108,785

105,430 112,455 110,410

105,770 109,610 112,860 110,135 117,060 121,995 119,260 108,445

106,230 110,345

30-34 35-39 40-44

103,635 106,855

94,245 98,700

94,825

95,920

100,990

101,705

102,465

20-24 25-29

99,445 104,325 107,385 111,215 111,365 112,905 119,040 119,560 118,130 111,465 96,520 76,085

81,670 85,260 87,860 90,360

82,185 85,730 90,870

83,225

83,750 86,865 89,260 92,655 96,490

2046

2049

2048

88,170

88,860 92,050

89,730 93,235 97,215

5-9

91,440 95,375 100,210 104,935 117,330

120,195 120,150 118,670

120,740 121,320 118,945 109,560

121,030

121,695 122,575

60-64

118,265

50-54 55-59

115,650

112,010

45-49

111,940

119,470

117,615 111,620 96,995 75,535

> 110,455 96,015 78,040

56,170 21,655

20,945

20,090

+06

54,250 18,700

94,165 81,765

108,320 93,205

119,485

69-59 70-74 75-79 80-84

111,485

111,805

_
2
≘
Ξ
2
0
\circ
2
_

9	
0	
0	
2006	
_	
ㅗ	
()	
ĕ	
4	
⋖	

96,245 97,430 98,310 99,200 98,900 98,530 100,725 100,215 99,430 98,370 99,105 99,605 111,125 109,080 107,680 106,530 104,580 103,225 111,2085 112,085 112,690 112,595 112,185 114,2286 116,700 116,755 116,155 115,290 116,2335 116,400 117,290 117,840 119,360 116,2345 117,810 118,880 119,360 117,295 117,840 118,760 119,760 117,296 117,295 117,880 118,760 110,640 123,460 131,460 127,145 122,735 122,560 123,40 134,540 136,345 17,700 96,100 99,590 100,410 105,40 110,625 116,085 121,045 122,405 122,405 136,80 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 78,210 39,590 28,40			107				2015	2016
100,215 99,430 98,370 99,105 109,080 107,680 106,530 104,580 112,085 112,840 112,890 112,896 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 116,105 117,280	98,075	97,525	96,875 96	96,075	95,405	94,815	94,310	93,895
109,080	100,095	100,465	100,980 100	100,410	99,830	99,240	98,600	97,905
112,085 112,840 112,680 112,595 116,700 116,755 116,675 116,155 116,475 118,300 119,520 120,326 116,400 117,290 117,840 118,680 117,915 116,510 117,295 117,880 137,060 135,410 131,460 127,185 129,065 132,140 134,540 136,345 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,045 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,556 28,915 28,930 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	102,195	101,050	99,710 100	100,245	065,001	100,970	101,275	101,755
116,755 116,755 116,155 116,155 116,155 116,155 116,155 116,150 117,290 120,326 116,400 117,290 117,295 117,890 117,890 117,890 137,060 135,410 131,460 127,185 129,065 121,440 134,540 136,345 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,045 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 48,830 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,556 28,516 28,590 28,550 28,516 37,920 38,430 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	110,740	108,995	107,655 105	105,530	104,045	102,920	101,730	100,385
116,475 118,300 119,520 120,326 116,400 117,280 117,810 118,680 117,915 116,510 117,295 117,880 137,060 138,410 137,460 127,186 129,085 132,140 134,540 136,346 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,046 84,70 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 43,530 44,180 44,530 44,880 28,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,50 28,655 28,915 28,940 15,670 16,465 17,125 18,050	115,145	115,350 1	114,765 114	114,365	113,720	112,110	110,295	108,925
116,400 117,250 117,810 118,680 117,915 116,510 117,295 117,880 137,060 135,410 131,460 127,186 129,085 132,140 134,540 138,345 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,046 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,556 28,516 28,830 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	. 121,105	120,345 1	119,665 118	118,700	117,500	117,115	117,175	116,545
117,915 116,510 117,295 177,880 137,060 135,410 131,460 127,186 129,065 132,140 134,540 136,345 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,046 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 43,830 44,180 44,530 38,430 26,250 28,556 28,516 28,9430 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	120,500	121,555	122,205 122	122,620	123,175	122,830	121,930	121,210
137,060 135,410 131,460 127,186 129,065 132,140 134,540 136,346 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,046 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 67,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 43,830 44,180 44,530 44,880 26,250 28,556 28,516 28,9430 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	119,115	119,400	119,535 120	120,135	120,580	121,560	122,490	123,110
129,065 132,140 134,540 136,345 1 105,440 110,625 116,085 121,045 1 1 121,045 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	119,325	117,585 1	118,105 118	118,520	119,180	119,500	119,725	119,840
105,440 110,625 116,085 121,045 1 84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 43,830 44,180 44,530 44,880 28,250 28,655 28,915 28,990 15,570 16,405 17,125 18,050	137,480	135,610	131,520 127	127,170	122,580	119,135	117,355	117,880
84,470 89,420 93,750 96,100 61,380 64,185 67,410 73,720 49,625 50,675 82,165 54,030 43,930 44,180 44,530 44,880 28,290 37,210 37,920 38,430 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,590	128,685	131,595	133,865 135	135,590	136,710	136,485	134,610	130,530
61,380 64,185 67,410 73,120 49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 43,930 44,180 44,530 44,880 36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,655 28,915 28,980 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	104,395	109,355	114,655 119	119,470	123,520	126,690	129,520	131,720
49,625 50,675 52,165 54,030 43,930 44,180 44,530 44,880 36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,565 28,915 28,960 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,560	82,705	87,425	91,580 93	93,800	97,045	101,660	106,455	111,595
43,930 44,180 44,530 44,880 36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,555 28,915 28,960 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	59,270	61,870	64,925 70	70,390	75,160	79,430	83,920	87,885
36,490 37,210 37,920 38,430 28,250 28,555 28,915 28,960 15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	46,505	47,465	48,810 50	50,550	52,880	55,335	57,780	60,610
28,555 28,915 28,960 16,405 17,125 18,050	39,385	39,615	39,950 40	40,270	40,825	41,625	42,475	43,740
15,670 16,405 17,125 18,050	30,155	30,785	31,415 31	31,860	32,205	32,410	32,615	32,925
	19,775	20,010	20,320 20	20,410	20,735	21,045	21,500	21,980
8,085 8,225 8,250 8,220 8,225 8,430	8,605	8,945	6 0030	9,240	9,220	9,290	9,365	9,465

5006
CH 2
MAR
29

2030	85,650	89,460	93,685	96,830	101,445	105,135	106,500	114,635	120,045	123,105	122,065	117,350	112,100	124,090	113,610	86,715	9,770	31,690	11,910
2029	86,190	90,145	94,330	97,320	102,095	104,820	107,695	116,470	119,995	123,970	121,125	117,110	113,735	125,810	111,090	82,735	56,530	30,280	11,610
2028	86,700	90,870	94,895	97,920	102,690	104,440	108,820	118,060	120,350	124,310	120,135	116,790	116,975	126,015	108,305	78,895	53,435	28,850	11,335
2027	87,195	91,565	95,360	98,625	103,270	104,085	110,330	118,715	121,560	123,745	119,690	116,120	121,290	124,955	104,730	76,185	49,940	27,480	11,110
2026	87,720	92,180	95,670	99,360	103,720	103,475	112,255	119,000	122,415	123,155	118,845	115,265	124,905	122,975	100,050	73,675	45,095	25,605	10,325
2025	88,370	92,875	96,105	100,055	103,240	104,810	113,625	119,625	123,140	122,565	118,705	114,755	128,800	120,915	95,450	70,385	42,925	24,760	10,180
2024	89,045	93,520	36,595	100,695	102,930	105,990	115,450	119,580	124,015	121,635	118,475	116,445	130,615	118,280	91,135	99,655	41,110	24,225	10,065
2023	89,765	94,080	97,190	101,290	102,560	107,090	117,045	119,935	124,370	120,650	118,175	119,775	130,850	115,350	86,975	63,080	39,260	23,735	10,025
2022	90,455	94,545	97,890	101,865	102,215	108,575	117,695	121,150	123,810	120,220	117,510	124,210	129,775	111,580	84,045	59,030	37,480	23,355	9,950
2021	91,165	94,915	98,670	102,425	101,680	110,690	118,100	122,110	123,400	119,640	117,090	128,450	128,140	107,120	82,015	54,380	36,165	23,140	9,975
2020	91,865	95,340	99,380	101,955	103,010	112,075	118,745	122,820	122,775	119,480	116,575	132,450	126,010	102,200	78,345	51,815	35,080	22,875	9,855
2019	92,490	95,840	100,005	101,650	104,220	113,880	118,665	123,720	121,855	119,270	118,315	134,290	123,260	97,590	74,190	49,615	34,360	22,690	9,680
2018	93,055	96,420	100,615	101,275	105,325	115,490	119,050	124,060	120,870	118,960	121,715	134,525	120,185	93,140	70,200	47,410	33,685	22,555	9,605
2017	93,555	97,105	101,200	100,920	106,805	116,150	120,245	123,500	120,420	118,290	126,240	133,420	116,280	90'032	65,725	45,290	33,200	22,305	9,480
FEMALE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-99	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

90
1200
꼾
Σ
29

AGE	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
0-4	85,110	84,550	83,990	83,465	82,995	82,560	82,190	81,860	81,550	81,265	81,000	069'08	80,340	79,940
5-9	88,805	88,275	87,770	87,255	86,715	86,165	85,600	85,035	84,505	84,025	83,585	83,210	82,875	82,565
10-14	92,985	92,360	91,665	90,930	90,240	89,580	89,040	88,535	88,015	87,470	86,920	86,350	85,775	85,240
15-19	96,395	96,080	95,610	95,050	94,395	93,695	93,070	92,365	91,630	90,935	90,265	89,730	89,215	88,695
20-24	100,745	100,000	99,290	98,680	98,180	97,740	97,420	96,955	96,385	95,725	95,015	94,380	93,670	92,930
25-29	105,625	105,185	104,600	103,995	103,335	102,625	. 101,870	101,145	100,525	100,020	99,570	99,245	98,770	98,195
30-34	105,150	105,735	106,100	106,490	106,815	107,310	106,880	106,290	105,675	105,010	104,290	103,525	102,790	102,160
35-39	113,250	111,320	109,790	108,655	107,450	106,095	106,665	107,040	107,435	107,765	108,265	107,845	107,255	106,635
40-44	119,425	119,140	118,495	116,910	115,075	113,685	111,755	110,225	109,085	107,880	106,525	107,090	107,470	107,870
45-49	122,390	121,545	120,345	119,995	120,050	119,445	119,165	118,530	116,950	115,125	113,745	111,820	110,295	109,155
50-54	122,670	123,270	123,850	123,525	122,680	121,980	121,150	119,970	119,625	119,695	119,100	118,835	118,215	116,655
55-59	117,505	118,355	118,810	119,810	120,755	121,370	121,985	122,570	122,270	121,450	120,770	119,965	118,815	118,485
60-64	112,605	113,450	114,125	114,450	114,700	114,865	115,710	116,170	117,160	118,100	118,720	119,330	119,920	119,640
69-59	120,355	116,900	112,755	109,660	108,100	108,610	109,445	110,115	110,445	110,705	110,870	111,685	112,130	113,080
70-74	115,580	117,480	118,515	118,365	116,780	113,305	110,085	106,220	103,335	101,895	102,375	103,165	103,795	104,110
75-79	90,955	95,280	98,605	101,215	103,580	105,450	107,255	108,275	108,215	106,835	103,655	100,710	97,175	94,535
80-84	62,645	64,855	67,250	70,605	74,090	77,810	81,605	84,550	86,885	89,020	90,630	92,180	93,060	93,005
85-89	-33,365	37,035	39,715	42,110	44,620	46,870	48,625	50,530	53,160	55,905	58,710	61,570	63,795	65,560
+06	12.360	13.315	14.030	14,780	15,520	16,400	18,270	19,660	20,915	22.240	23,360	24,235	25.185	26.495

,	_
ò	2
C	1
-	Ę
(L, YAK
<	į
2	7

2050	77,050	80,495	82,995	85,420	89,400	93,965	99,120	102,580	106,425	108,310	107,745	113,835	116,100	114,715	107,450	95,470	80,115	69,280	33,475
2049	77,535	80,940	83,285	85,905	89,960	94,685	99,795	103,100	107,095	107,965	108,925	115,615	116,005	115,475	106,595	95,245	81,250	70,175	32,675
2048	78,025	81,340	83,600	86,445	90,485	95,440	100,380	103,735	107,715	107,560	110,050	117,145	116,315	115,745	105,695	94,955	83,515	70,215	31,795
2047	78,515	81,695	83,935	87,020	91,000	96,160	100,855	104,475	108,300	107,170	111,565	117,745	117,430	115,175	105,275	94,380	86,555	69,555	30,685
2046	79,010	82,010	84,315	87,590	91,550	96,805	101,185	105,240	108,710	106,610	113,475	117,995	118,200	114,585	104,510	93,660	89,090	68,385	29,260
2045	79,500	82,275	84,760	88,145	92,225	97,525	101,645	105,965	108,205	107,960	114,845	118,565	118,855	113,990	104,355	93,220	91,820	67,170	27,860
FEMALE	0-4	6-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

Table 1.7: Projected population (Medium Projections, rounded to 5)

MALE AGE	2007		2007	2007	2004	0004	2003	2		7107	2013	4107	CLO7	2016
0-4	102,055	103,910	106,035	108,730	110,055	111,430	112,800	114,145	115,400	116,540	117,580	118,445	119,195	119,735
5-9	107,495	107,215	106,605	105,530	106,390	108,000	109,405	111,235	113,805	115,130	116,500	117,850	119,185	120,450
10-14	116,120	115,610	114,450	113,760	112,685	111,670	111,060	110,240	109,045	109,915	111,505	112,900	114,725	117,290
15-19	118,255	118,715	119,460	119,435	119,595	119,540	118,805	117,505	116,770	115,695	114,655	114,080	113,250	112,060
20-24 1	122,290	123,305	124,075	124,505	124,510	124,080	124,140	124,665	124,550	124,715	124,625	123,905	122,605	121,890
25-29	124,120	126,915	128,525	129,485	130,005	130,795	131,170	131,560	131,805	131,820	131,295	131,365	131,870	131,745
30-34	123,385	125,215	126,890	128,040	129,925	131,995	134,180	135,355	136,150	136,650	137,350	137,745	138,120	138,360
35-39	127,000	124,335	123,945	125,890	127,555	128,910	130,275	131,615	132,650	134,510	136,540	138,705	139,885	140,665
40-44	142,070	142,405	140,890	137,650	134,050	130,545	127,580	126,995	128,810	130,480	131,800	133,135	134,500	135,505
45-49 1	131,265	135,305	138,535	141,235	142,515	143,695	143,810	142,175	138,890	135,355	131,845	128,920	128,340	130,145
50-54	103,350	108,750	114,480	120,250	126,060	131,135	135,030	138,140	140,785	142,090	143,110	143,225	141,640	138,420
55-59	80,795	85,565	90,765	95,520	98,110	102,235	107,475	113,065	118,715	124,450	129,180	132,970	136,055	138,640
60-64	58,650	61,700	64,655	67,830	73,455	78,960	83,490	88,495	93,055	95,630	99,215	104,270	109,645	115,100
69-59	47,050	47,985	49,130	50,730	52,760	55,825	58,645	61,420	64,405	69,765	74,530	78,760	83,430	87,675
	40,115	40,615	40,865	41,115	41,420	42,545	43,395	44,465	45,985	47,880	50,380	52,915	55,430	58,150
62-92	28,485	29,425	30,550	31,480	32,130	33,575	34,055	34,340	34,655	35,015	35,525	36,280	37,205	38,530
	17,475	18,070	18,480	18,940	19,410	20,865	21,625	22,520	23,290	23,855	24,305	24,645	24,890	25,155
	7,735	7,875	8,210	8,680	9,170	10,035	10,380	10,680	11,015	11,365	11,810	12,245	12,780	13,225
	3,035	3,070	3,105	3,095	3.080	3.300	3.360	3.515	3.610	3 700	3 735	3 790	3 870	3 000

(C)
C		٥
C)
C	2	J
	I	
	_)
۵	ľ	_
<	1	(
2	>	>
0		
`		•

2030	120,425	124,575	128,920		130,835														
4043	120,100	124,745	128,975	130,105		129,875	129,875	129,875 128,610 132,990	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 145,335	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 145,335	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 145,335 146,570	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 146,570 146,570 142,920	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,280 146,570 146,570 142,920 133,235 133,235	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 145,335 146,570 142,326 133,236 133,236 133,236 130,645	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 145,335 146,570 142,920 133,235 133,235 133,235 113,645 112,120	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,290 146,535 146,537 142,365 133,236 133,236 133,236 112,366 112,366 112,366 112,366	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,290 146,535 146,570 142,395 133,236 133,236 133,236 142,366 172,240	129,875 128,610 132,990 142,250 146,570 146,570 142,920 133,235 133,235 134,240 147,240 178,055 178,055
2020	119,805	124,945	128,910	129,195	128,450		127,105	127,105	127,105 133,635 142,965	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 140,760	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 140,760 131,870	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 140,760 131,870 127,015	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 131,870 127,015 130,435 100,815	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 131,870 127,015 130,435 108,815 74,100	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 140,780 131,870 127,015 130,435 108,815 74,100 44,560	127,105 133,635 142,965 145,315 146,160 140,760 131,870 127,015 130,435 108,815 74,100 44,560
7707	119,550	125,130	128,675	128,155	127,010		125,465	125,465	125,465 134,690 143,045	125,465 134,690 143,045 145,805	125,465 134,690 143,045 145,805	125,465 134,690 143,045 145,405 138,745	125,465 134,690 143,045 145,805 145,455 138,745 130,550	128,465 134,690 143,045 145,805 138,745 130,850 130,205	125,465 134,690 143,045 145,805 146,805 138,745 130,550 130,250 129,400	128,465 134,690 143,045 145,805 146,805 130,550 130,550 120,400 104,755	125,465 134,680 143,045 145,855 145,455 130,550 129,400 104,755	125,465 134,680 143,045 145,855 138,745 130,550 129,400 104,755 71,220 41,625	125,465 134,680 143,045 145,455 136,750 130,206 129,400 104,755 14,625 18,445
0707	119,380	125,220	128,245	126,950	125,455		124,430	124,430	135,620	124,430 135,620 142,795 145,680	124,430 135,620 142,795 145,680	124,430 135,620 142,795 145,680 144,750	124,430 135,620 142,795 144,750 136,505 128,190	124 430 135 620 142,795 144,750 136,505 128,190	124.430 135.620 142.795 145.680 144.750 136.505 128.190 132.145 126.340	124.430 135.620 142,795 145,680 144,750 136,505 126,340 98,070			
	119,485	125,360	127,665	125,710	122,900		125,615	125,615	125,615	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430 143,970	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430 143,970	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430 143,970 135,505	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430 143,970 135,505 126,395 135,155	125,615 136,345 142,915 143,970 135,505 126,395 135,155 135,155	125.615 136.345 142.915 145.870 135.505 135.165 135.165 135.165 135.165 135.165	125,615 136,345 142,915 145,430 135,505 126,395 123,995 123,996 135,155 123,996 135,155 123,996 135,155		
4707	119,645	125,420	126,960	124,360	121,070		126,415	126,415	126,415 137,630 142,400	126,415 137,630 142,400 145,055	126,415 137,630 142,400 145,055	126,415 137,630 142,400 145,055 142,800	126,415 137,630 142,400 145,055 142,800 134,145	126,415 137,630 142,400 145,055 142,800 134,145 126,900	126,415 137,630 142,400 142,800 134,145 126,900 136,635 121,210	126,415 137,630 142,400 142,800 134,145 126,900 136,635 121,210 88,845	126,415 137,630 142,400 142,800 134,145 126,900 136,635 121,210 88,845 60,050	126,415 137,630 142,400 142,800 134,145 126,900 136,635 121,210 88,845 60,050	126,415 137,630 142,400 142,800 134,145 126,900 136,635 121,210 88,845 60,050 15,090
5707	119,840	125,360	126,080	123,005	119,670		127,010	127,010	127,010 138,355 142,370	127,010 138,355 142,370	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675 140,665 132,815	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675 140,665 132,815 129,665	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675 140,665 132,815 129,665 136,505	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675 140,665 132,815 129,665 136,505 117,780	127,010 138,355 142,370 144,675 132,815 129,685 136,505 117,780 84,515	127,010 138,335 142,370 144,675 132,815 129,685 136,505 117,780 84,515	138,335 142,370 144,675 140,665 132,815 138,505 117,780 84,515 96,815 30,955	127,010 138,335 142,370 144,675 132,815 132,815 136,505 117,780 84,515 56,815 30,955 14,745
7707	120,025	125,140	125,075	121,640	118,100		128,025	128,025	128,025 138,450 142,875	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 138,680	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 138,680 131,535	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 138,680 131,535 133,000	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 138,680 131,535 133,000 135,510 113,515	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 138,680 131,535 133,000 135,510 113,515	128,025 138,450 143,990 138,680 131,535 133,000 135,510 113,515 81,400 63,110	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 131,535 133,000 135,510 113,515 81,400 29,335	128,025 138,450 142,875 143,990 131,535 133,000 135,510 113,515 81,400 53,110 29,335
707	120,175	124,780	123,930	120,325	117,225		129,100	129,100	129,100 138,280 142,870	138,280 142,870 143,485	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890 136,400	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 129,890 136,400 134,260 106,375	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 128,890 136,260 134,260 106,375 79,175	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890 134,260 106,375 79,175	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890 134,260 106,375 78,175 78,175 28,125	129,100 138,280 142,870 143,485 136,825 129,890 134,260 108,375 78,175 78,175 78,175 14,310
7070	120,335	124,215	122,680	117,760	118,385		129,830	129,830	129,830 138,405 142,620	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 135,820 128,075	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 135,820 128,075	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 135,820 128,075 139,535	129,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 135,820 128,075 139,535 131,755 103,265	128,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 135,820 139,535 131,755 103,265 75,370	128.830 138.405 142.620 142.705 135.820 139.535 131.755 103.265 75.370 46.605	128,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 158,820 158,820 139,535 131,765 103,265 75,370 46,605	128,830 138,405 142,620 142,705 158,820 138,820 139,535 131,765 103,266 75,370 46,605 27,115
6107	120,390	123,505	121,335	115,930	119,205		131,115	137,905	137,905	137,905 137,905 142,240 141,520	137,905 142,240 141,520	137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450	137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090	137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795	137,115 137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795 98,215	131,115 137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795 98,215	131,115 137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795 98,215 77,165 44,475	131,115 137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795 98,215 77,165 44,475	131,115 137,905 142,240 141,520 134,450 128,615 141,090 128,795 98,215 77,165 44,475 26,415
2018	120.330	122,600	119,965	114,530	119,795		131,820	131,820	137,845	137,845 141,850 139,385	137,845 141,850 139,385 133,105	137,845 141,850 139,385 133,105 131,480	137,845 141,850 139,385 133,105 131,480 140,940	131,820 137,845 141,850 139,385 133,105 140,940 125,135	137.845 147.850 139.385 133.105 131,480 140,940 125,135 93,480	137,845 141,850 139,385 133,105 131,480 140,940 125,135 93,480 67,340	137.845 147.845 141.850 139.385 133.105 140.940 140.940 125.135 93.480 67.340	137.845 141.850 139.385 133.105 131.480 140.940 125.135 93.480 67.340 42.315	137.845 141.850 139.365 133.105 131.480 140.940 125.135 93.480 67.340 42.315 25.830
/107	120,110	121,585	118,615	112,945	120,810		131,925	131,925	131,925 138,370 141,170	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 134,930	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 134,930	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 134,930 139,920 120,585	131,925 138,370 141,170 137,360 134,830 139,920 120,885 90,095	138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 139,920 120,585 90,095 63,015	138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 139,920 120,585 90,095 63,015 40,150	138,370 141,170 137,360 131,815 139,920 120,885 90,095 63,015 40,150 25,435	138,370 141,170 137,360 139,920 120,585 90,085 63,015 40,150 25,435 13,540
MALE AGE	0-4	6-9	10-14	15-19	20-24		25-29	25-29	25-29 30-34 35-39	25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44	25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49	25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49	25-29 30-34 40-44 45-49 50-54	25-29 30-34 40-44 45-49 50-54 60-64	25.29 30.34 40.44 45.49 50.54 60.64 65.69	25-29 30-34 40-44 45-49 50-54 60-64 65-69	25-29 30-34 40-44 40-44 60-54 60-54 60-54 70-74 75-79	25-29 30-34 40-44 40-44 40-44 60-64 60-64 70-74 76-79	25.29 30.34 30.34 40.44 40.44 40.64 60.64 60.64 60.84 80.84

90
20
I
0
AF
Σ
6

2050	134,470	137,530	136,565	135,140	138,445	146,205	154,685	158,045	154,800	146,440	141,800	145,935	145,720	139,265	127,155	106,825	80,950	58,055	22,870
2049	134,075	136,750	135,505	134,400	138,065	146,390	154,700	157,090	153,100	144,315	142,635	147,170	145,105	138,785	125,995	105,620	81,120	58,495	22,235
2048	133,625	135,875	134,485	133,770	137,720	146,600	154,560	155,930	151,385	142,590	143,325	147,800	144,990	138,285	124,005	104,435	82,715	58,235	21,480
2047	133,120	134,915	133,515	133,250	137,435	146,790	154,210	154,615	149,620	140,840	144,405	147,790	145,330	137,510	122,140	103,275	84,660	57,605	20,585
2046	132,555	133,890	132,620	132,790	137,270	146,880	153,635	153,120	147,575	139,970	145,345	147,475	145,075	136,725	120,105	101,310	85,775	56,080	19,180
2045	131,920	132,815	131,805	132,370	137,385	147,010	152,890	151,570	144,695	141,220	146,125	147,485	144,695	135,865	119,130	008'66	87,580	54,875	18,190
MALE AGE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

9	
0	
0	
Ö	
I	
\circ	
α	
⋖	
\geq	
29	

FEMALE AGE 2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
96,615	5 98,525	100,425	102,585	103,840	105,130	106,425	107,700	108,885	109,955	110,930	111,755	112,450	112,990
100,900	100,660	100,270	99,670	100,855	102,085	103,610	105,235	107,280	108,520	109,810	111,105	112,355	113,545
110,245	109,405	108,225	107,425	105,815	104,880	104,350	103,765	103,050	104,265	105,490	106,975	108,605	110,645
111,620	112,440	113,470	113,610	113,820	113,735	112,660	111,350	110,480	108,870	107,940	107,405	106,820	106,100
115,995	117,445	118,040	118,520	118,500	118,180	118,610	119,400	119,415	119,635	2 119,530 mm	118,470	117,150	116,275
114,590	117,300	119,770	121,725	123,300	125,055	125,845	126,050	126,330	126,325	125,975	126,385	127,175	127,210
115,515	117,120	118,520	119,760	121,380	122,890	124,975	127,055	128,825	130,400	132,115	132,910	133,100	133,385
121,045	118,345	117,320	118,560	119,680	121,150	122,315	123,420	124,515	126,115	127,610	129,695	131,770	133,515
137,050	137,365	135,975	132,310	128,370	124,310	121,355	120,155	121,315	122,455	123,875	125,025	126,135	127,235
125,630	129,250	132,500	135,090	137,130	138,715	138,825	137,330	133,645	129,730	.125,645	122,715	121,545	122,700
100,465	105,605	110,910	116,535	121,630	126,195	129,665	132,825	135,375	137,400	138,875	139,005	137,515	133,845
79,745	5 84,575	89,630	94,065	96,560	100,205	105,175	110,320	115,850	120,880	25,160	128,570	131,670	134,165
58,520	61,465	64,355	67,675	73,475	78,680	83,315	88,160	92,480	94,905	98,320	103,140	108,150	113,495
48,620	49,700	50,830	52,375	54,340	57,000	59,755	62,500	65,665	71,245	2576,155	80,580	85,220	89,340
43,610	43,970	44,265	44,660	45,075	45,870	46,850	47,885	49,350	51,180	53,620	56,195	. 58,750	61,705
36,025	36,520	37,260	38,005	38,570	39,275	39,590	39,860	40,255	40,650	41,290	42,165	43,120	44,455
27,430	28,270	28,580	28,960	29,040	29,840	30,265	30,930	31,595	32,075	32,460	32,710	32,960	33,325
15,425	15,675	16,420	17,145	18,085	19,250	19,825	20,095	20,410	20,525	20,860	21,195	21,685	22,180
		THE RESIDENCE AND PERSONS ASSESSED.				THE WATER IN VIOLENCE AND WHITE WHITE WATER WATER		the same and the s					

C
2006
\subset
C
Т
2
MAR
◁
5
20
C

12,095
11,770
11,470
11,225
10,420
10,265
10,130
10,090
10,005
10,030
9,905
9,720
9,650
9,510
+06

9
Ō
0
2
I
$\ddot{\circ}$
Ř
⋖
5
_
29

2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
- 113,975	114,370	114,815	115,360	116,005	116,720	117,515	118,370	119,270	120,205	121,175	122,105	122,970	123,755
117,545	117,700	117,945	118,240	118,555	118,935	119,345	119,810	120,375	121,045	121,790	122,615	123,510	124,445
121,550	121,675	121,505	121,315	121,155	121,040	121,195	121,445	121,745	122,075	122,465	122,885	123,365	123,940
124,140	124,650	124,880	124,930	124,890	124,760	124,880	124,720	124,525	124,365	124,250	124,400	124,655	124,965
126,125	127,255	128,295	129,210	129,980	130,555	131,095	131,355	131,420	131,385	131,255	131,375	131,220	131,020
127,930	129,395	130,860	132,305	133,720	135,095	136,320	137,445	138,440	139,275	139,910	140,495	140,800	140,880
127,345	128,735	130,130	131,795	133,630	135,885	137,490	139,055	140,595	142,100	143,570	144,885	146,090	147,160
136,125	135,225	134,210	133,590	132,960	132,200	133,560	135,005	136,730	138,630	140,955	142,650	144,280	145,880
141,850	142,405	142,350	141,300	139,940	139,015	138,095	137,070	136,435	135,795	135,025	136,370	137,850	139,610
142,340	142,475	142,160	142,580	143,390	143,465	144,030	143,990	142,955	141,595	140,655	139,735	138,710	138,070
138,200	139,920	141,700	142,545	142,795	143,120	143,270	142,975	143,405	144,230	144,330	144,900	144,885	143,865
128,425	130,250	131,760	133,850	135,955	137,740	139,475	141,265	142,140	142,410	142,755	142,925	142,650	143,090
119,985	121,500	122,930	124,080	125,200	126,295	128,100	129,605	131,670	133,755	.135,530	137,255	139,035	139,920
125,540	122,555	118,805	116,160	115,120	116,205	117,690	119,095	120,225	121,335	- 122,395	124,145	125,600	127,605
119,345	121,505	122,850	123,010	121,765	118,600	115,820	112,310	109,840	108,890	109,915	111,320	112,645	113,720
93,625	98,125	101,635	104,465	107,050	109,160	111,205	112,510	112,735	111,665	108,765	106,215	102,995	100,730
64,375	66,700	69,230	72,735	76,385	80,270	84,230	87,340	89,880	92,205	94,025	95,785	96,910	97,100
34,305	38,040	40,810	43,295	45,905	48,245	50,095	52,105	54,860	57,730	029'09	63,660	66,015	67,930
12,570	13,545	14,290	15,070	15,845	16,755	18,640	20,070	21,365	22,735	23,895	24,810	25,805	27,170

900
120
R 公
¥
29

2050																			
2049	126,490	129,140	128,125	127,215	131,465	140,485	149,830	152,730	148,985	141,280	139,005	143,650	140,940	135,595	120,700	104,290	86,765	73,390	33,640
2048	126,065	128,310	127,160	126,625	131,140	140,695	149,725	151,615	147,345	139,500	139,645	144,645	140,500	134,740	118,805	103,305	88,715	73,245	32,695
2047	125,590	127,405	126,240	126,135	130,875	140,840	149,380	150,355	145,670	137,995	140,665	144,635	140,750	133,015	117,425	102,090	91,485	72,395	31,525
2046	125,055	126,435	125,395	125,700	130,730	140,730	148,750	148,980	143,920	136,660	141,580	144,050	140,560	131,345	115,770	100,800	93,685	71,065	30,045
2045	124,455	125,420	124,625	125,300	130,850	140,855	148,060	147,450	141,545	137,430	142,515	143,925	140,210	129,625	114,765	098'66	96,180	069'69	28,590
FEMALE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

Table 1.8: Projected population (High Projections, rounded to 5)

113.3465 113.4465 117,205 125,225 123,676 133,676 141,706 141,706 141,946 145,960 141,346 164,345 120,205 126,225 122,645 136,690 141,346 146,345 120,205 126,245 136,690 141,346 146,345 120,205 115,346 146,248 122,486 144,440 144,446 144,486 144,446 144,486 144,440 144,486 144,486 144,440 144,486 144,486 144,486		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013 204	2014	2015	2016
110,386 108,165 100,086 110,246 116,345 116,436 120,205 122,545 122,545 136,620 140,806 16,936 16,936 16,936 16,936 16,936 16,936 115,430 115,430 115,430 115,430 115,436 115,430 115,430 115,436 115,430 115,430 115,436 122,746 122,136 113,640 121,440 121,430 121,430 122,436 122,436 121,440 122,430 124,280 123,440 122,440 122,430 124,380 124,440 145,770 146,446 143,480 144,440 145,770 146,486 144,480 144,440 145,770 146,486 144,886 144,440 145,770 146,486 147,480 144,440 145,770 146,486 147,486 144,440 145,770 146,486 147,486 144,440 145,770 144,486 144,440 145,770 144,486 144,440 145,770 144,486 144,440 145,770 144,440 145,770 144,486 144,		105,335	108,865	113,485	117,205	121,150	125,225	129,430	133,675	137,900	141,705	145,080		150,280
115,405 115,405 115,105 115,105 115,105 115,105 115,105 115,105 122,745 115,2245 115,105 121,105 122,105 <		107,995	108,165	108,095	110,260	113,345	116,435	120,205	124,890	128,625	132,545	136,620		145,035
119,270 120,630 122,146 122,746 122,746 122,140 122,046 122,046 122,046 122,046 122,046 122,046 122,040 122,046 122,046 122,046 122,046 123,046 133,046 133,046 134,070 144,050 134,050 144,050 142,070 146,356 146,050 132,046 142,070 146,356 144,050 151,320 153,650 134,050 136,980 142,070 144,435 147,520 148,956 151,320 153,940 157,145 1 172,030 125,475 129,400 139,105 144,146 142,775 146,206 150,400 157,405 147,900 150,400 157,405 147,900 147,000 142,075 144,405 147,000 144,405 141,400 141,600 144,405 141,600 144,405 141,600 144,405 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 141,600 <td></td> <td>116,085</td> <td>115,405</td> <td>115,430</td> <td>115,195</td> <td>115,125</td> <td></td> <td>115,920</td> <td>115,925</td> <td>118,100</td> <td>121,160</td> <td>124,250</td> <td>128,000</td> <td>132,695</td>		116,085	115,405	115,430	115,195	115,125		115,920	115,925	118,100	121,160	124,250	128,000	132,695
128.00 128.106 129.00 139.90 132.345 133.946 134,590 134,610 144,40 145,770 146,956 140,000 128.200 131,015 135,565 136,565 136,565 140,880 142,700 144,440 145,770 146,435 147,520 148,985 14,696 128.200 131,016 135,565 136,960 139,105 142,700 146,370 146,330 155,320 155,940 157,320 146,985 14,696 146,005 156,405 146,095 146,995 146,205 156,405 157,445 147,370 146,005 146,205 146,205 146,205 146,006 156,407 157,445 147,370 144,460 147,600 156,407 157,445 147,370 157,465 147,370		119,270	120,530	121,145	122,065	122,745	122,730	122,170	122,245	122,030	121,950	122,410	122,735	122,765
728.200 131,016 135,665 136,565 140,080 142,700 144,440 145,770 146,436 147,520 148,985 1 142,720 146,936 151,320 155,940 157,930 146,936 151,320 155,940 155,940 157,145 1 172,080 128,476 128,410 138,136 134,145 136,980 139,990 146,205 150,040 154,075 157,145 1 142,266 142,000 139,485 138,416 132,986 136,995 146,076 150,040 154,075 157,145 1 142,266 139,240 142,425 146,216 143,870 141,600 144,456 147,370 144,456 147,370 144,456 147,370 147,370 147,730 147,370		124,385	126,110	127,710	129,050	129,790	130,900	132,345	133,045	133,960	134,590	134,610	134,050	134,110
126.086 129,000 131,580 139,105 146,356 146,936 151,320 155,940 155,940 155,940 155,940 155,940 155,940 155,940 155,940 156,745 146,735 146,205 146,205 150,040 151,075 157,145 1 142,566 142,000 139,485 136,435 134,340 132,965 136,950 141,630 144,455 147,370 1 135,620 139,240 142,425 146,215 143,870 141,620 144,455 147,370 1 108,970 114,905 121,000 127,185 132,705 140,660 143,870 141,620 144,370 147,520 144,455 147,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 147,520 144,370 144,370 144,920 148,920 </td <td></td> <td>128,200</td> <td>131,015</td> <td>133,565</td> <td>135,950</td> <td>138,555</td> <td>140,680</td> <td>142,700</td> <td>144,440</td> <td>145,770</td> <td>146,435</td> <td>147,520</td> <td>148,955</td> <td>149,650</td>		128,200	131,015	133,565	135,950	138,555	140,680	142,700	144,440	145,770	146,435	147,520	148,955	149,650
125.090 128,476 128,416 139,380 139,910 142,575 146,205 150,404 151,745 157,145 157,145 157,145 157,145 157,145 173,095 141,200 141,620 141,620 141,620 141,630 141,435 147,370 147,250 141,630 141,435 147,370 147,250 141,630 141,435 147,370 147,250 141,630 141,435 147,250 144,455 147,370 147,250 144,455 147,370 147,250 144,455 147,370 147,250 144,455 147,370 147,250 144,455 147,370 147,250 144,455 147,520 144,455 147,550 144,750 147,520 144,455 147,550 144,750 147,550 <t< td=""><td></td><td>126,265</td><td>129,000</td><td>131,580</td><td>135,200</td><td>139,105</td><td>143,150</td><td>146,255</td><td>148,930</td><td>151,320</td><td>153,830</td><td>155,940</td><td>157,935</td><td>159,665</td></t<>		126,265	129,000	131,580	135,200	139,105	143,150	146,255	148,930	151,320	153,830	155,940	157,935	159,665
142,966 142,000 139,485 136,946 132,946 132,946 132,946 132,946 141,260 144,456 144,466 144,666 144,866 145,805 144,606 144,866 145,805 144,666 <t< td=""><td></td><td>125,090</td><td>125,475</td><td>128,410</td><td>131,395</td><td>134,145</td><td>136,980</td><td>139,910</td><td>142,575</td><td>146,205</td><td>150,040</td><td>154,075</td><td>157,145</td><td>159,825</td></t<>		125,090	125,475	128,410	131,395	134,145	136,980	139,910	142,575	146,205	150,040	154,075	157,145	159,825
135,650 139,240 142,425 146,215 146,215 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 146,216 147,220 146,405 147,520 146,405 147,520 146,405 147,520 <t< td=""><td></td><td>142,965</td><td>142,000</td><td>139,495</td><td>136,835</td><td>134,340</td><td>132,415</td><td>132,965</td><td>135,995</td><td>138,950</td><td>141,630</td><td>144,455</td><td>147,370</td><td>150,040</td></t<>		142,965	142,000	139,495	136,835	134,340	132,415	132,965	135,995	138,950	141,630	144,455	147,370	150,040
108.970 114,905 127,000 137,050 140,660 143,885 145,805 147,826 148,405 147,580 147,890 148,915 <t< td=""><td></td><td>135,650</td><td>139,240</td><td>142,425</td><td>144,355</td><td>146,215</td><td>147,100</td><td>146,285</td><td>143,870</td><td>141,260</td><td>138,730</td><td>136,865</td><td>137,405</td><td>140,405</td></t<>		135,650	139,240	142,425	144,355	146,215	147,100	146,285	143,870	141,260	138,730	136,865	137,405	140,405
86,715 91,20 96,065 96,945 103,335 106,870 14,775 120,795 122,025 136,295 136,870 1,26,885 136,870 1,26,885 136,870 1,26,785 106,890 112,675 10		108,970	114,905	121,000	127,185	132,700	137,050	140,660	143,885	145,805	147,525	148,405	147,590	145,250
61.880 66.026 64.435 74,325 60.065 94,955 97,785 101,655 106,690 112,675 1 48,110 49,380 51,155 63,400 66,620 69,670 62,680 65,925 71,510 76,515 80,975 85,880 40,680 40,370 41,710 42,220 43,315 46,130 46,820 51,625 57,140 29,480 30,610 31,580 32,280 33,745 34,530 34,635 36,080 36,080 36,980 36,980 38,095 18,075 18,500 31,580 31,483 21,720 22,465 24,680 24,980 24,980 38,096 7,880 8,215 8,695 9,190 10,080 10,770 11,065 11,430 12,390 12,390 12,390 12,390 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790		85,715		96,065	98,945	103,335	108,870	114,775	120,795	126,885	132,025	136,295	139,870	143,010
48,110 49,380 51,155 63,400 66,620 69,670 62,680 65,925 71,510 76,515 80,975 85,880 40,680 40,970 41,70 41,710 42,920 43,915 46,820 46,815 51,625 51,406 57,140 29,460 30,610 31,580 32,280 33,745 34,635 34,635 36,080 36,080 36,980 36,980 38,036 18,075 18,076 18,578 31,08 21,720 22,670 24,680 24,680 24,980 24,986 25,225 7,880 8,215 9,190 10,080 10,780 11,685 11,430 11,886 12,390 12,390 3,070 3,105 3,085 3,305 3,585 3,710 3,740 3,740 3,780 3,890		61,880	65,025	68,435	74,325	80,085	84,895	90,145		97,785	101,655	106,990		118,455
40,680 40,970 41,700 41,710 42,920 43,915 46,820 46,915 61,625 51,395 57,140 29,460 30,610 31,580 32,280 33,745 34,290 34,635 35,030 35,465 36,980 36,980 38,036 18,075 18,500 18,575 18,475 20,935 21,720 22,670 24,680 24,680 24,580 24,580 25,225 7,880 8,215 8,695 9,190 10,080 10,1720 11,085 11,430 11,885 12,390 12,390 3,070 3,105 3,085 3,585 3,580 3,710 3,740 3,740 3,740 3,795 3,890		48,110	49,380	51,155	53,400	56,620	59,670	62,680		71,510		80,975	-	90,330
29.460 30.610 31.580 32.280 33.745 34.290 34.635 35.030 35.465 36.080 36.960 36.990 38.035 18.075 18.075 18.075 19.475 20.935 21,720 22.670 23.460 24.660 24.966 24.955 25.225 7.880 8.215 9.190 10,060 10,415 10,720 11,065 11,430 12,350 12,390 3.070 3.105 3.085 3.365 3.515 3,670 3,740 3,740 3,795 3,890		40,660		41,300	41,710	42,920		45,130		48,915		54,395		60,085
18,075 18,600 18,975 19,475 20,935 21,720 22,670 23,460 24,660 24,660 24,965 24,525 25,225 7,880 8,215 9,190 10,060 10,415 10,720 11,065 11,430 12,350 12,390 3,070 3,105 3,065 3,365 3,565 3,5170 3,740 3,740 3,795 3,890		29,460		31,580		33,745	34,290	34,635		35,465	36,080	36,960	38,035	39,505
7,880 8,215 8,695 9,190 10,060 10,720 11,065 11,430 11,885 12,350 12,905 3,070 3,105 3,085 3,365 3,565 3,515 3,710 3,740 3,795 3,890		18,075	18,500	18,975		20,935		22,670	23,460	24,060	24,560	24,955	25,225	25,540
3,070 3,105 3,100 3,085 3,305 3,365 3,515 3,620 3,710 3,740 3,795	H	7,880	8,215	8,695		10,060		10,720		11,430		12,350	12,905	13,360
		3,070		3,100	3,085	3,305		3,515		3,710		3,795	3,890	4,005

9	
2	
2	
天	
2	
₹	
Σ	
29	

2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	19 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	2021 2022 2023 2024 4EE 000 4E7 000 150 EEE 450 500	2022 2023 2024 467 000 158 655 150 500 1	2023 2024 159 655 150 500	150 500		2025	2020	162 845	164 520	2029	2030
	945 155,960 196,930 157,820 198,055 1405 159,265 161,590 163,360 164,870 1	156,930 157,820 158,655 161,590 163,360 164,870	163,360 164,870	164,870		159,500	167,290	168,225	169,265	170,175	171,080	172,030
140,325 144,400 148,580 152,795 157,000 160,815 164,170	148,580 152,795 157,000 160,815	152,795 157,000 160,815	157,000 160,815	160,815		164,170	167,005	169,335	171,285	172,885	174,255	175,450
127,985 131,070 134,820 139,515 143,245 147,155 151,205	770 134,820 139,515 143,245 147,155	139,515 143,245 147,155	143,245 147,155	147,155		151,205	155,395	159,615	164,055	168,070	171,610	174,605
133,845 134,295 134,625 134,670 136,805 139,870 142,955	134,625 134,670 136,805 139,870	134,670 136,805 139,870	136,805 139,870	139,870		142,955	146,690	151,370	155,640	159,905	164,320	168,880
151,190 151,185 150,630 150,700 150,495 150,420 150,865	185 150,630 150,700 150,495 150,420	150,700 150,495 150,420	150,495 150,420	150,420		150,865	151,200	151,240	153,645	156,980	160,445	164,570
161,630 162,725 164,120 164,830 165,730 166,345 166,340	725 164,120 164,830 165,730 166,345	164,830 165,730 166,345	165,730 166,345	166,345		166,340	165,785	165,860	165,860	165,790	166,225	166,610
164,675 166,790 168,770 170,495 171,800 172,450 173,535	790 168,770 170,495 171,800 172,450	170,495 171,800 172,450	171,800 172,450	172,450		173,535	174,935	175,600	176,645	177,335	177,380	176,835
157,445 161,430 164,500 167,140 169,495 171,975 174,065	164,500 167,140 169,495 171,975	167,140 169,495 171,975	169,495 171,975	171,975		174,065	176,025	177,750	179,270	180,000	181,120	182,575
146,000 148,810 151,715 154,355 157,915 161,710 165,670	10 151,715 154,355 157,915 161,710	154,355 157,915 161,710	157,915 161,710	161,710	1	165,670	168,710	171,355	174,015	176,580	178,760	180,795
140,205 138,380 138,920 141,910 144,830 147,455 150,220	138,920 141,910 144,830 147,455	141,910 144,830 147,455	144,830 147,455	147,455		150,220	153,100	155,725	159,755	163,625	167,665	170,790
146,610 147,475 146,720 144,430 141,925 139,535 137,790	146,720 144,430 141,925 139,535	144,430 141,925 139,535	141,925 139,535	139,535		137,790	138,365	141,310	145,025	147,720	150,535	153,470
129,240 - 133,370 - 136,810 - 139,850 - 141,675 - 143,320 - 144,170	136,810 139,850 141,675 143,320	139,850 141,675 143,320	139,850 141,675 143,320	141,675 143,320		144,170	143,445	141,245	140,255	137,980	136,310	136,900
96,620 101,610 106,945 112,355 117,825 122,445 126,295	106,945 112,355 117,825 122,445	112,355 117,825 122,445	117,825 122,445	122,445		126,295	129,515	132,355	136,040	137,700	138,595	137,975
69,720 73,765 78,160 82,185 84,625 87,970 92,520	78,160 82,185 84,625 87,970	82,185 84,625 87,970	84,625 87,970	076,78	970	92,520	97,390	102,310	109,105	113,515	117,215	120,335
43,630 46,000 48,330 50,850 55,215 59,110 62,525	000 48,330 50,850 55,215 59,110	50,850 55,215 59,110	55,215 59,110	59,110		62,525	66,235	69,620	74,205	77,295	81,460	85,920
26,365 27,035 27,855 28,970 30,310 32,070 33,825	27,855 28,970 30,310 32,070	28,970 30,310 32,070	30,310 32,070	32,070		33,825	35,540	37,410	43,270	46,460	49,295	52,375
13,975 14,200 14,380 14,585 14,795 15,090 15,495	14,380 14,585 14,795 15,090	14,585 14,795 15,090	14,795 15,090	15,090		15,495	16,000	16,675	19,095	20,295	21,500	22,685
4.185 4.270 4.420 4.510 4.560 4.635 4.690	4,420 4,510 4,560 4,635	4.510 4.560 4.635	4.560 4.635	4.635		4.690	4.760	4.830	5.565	5.725	5.920	6,165

MALE AGE	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
0-4	170,570	172,940	175,530	178,385	181,485	184,790	188,220	191,800	195,510	199,335	203,260	207,175	211,025	214,785
6-9	173,080	174,630	176,410	178,375	180,510	182,870	185,400	188,170	191,210	194,520	198,055	201,725	205,560	209,535
10-14	176,445	177,530	178,490	179,445	180,435	181,535	183,140	184,995	187,045	189,280	191,745	194,390	197,285	200,465
15-19	177,070	179,140	180,835	182,285	183,550	184,605	185,740	186,755	187,760	188,800	189,950	191,610	193,545	195,685
20-24	173,485	178,310	182,735	186,650	189,980	192,730	195,035	196,935	198,550	199,960	201,140	202,385	203,510	204,620
25-29	169,710	174,565	179,385	184,340	189,465	194,645	200,060	205,100	209,585	213,435	216,625	219,300	221,505	223,380
30-34	166,685	169,090	172,650	176,445	180,925	186,480	191,855	197,175	202,635	208,265	213,975	219,925	225,525	230,540
35-39	176,875	176,885	176,820	177,260	177,670	177,780	180,185	183,890	187,925	192,655	198,505	204,250	209,940	215,755
40-44	183,315	184,395	185,145	185,235	184,705	184,730	184,745	184,690	185,130	185,570	185,710	188,115	191,920	196,120
45-49	182,595	184,180	184,975	186,135	187,635	188,435	189,550	190,350	190,480	189,980	190,000	190,030	189,995	190,445
50-54	173,510	176,230	178,860	181,105	183,205	185,060	186,700	187,555	188,745	190,290	191,145	192,295	193,140	193,315
55-59	156,150	160,215	164,150	168,245	171,455	174,235	177,015	179,710	182,020	184,175	186,095	187,795	188,710	189,950
60-64	139,835	143,565	146,320	149,170	152,140	154,870	158,945	162,920	167,050	170,320	173,160	175,995	178,740	181,110
69-59	135,940	135,055	132,940	131,395	132,035	134,935	138,605	141,335	144,165	147,105	149,745	153,685	157,525	161,520
70-74	123,110	126,670	128,350	129,320	128,870	127,095	126,395	124,535	123,205	123,925	126,645	130,090	132,655	135,305
15-79	90,435	96,625	100,720	104,195	107,165	109,830	113,210	114,910	115,975	115,765	114,170	113,545	111,870	110,680
80-84	55,210	59,015	61,650	65,150	68,905	72,725	77,910	81,425	84,455	87,085	89,255	91,995	93,380	94,245
85-89	23,975	27,845	30,025	31,985	34,120	36,110	38,750	40,635	43,105	45,765	48,300	51,745	54,080	26,090
+00	R 470	7 465	7 005	B 530	9.065	9,655	Editor 11 200 contra	12.260	13 150	14 125	14 950	16.040	16 825	17 845

Œ	
2006	١
C	
0	
_	
MARCH	
$\tilde{\sim}$	
ш,	
◁	
5	
σ	

2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
221,895	225,255	228,490	231,585	234,535
217,840	222,040	226,180	230,225	234,140
207,620	211,465	215,485	219,645	223,940
200,590	203,355	206,375	209,695	213,305
207,015	208,780	210,870	213,195	215,730
226,380	227,785	229,080	230,340	231,630
238,460	241,475	243,970	246,085	247,915
227,850	234,185	240,190	245,595	250,265
207,100	213,115	219,070	225,155	231,425
191,085	193,490	197,370	201,695	206,740
192,880	192,935	192,925	193,395	193,895
192,460	193,655	194,550	194,780	194,360
185,310	187,075	188,065	189,360	191,005
167,430	170,165	172,820	175,115	177,260
140,545	144,240	147,845	151,600	154,565
113,770	116,865	119,165	121,550	124,025
92,780	92,270	90,910	89,940	90,465
59,275	61,100	62,015	62,590	62,480
19,995	21,420	22,390	23,220	23,945

2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
97,055	99,870	103,100	107,085	110,595	114,315	118,160	122,145	126,125	130,120	133,710	136,900	139,590	141,810
101,140	101,365	101,670	102,005	104,385	106,980	110,050	113,490	117,570	121,100	124,795	128,625	132,595	136,555
110,390	109,875	109,190	109,030	108,265	108,215	108,660	109,095	109,510	111,885	114,480	117,550	120,980	125,030
111,815	113,020	114,560	115,305	116,265	116,910	116,545	115,980	115,870	115,105	115,055	115,500	115,955	116,370
116,400	118,580	120,220	121,945	123,345	124,265	125,745	127,460	128,305	129,260	129,895	129,530	128,960	128,855
115,020	118,550	122,230	125,765	129,260	132,885	135,485	137,430	139,300	140,700	141,585	143,080	144,790	145,630
115,865	118,190	120,675	123,345	126,715	130,040	133,980	137,950	141,625	145,115	148,700	151,330	153,240	155,115
121,260	119,005	118,660	120,845	123,200	126,035	128,675	131,375	134,155	137,505	140,810	144,745	148,720	152,365
137,215	137,830	136,885	133,840	130,685	127,445	125,410	125,220	127,480	129,835	132,620	135,245	137,945	140,720
125,730	129,530	133,070	136,065	138,635	140,795	141,550	140,715	137,750	134,610	131,365	129,345	129,150	131,400
100,550	105,855	111,385	117,330	122,820	127,765	131,645	135,250	138,250	140,800	142,830	143,585	142,795	139,840
79,795	84,755	89,980	94,670	97,450	101,425	106,755	112,265	118,135	123,555	128,230	132,030	135,575	138,520
58,570	61,630	64,660	68,160	74,200	79,650	84,530	89,640	94,240	096'96	100,700	105,850	111,210	116,920
48,665	49,825	51,070	52,805	54,950	57,790	60,750	63,700	070,70	72,870	78,025	82,690	87,560	91,945
43,630	44,050	44,420	44,920	45,470	46,390	47,515	48,715	50,365	52,390	55,015	57,790	60,535	63,695
36,050	36,570	37,375	38,175	38,810	39,590	39,995	40,375	40,865	41,375	42,140	43,165	44,270	45,750
27,440	28,290	28,625	29,055	29,165	30,020	30,500	31,225	31,945	32,495	32,960	33,280	33,630	34,070
15,430	15,690	16,460	17,205	18,160	19,330	19,960	20,225	20,585	20,720	21,110	21,465	22,010	22,560
8,085	8.230	8.255	8,230	8,240	8.455	8.630	8 985	04070	9 280	9 270	9.340	0.430	9 545

2030	158,855	162,530	165,370	164,470	160,760	160,115	164,020	173,700	178,075	174,470	161,375	144,685	130,605	137,200	123,045	93,440	64,125	34,090	
2029	156,965	161,620	164,260	161,635	156,435	156,275	163,520	174,270	176,310	172,480	157,380	141,975	130,770	137,950	119,905	89,000	60,580	32,490	
2028	155,230	160,770	162,970	158,305	152,240	152,830	163,070	174,585	174,795	169,775	153,410	139,355	132,690	137,255	116,540	84,700	57,190	30,880	
2027	153,650	159,875	161,460	154,545	148,245	149,840	162,990	173,840	173,845	166,080	150,060	136,565	135,785	135,360	112,395	81,560	53,415	29,325	
2026	152,255	158,465	159,635	150,855	144,850	146,665	161,955	172,125	172,295	162,645	146,680	133,950	138,100	132,580	107,295	78,715	48,330	27,270	
2025	151,345	157,550	157,440	146,880	140,790	146,265	162,085	171,280	170,435	159,000	143,940	131,770	140,925	129,830	102,175	75,075	45,935	26,300	
2024	150,495	156,505	154,755	142,930	137,375	145,810	162,635	169,580	168,525	155,085	141,265	131,930	141,710	126,555	97,380	71,010	43,870	25,610	And in contract of the contrac
2023	149,705	155,285	151,590	139,090	134,305	145,375	163,010	168,100	165,920	151,180	138,685	133,860	141,015	123,035	92,740	67,115	41,795	24,990	
2022	148,915	153,865	147,990	135,400	131,710	145,410	162,380	167,225	162,315	147,900	135,925	137,015	139,090	118,695	89,370	62,765	39,780	24,490	
2021	148,075	152,200	144,030	131,885	129,355	146,180	161,435	165,825	158,875	144,560	133,590	140,055	136,670	113,685	86,900	57,820	38,240	24,160	
2020	147,160	150,000	140,045	127,825	128,950	146,290	160,590	163,965	155,225	141,810	131,375	142,955	133,800	108,220	82,840	54,965	36,960	23,795	
2019	146,125	147,325	136,105	124,400	128,490	146,855	158,885	162,030	151,275	139,125	131,545	143,750	130,390	103,090	78,300	52,480	36,035	23,510	
2018	144,900	144,130	132,240	121,320	128,055	147,200	157,395	159,425	147,340	136,530	133,525	143,010	126,695	98,125	73,955	49,980	35,170	23,270	
2017	143,470	140,545	128,560	118,735	128,095	146,590	156,515	155,830	144,065	133,720	136,760	141,030	122,140	94,545	69,150	47,605	34,515	22,940	
AGE	0-4	6-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-59	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	

C	0
Č	Ó
\subset	5
C	V
I	_
5)
9	_
<	ζ
\geq	≥
Q	0

2041 - 2042 2043 200	191,785 195,475 199,110	187,095 190,560 194,185	181,275 183,780 186,510	,415 180,975 182,800	190,730 192,340 193,520	208,205 210,750 212,860	209,960 215,270 220,560	196,915 201,780 207,090	183,355 186,630 190,330	185,040 186,065 = 186,305 = 1	186,390 188,205 189,145.	180,420 182,125 183,215	64,765 168,325 172,125	142,540 145,840 - 149,140	122,925 :== 125,350 == 127,940	117,510 115,585 113,000	99,715 101,900 103,420	64.040 67.205 69.810
2039 (1986) 2040 (2040 (2040) 2040	184,475 188,080 191	180,630 183,755 187,	176,835 - 178,950 - 181	177,350 178,345 179,415	188,285 190,595 190	201,435 205,135 208	198,895 204,390 209	187,080 191,615 196,	182,265 182,825 183	185,790 185,225 185	183,495 185,355 186	176,300 178,410 180	156,965 160,995 164	137,190 139,840 142	121,035 120,930 122	7120,405 3 119,860 117	94,895 97,555 99	57.790 60.870 64
Same 2037 Series 2038	177,595 180,970	175,145 177,755	173,145	175,370 176,405	184,965 186,760	192,440 197,145	188,615 193,595	179,470 183,035	181,585 181,785	185,135 186,025	180,860 181,910	169,640 173,450	149,600 152,985	131,890 134,620	125,570 122,760	117,930 119,690	88,635 92,070	52.620 54.825
2035	171,240 174,360	170,525 172,755	170,605 171,630	172,850 173,865	180,200 182,805	182,765 187,740	179,115	175,720 176,215	180,740 180,565	182,365 183,350	177,230 179,200	162,305 166,080	143,445 146,215	127,240 129,340	130,215 127,660	115,405	80,285 84,460	48.115 50.615
2033 2034	165,620 168,310	166,655 168,505	168,755 169,655	170,315 171,675	173,330 177,030	173,095 177,850	171,050 174,855	174,720 175,180	181,585 348 181,310	179,000 180,550	172,380 175,170	154,255 158,260	138,125 140,745	129,235 127,385	130,195 130,890	106,790 == 109,950	72,605 76,380	42,725 45,360
2031 2032	160,940 163,175	163,510 164,970	166,335 167,790	166,795 168,720	165,125 169,205	164,650 168,610	164,480 167,705	173,540 174,565	179,000 180,755	176,390 178,000	165,100 168,615	147,460 150,865	132,740 135,345	134,470 132,230	125,690 128,365	98,190 . 3 102,925	67,315 69,830	35.950 39.820
FEMALE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-99	70-74	62-52	80-84	85-89

2050																			
2049	218,510	217,480	207,645	198,040	202,680	221,920	240,615	241,240	221,205	199,315	190,045	190,470	183,580	170,590	145,435	120,015	96,265	78,885	35,200
2048	215,590	213,660	203,710	194,905	200,480	220,690	238,560	235,985	215,325	195,015	189,535	190,620	181,970	167,795	141,745	117,770	97,635	78,415	34,150
2047	212,540	209,750	199,915	192,060	198,505	219,290	236,125	230,310	209,810	191,225	189,255	189,635	180,850	164,095	138,610	115,385	99,870	77,260	32,875
2046	209,370	205,780	196,280	189,450	196,835	217,515	233,195	224,595	204,720	187,940	188,225	187,795	179,135	160,625	135,470	113,150	101,535	75,605	31,325
2045	206,090	201,805	192,785	187,020	195,665	216,185	229,660	218,625	199,230	187,385	188,400	186,715	177,115	156,950	132,905	111,315	103,565	73,965	29,780
FEMALE	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	69-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	+06

9A-52 Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006

\$20,000-\$5 \$25,000-\$1 \$25,000-\$1 \$15,070-\$1 \$17,070-\$1 \$10,750-\$1	\$20,000-\$5 \$25,000-\$1 \$25,000-\$1 \$1,550-\$1 \$15,070-\$1 \$12,400-\$1 \$17,00-\$2 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$19,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,390-\$1 \$10,460-\$1 \$1	\$15,000- \$15,000- \$22,000- \$3 \$15,000- \$20,000- \$20,000- \$3 \$2,000- \$20,000- \$25,000- \$3 \$2,000- \$20,000- \$25,000- \$3 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$3 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2 \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2,000- \$2 \$2 <td< th=""><th>\$25,000- \$30,000- \$35,000- \$40,000- \$45,000- \$50,000- \$100,000 and \$35,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$45,000 \$50,000 \$100,000</th><th>10,420 7,660 6,260 4,170 2,900 6,390 260</th><th>16,340 16,470 17,480 14,100 15,100 53,130 6,760</th><th>12,360 16,530 15,980 18,190 14,930 81,920 24,980</th><th>9,970 - 11,050 74,050 11,410 11,890 11,890 74,050 74,050 30,720</th><th>7,250 8,370 8,570 7,800 7,180 36,000 15,570</th><th>8,110 6,800 5,160 4,940 4,170 12,770 3,910</th><th>5,020 4,690 3,030 1,700 980 5,760 1,740</th><th>69,470 71,570 67,890 62,790 57,710 270,020 83,940</th><th>6,490 4,970 </th><th>18,990 16,400 12,910 8,570 7,920 17,470 1,290</th><th>20,590 18,920 14,870 12,540 9,520 34,610 4,860</th><th>15,940 17,060 13,310 11,890 8,980 38,380 5,780</th><th>9,310 9,780 6,010 6,010 4,510 2,380 2,380</th><th>4,760 % 4,910 % 2,400 % 2,650 1,640 % 4,580 1,380</th><th>4,810 3,470 3,470 3,180 3 1,330 1,360 3 4,080 5 960</th><th>80,890 75,510 54,730 43,880 34,710 116,060 16,700</th><th>16,910 12,630 8,080 5,060 3,680 7,530 310</th><th>35,330 😭 32,870 🕾 30,390 🕾 22,670 🕾 23,020 🕾 70,600 8,050</th><th>32,950 36 35,450 66 30,860 30,730 24,450 7116,530 29,840</th><th>25,910 28,110 24,720 23,780 21,430 112,430 36,500</th><th>16,560 18,150 15,810 13,810 11,690 51,800 17,950</th><th>12,870 11,710 7,560 7,590 5,810 17,350 5,290</th><th>9,830 8,160 5,210 3,030 2,340 9,840 2,700</th></td<>	\$25,000- \$30,000- \$35,000- \$40,000- \$45,000- \$50,000- \$100,000 and \$35,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$45,000 \$50,000 \$100,000	10,420 7,660 6,260 4,170 2,900 6,390 260	16,340 16,470 17,480 14,100 15,100 53,130 6,760	12,360 16,530 15,980 18,190 14,930 81,920 24,980	9,970 - 11,050 74,050 11,410 11,890 11,890 74,050 74,050 30,720	7,250 8,370 8,570 7,800 7,180 36,000 15,570	8,110 6,800 5,160 4,940 4,170 12,770 3,910	5,020 4,690 3,030 1,700 980 5,760 1,740	69,470 71,570 67,890 62,790 57,710 270,020 83,940	6,490 4,970	18,990 16,400 12,910 8,570 7,920 17,470 1,290	20,590 18,920 14,870 12,540 9,520 34,610 4,860	15,940 17,060 13,310 11,890 8,980 38,380 5,780	9,310 9,780 6,010 6,010 4,510 2,380 2,380	4,760 % 4,910 % 2,400 % 2,650 1,640 % 4,580 1,380	4,810 3,470 3,470 3,180 3 1,330 1,360 3 4,080 5 960	80,890 75,510 54,730 43,880 34,710 116,060 16,700	16,910 12,630 8,080 5,060 3,680 7,530 310	35,330 😭 32,870 🕾 30,390 🕾 22,670 🕾 23,020 🕾 70,600 8,050	32,950 36 35,450 66 30,860 30,730 24,450 7116,530 29,840	25,910 28,110 24,720 23,780 21,430 112,430 36,500	16,560 18,150 15,810 13,810 11,690 51,800 17,950	12,870 11,710 7,560 7,590 5,810 17,350 5,290	9,830 8,160 5,210 3,030 2,340 9,840 2,700
	\$10,000- \$15,000 11,000 11,100	Under \$10,000- \$10,000- \$10,000- \$10,000- \$15,000- 21,860 15,000- 23,010 11,430- 18,1500 11,430- 18,1500 11,430- 18,1500 11,200- 5,870 12,530- 8,700 24,490- 66,070 24,490- 67,070 22,1830- 17,570 17,790- 44,390 11,790- 44,390 11,790- 44,390 11,580- 44,390 11,580- 8,770 21,830- 17,570 11,580- 17,580 39,490- 83,400 36,450- 83,540 30,020- 83,540 34,360- 23,540 34,360- 23,540 34,360- 11,870 31,510-	\$20,000-	14,550	15,070	12,400	10,700	7,160	008'6	7,490	77,170	10,750 6	19,390	19,730	16,900	11,210	7,880	9,500	95,360	25,300	34,460	32,130	27,600	18,370	17,680	16,990

Canada Revenue Agency - 2002 (Table 06)

č
Õ
9

Canada Revenue Agency - 2002 (Table 06)

C)
\subset)
\subset)
C	1
I	_
C)
α	_
₫	
2	2
20)

Total income assessed		Under \$10,000	\$10,000- \$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$30,000-	\$35,000-	\$45,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$100,000 and over	
MALE	<24	340,007	334,278	310,504	316,671	276,221	236,261	223,843	171,961	130,994	367,443	49,986	2,758,169
	25-34	092'66	153,264	223,300	314,191	430,836	505,004	616,429	557,661	663,442	3,236,494	985,698	7,786,079
	35-44	67,789	117,091	179,834	250,068	311,536	483,757	547,774	706,415	655,757	5,092,515	4,357,467	12,770,003
	45-54	68,232	99,233	115,009	212,762	243,667	323,605	391,542	458,439	531,522	4,669,134	6,042,969	13,156,114
	55-64	63,363	90,247	87,440	142,053	177,737	250,498	295,853	305,321	314,104	2,180,580	3,279,177	7,186,373
	65-74	24,383	106,584	203,305	201,954	213,033	207,120	177,062	199,192	183,874	734,293	1,199,726	3,450,526
	75+	12,223	95,931	207,499	157,801	133,993	147,059	107,175	68,477	43,687	343,893	458,047	1,775,785
	Total	675,757	996,628	1,326,891	1,595,500	1,787,023	2,153,304	2,359,678	2,467,466	2,523,380	16,624,352	16,373,070	48,883,049
FEMALE	<24	387,009	349,357	283,755	229,181	168,849	152,874	63,008	33,774	35,311	61,867	6,341	1,771,326
	25-34	167,978	247,136	306,526	402,114	480,124	488,125	441,943	332,968	343,834	984,409	178,920	4,374,077
	35-44	217,248	255,886	330,525	409,381	514,853	558,665	503,688	478,278	399,485	1,980,733	723,408	6,372,150
	45-54	129,024	171,142	266,418	346,983	404,805	500,248	455,772	457,128	381,162	2,218,254	949,972	6,280,908
	55-64	152,219	169,205	204,427	235,322	234,089	290,177	243,526	232,896	191,982	914,556	420,211	3,288,610
	65-74	98,197	198,368	245,592	165,595	124,088	151,036	84,654	105,289	089'69	267,086	278,493	1,788,078
	75+	52,319	160,855	378,619	197,261	123,531	103,416	79,669	55,626	61,766	245,889	191,242	1,650,193
	Total	1,203,994	1,551,949	2,015,862	1,985,837	2,050,339	2,244,541	1,872,260	1,695,959	1,483,220	6,672,794	2,748,587	25,525,342
TOTAL	<24	727,016	683,635	594,259	545,852	445,070	389,135	286,851	205,735	166,305	429,310	56,327	4,529,495
	25-34	267,738	400,400	529,826	716,305	910,960	993,129	1,058,372	890,629	1,007,276	4,220,903	1,164,618	12,160,156
	35-44	285,037	372,977	510,359	659,449	826,389	1,042,422	1,051,462	1,184,693	1,055,242	7,073,248	5,080,875	19,142,153
	45-54	197,256	270,375	381,427	559,745	648,472	823,853	847,314	915,567	912,684	6,887,388	6,992,941	19,437,022
	55-64	215,582	259,452	291,867	377,375	411,826	540,675	539,379	538,217	506,086	3,095,136	3,699,388	10,474,983
	65-74	122,580	304,952	448,897	367,549	337,121	358,156	261,716	304,481	253,554	1,001,379	1,478,219	5,238,604
	75+	64,542	256,786	586,118	355,062	257,524	250,475	186,844	124,103	105,453	589,782	649,289	3,425,978
										A STATE OF THE STA	The state of the s		

Canada Revenue Agency - 2002 (Table 06)

Total tax payable		Under \$10,000	\$10,000-	\$15,000- \$20,000	\$20,000-	\$25,000-	\$30,000-	\$35,000-	\$40,000- \$45,000	\$45,000-	\$50,000-	\$100,000 and over	Total
MALE	<24	1,416	10,248	20,906	32,476	35,498	34,609	38,035	32,128	25,525	82,166	15,030	328,037
	25-34	1,349	5,950	14,849	32,588	55,525	74,678	101,342	95,759	129,266	735,245	299,251	1,545,802
	35-44	3,758	5,787	14,785	26,745	40,745	71,459	88,644	122,618	125,115	1,155,217	1,337,704	2,992,577
	45-54	1,147	4,411	068'6	25,091	31,866	48,232	61,901	82,282	99,201	1,062,657	1,868,856	3,295,034
	55-64	541	3,405	6,694	15,078	22,269	34,525	47,940	51,482	58,709	478,185	1,012,473	1,731,301
	65-74	87	136	3,321	692'6	17,436	22,100	24,508	32,658	34,547	166,377	380,567	691,506
	75+	0	296	2. 2,940	6,163	2. 266'6	15,539	25 14,164 AS	10,631	7,381	78,433	143,233	288,777
	Total	8,298	30,233	72,885	147,910	213,336	301,142	376,534	427,558	479,744	3,758,280	5,057,114	10,873,034
FEMALE	<24	1,110	9,506	18,210	22,676	19,978	19,861	10,024	6,170	6,399	12,302	1,838	128,074
	25-34	1,212	8,770	. 20,448	37,966	59,041	70,485	68,981	900'09	64,130	218,535	52,262	661,836
	35-44	1,601	10,176	22,343	42,132	63,047	78,076	75,992	80,715	72,856	432,430	213,354	1,092,722
	45-54	1,322	6,470	19,172	35,321	50,930	999'69	71,241	79,031	098'02	488,510	265,964	1,158,487
	55-64	781	5,739	15,861	26,647	30,235	42,245	38,653	41,452	35,961	201,136	124,207	562,917
	65-74	126	964	6,216	10,467	12,610 · · ·	18,983	12,666	16,656	11,851	60,425	81,188	232,152
	75+	0	367	5,777	11,976	9,840	10,772	10,937	8,433	11,257	54,630	55,286	179,275
	Total	6,152	41,992	108,027	187,185	245,681	310,088	288,494	292,463	273,314	1,467,968	794,099	4,015,463
TOTAL	<24	2,526	19,754	39,116	55,152	55,476	54,470	48,059	38,298	31,924	94,468	16,868	456,111
-	25-34	2,561	14,720	35,297	70,554	114,566	145,163	170,323	155,765	193,396	953,780	351,513	2,207,638
	35-44	5,359	15,963	37,128	68,877	103,792	149,535	164,636	203,333	197,971	1,587,647	1,551,058	4,085,299
	45-54	2,469	10,881	28,562	60,412	. 82,796	117,898	133,142	161,313	170,061	1,551,167	2,134,820	4,453,521
	55-64	1,322	9,144	22,555	41,725	52,504	76,770	86,593	92,934	94,670	679,321	1,136,680	2,294,218
	65-74	213	1,100	9,537	20,236	30,046	41,083	37,174	49,314	46,398	226,802	461,755	923,658
	75+	0	663	8,717	18,139	19,837	26,311	25,101	19,064	18,638	133,063	198,519	468,052
		044.44	10000	070 007	000 000	and the Control of th		and the state of t				The second secon	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

Canada Revenue Agency - 2002 (Table 06)

9A-56 Aon Consulting

29 MARCH 2006

Table 2.2: Gross Income per Person - 2006

Income-Band
Gross
and G
Age-Band
þ
Persons
ō
Number

	Gross income min	0	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	Action of the second of the se	Total
	Age	Section of the second													
Female	under 24	60,017	81,767	31,501	21,003	13,180	8,900	5,714	4,401	2,127	1,077	2,156	170	118	232,130
	25-34	22,798	54,957	21,830	19,974	17,552	17,665	17,355	15,033	12,401	9,255	25,317	4,100	3,248	241,485
	35-44	11,605	56,963	21,214	18,874	16,815	16,346	16,947	15,599	12,869	11,010	34,897	9,407	8,323	250,870
	45-54	10,966	45,689	17,966	17,594	16,531	16,555	15,750	16,835	13,852	12,252	40,843	15,467	11,326	251,625
	55-64	2,893	41,053	18,198	14,983	11,940	10,743	9,156	609'6	7,592	6,345	18,343	5,948	4,938	161,740
	65-74	4,163	15,539	21,336	18,123	10,818	5,968	4,773	3,799	2,453	2,359	4,799	1,233	1,671	97,035
	75+	74	7,668	18,632	26,665	15,824	6,704	4,109	2,907	1,880	1,330	4,254	1,094	1,190	92,330
Male	under 24	63,801	67,926	27,129	20,483	14,989	12,147	9,088	6,727	5,691	4,291	9,557	1,359	751	243,940
	25-34	40,042	19,252	12,890	13,093	12,921	13,827	14,804	14,920	15,664	13,874	52,578	19,144	14,516	257,525
	35-44	27,366	19,602	000'6	9,530	9,806	10,285	10,257	13,652	13,348	14,437	59,020	30,086	37,151	263,540
	45-54	18,112	19,642	9,819	9,226	8,415	10,494	9,880	10,929	11,239	11,612	55,216	35,346	51,556	261,485
1	55-64	3,201	16,752	10,088	8,919	6,554	7,532	7,612	8,765	8,958	8,479	33,843	16,959	25,688	163,350
	65-74	1,030	5,551	10,339	13,410	10,798	8,321	7,037	5,769	4,804	4,480	12,649	3,176	4,482	91,845
	75+	629	2.980	9.251	13.090	9.398	5.811	4 671	3.838	2.480	1.457	St. 5,170	1.423	1.997	62.195

15,000 15,000 25,000 30,000 45,000 45,000 75,000 100,000 + Total 134 11,987 16,914 22,124 27,114 37,267 41,845 46,829 58,359 84,804 140,101 7 225 24,665 54,608 64,812 74,555 84,425 94,367 173,242 173,101 318,777 0 225 24,665 54,608 64,689 74,869 84,269 94,178 173,242 173,101 318,777 0 226 24,633 34,529 44,673 54,682 74,869 84,563 94,718 173,101 318,777 0 2391 24,013 55,009 64,173 74,224 94,266 170,628 34,353 278,747 0 2391 24,013 55,009 64,173 74,529 84,563 94,276 170,628 34,353 0 2302 24,318 53,814 64,619 73,600 84,236	0		0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
24,665 34,434 44,966 54,668 64,812 7,267 41,845 46,829 58,359 84,804 140,101 24,665 34,434 44,966 54,668 64,812 74,565 84,725 118,567 173,339 278,747 24,633 34,529 44,673 55,009 65,114 74,734 84,689 94,786 170,628 34,357 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,734 84,265 94,236 170,422 170,628 34,3573 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,734 84,265 94,236 170,628 34,3573 24,019 34,034 45,066 55,220 64,703 74,929 84,265 94,366 170,840 170,840 170,840 170,840 170,840 140,333 12,110 17,264 21,113 26,780 65,126 74,44 85,013 94,482 121,186 171,132 36,681 12,110 17	0 10,000	10,01	00	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
24,665 34,434 44,966 54,668 64,812 7,267 41,845 46,829 58,359 64,804 140,101 24,665 34,434 44,966 54,668 64,812 74,565 64,785 118,567 113,339 278,747 24,633 34,529 44,673 55,009 65,114 74,74 64,689 94,285 121,242 173,101 318,777 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,74 64,689 94,286 121,242 173,101 318,777 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,74 64,689 94,786 170,640 170,628 34,3573 24,019 34,250 46,108 74,740 64,289 170,494 170,628 34,3573 24,037 34,475 26,780 66,114 74,629 64,289 170,496 170,628 34,573 12,110 17,044 42,386 47,470 59,757 86,372 155,944 <															
24,666 34,434 44,966 64,612 74,555 84,472 94,385 118,567 113,339 278,471 24,633 34,529 44,673 64,669 74,869 84,084 94,235 121,242 173,101 318,777 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,734 84,659 94,178 172,405 170,628 343,573 24,073 34,250 64,703 74,829 84,563 94,239 170,497 171,732 365,612 25,677 34,447 43,186 53,814 64,619 73,600 84,205 94,239 118,986 170,840 460,333 13,720 17,264 21,113 26,760 31,901 36,915 42,396 47,470 59,577 85,778 224,887 12,110 17,064 24,396 47,470 59,834 86,372 155,964 24,438 24,387 65,284 74,744 85,013 94,486 121,188 171,195 224,887	0 4	4	4,434	11,987	16,914	22,124	27,114	32,076	37,267	41,845	46,829	58,359	84,804	140,101	
24,633 34,529 44,673 54,669 74,869 84,084 94,235 171,242 173,101 318,777 24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,144 74,734 84,659 94,718 122,405 170,628 343,573 24,373 34,250 45,065 55,320 64,703 74,929 84,563 94,239 170,497 171,732 365,612 25,677 34,447 43,186 53,814 64,619 73,600 84,205 93,399 118,986 170,840 480,333 13,720 17,264 21,113 26,780 31,901 36,915 47,470 59,757 85,278 224,887 12,110 17,067 22,329 27,389 32,463 37,444 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,1915 226,887 12,110 17,067 22,329 27,389 37,444 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,1915 224,887 24,453 34,755 45,082 65,244 <	0 5,	5,	5,825	24,665	34,434	44,968	54,608	64,812	74,555	84,472	94,385	118,567	173,339	278,747	0
24,019 34,034 45,013 55,009 65,114 74,734 84,659 94,718 122,405 170,628 343,573 24,373 34,250 45,065 55,320 64,703 74,929 84,563 94,239 170,497 171,732 365,612 25,677 34,447 43,186 53,814 64,619 73,600 84,205 93,939 118,986 170,840 460,333 13,720 17,264 21,113 26,780 31,901 36,915 47,470 59,577 85,278 224,887 12,110 17,067 22,329 27,389 37,481 42,329 47,470 58,934 86,372 155,964 24,438 34,755 45,987 65,284 74,744 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 224,887 24,453 33,779 45,082 65,244 75,049 94,862 94,917 172,472 172,679 469,510 24,100 33,112 45,468 65,419 74,966 8	0 7.	7,	7,008	24,633	34,529	44,673	54,682	64,669	74,869	84,084	94,235	121,242	173,101	318,777	0
24,373 34,250 45,065 56,320 64,703 74,929 84,563 94,239 170,497 171,732 365,612 25,677 34,447 43,186 53,814 64,619 73,600 84,205 93,939 118,986 170,840 460,333 13,720 17,264 21,113 26,760 31,901 36,915 42,396 47,470 59,757 85,278 224,887 12,110 17,067 22,329 27,389 32,463 37,444 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 296,881 24,438 34,755 45,082 65,284 74,744 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 296,881 24,453 33,779 45,082 65,177 74,622 94,862 94,917 173,425 172,693 373,786 24,453 33,112 45,468 65,419 74,946 86,134 95,228 173,675 172,679 469,510 24,100 33,112 45,468 65,419 <td< td=""><td>0 6,</td><td>6,</td><td>6,043</td><td>24,019</td><td>34,034</td><td>45,013</td><td>55,009</td><td>65,114</td><td>74,734</td><td>84,659</td><td>94,718</td><td>122,405</td><td>170,628</td><td>343,573</td><td>0</td></td<>	0 6,	6,	6,043	24,019	34,034	45,013	55,009	65,114	74,734	84,659	94,718	122,405	170,628	343,573	0
25,677 34,447 43,186 53,814 64,619 73,600 64,205 93,939 118,986 170,840 460,333 13,720 17,264 21,113 26,760 31,901 36,915 42,396 47,470 59,757 85,278 224,887 12,110 17,067 22,329 27,389 32,463 37,444 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 296,881 24,438 44,981 56,284 74,744 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 296,881 24,453 33,779 45,082 56,117 74,622 94,862 94,917 173,425 173,862 421,394 24,453 33,112 45,468 56,117 74,966 86,134 95,228 173,475 172,679 469,510 24,100 33,112 45,468 56,419 74,966 86,134 95,228 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,164 64,177 74,860 84,578 119,634 <td< td=""><td>0 8</td><td>80</td><td>8,591</td><td>24,373</td><td>34,250</td><td>45,065</td><td>55,320</td><td>64,703</td><td>74,929</td><td>84,563</td><td>94,239</td><td>120,497</td><td>171,732</td><td>365,612</td><td>0</td></td<>	0 8	80	8,591	24,373	34,250	45,065	55,320	64,703	74,929	84,563	94,239	120,497	171,732	365,612	0
13,720 17,264 21,113 26,760 31,901 36,915 47,379 47,470 59,757 85,278 224,887 12,110 17,057 22,329 27,389 32,463 37,481 42,329 47,094 68,934 96,372 155,964 24,844 34,059 44,981 56,284 74,744 85,013 94,482 121,198 171,915 296,881 24,758 34,755 45,082 56,117 74,622 94,862 94,817 123,472 172,083 373,786 24,453 33,779 45,402 56,739 75,049 94,872 123,475 172,679 469,510 24,100 33,112 45,408 65,419 74,966 85,134 95,228 123,075 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,184 54,877 64,177 74,966 86,134 96,528 172,679 469,510 13,614 17,389 21,611 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61	0 13	13	13,386	25,677	34,447	43,188	53,814	64,619	73,600	84,205	93,939	118,986	170,840	480,333	0
12,110 17,057 22,329 27,389 32,463 37,481 42,329 47,094 66,934 66,372 155,964 24,844 34,539 44,987 66,224 74,744 85,013 94,482 171,188 171,915 296,881 24,453 34,755 45,082 54,948 66,117 74,622 84,862 94,917 172,083 373,786 24,453 33,779 45,402 54,772 65,230 75,049 84,852 94,917 123,425 172,679 468,510 24,100 33,112 45,488 54,869 65,419 74,966 85,134 95,228 172,679 468,510 25,911 34,448 44,164 54,877 64,777 74,860 84,878 94,528 119,634 170,259 67,485 13,614 17,389 27,611 37,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,933	0		6,207	13,720	17,264		26,760	31,901	36,915	42,396	47,470	59,757	85,278	224,887	0
24,844 34,639 44,981 65,264 74,744 85,013 94,482 121,188 171,915 296,881 24,738 34,756 45,082 66,117 74,622 84,862 94,866 123,172 172,083 373,786 24,453 33,779 45,402 54,772 66,230 75,049 84,862 94,917 123,425 173,679 421,394 24,100 33,112 45,468 56,419 74,966 85,134 95,228 123,075 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,184 54,877 64,777 74,966 84,578 119,634 170,259 67,485 13,614 17,389 21,611 27,079 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,193	0 4,	4,	4,393	12,110	17,057	22,329	27,389	32,463	37,481	42,329	47,094	58,934	86,372	155,964	
24,758 45,082 66,117 74,622 94,862 94,862 123,172 172,083 373,786 24,453 33,779 45,402 54,772 66,230 75,049 84,862 94,917 123,425 173,852 421,394 24,100 33,112 45,468 56,419 74,966 86,134 95,228 123,075 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,184 54,877 64,777 74,966 64,978 94,528 119,634 170,259 67,485 13,614 17,389 21,611 27,079 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,193	0	6	9,772	24,844	34,639	44,981	54,987	65,264	74,744	85,013	94,482	121,188	171,915	296,881	0
24,453 33,779 45,402 54,772 66,230 75,049 84,862 94,917 123,425 173,852 421,394 24,100 33,112 45,468 56,419 74,966 66,134 95,228 123,075 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,184 54,877 64,777 74,860 64,978 94,528 119,634 170,259 67,485 13,614 17,389 21,611 27,079 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,193	0 7,	7,	7,270	24,738	34,755		54,948	65,117	74,622	84,862	94,886	123,172	172,083	373,786	0
24,100 33,112 45,468 54,869 65,419 74,966 86,134 95,228 172,679 469,510 25,911 34,448 44,184 54,877 74,860 84,978 94,528 119,634 170,259 674,855 13,614 17,389 21,611 27,079 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 31,193	9 - 0	6,	6,181	24,453	33,779	45,402	54,772		75,049	84,852	94,917	123,425	173,852	421,394	0
25,911 34,448 44,164 54,877 64,777 74,860 84,978 94,528 119,634 170,259 674,856 13,614 17,389 21,611 27,079 32,441 37,146 42,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,193	0 7,	7,	7,787	24,100	33,112	45,468			74,966	85,134			172,679	469,510	0
13,614 17,389 21,611 2 27,079 32,441 37,146 24,113 47,093 61,889 84,841 313,193	0 8	80	8,984	25,911		44,184		64,777	74,860		94,528	119,634		674,855	0
	0 4,4	4,	4,414	13,614	17,389	21,611			37,146	42,113	47,093			313,193	0

Table 2.3: Taxable Income per Person – 2006

	Gross income min 0 0 0	0	0	10.000	15.000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age														
Female	under 24	60,017	88,705	30,517	17,401	13,060	8,189	5,776	4,391	1,640	200	1,720	- 136	11	232,130
	25-34	22,798	62,011	25,761	15,484	17,847	19,759	17,474	15,286	11,289	8,073	20,003	3,836	1,863	241,485
	35-44	11,605	65,142	22,953	15,209	16,823	19,733	18,074	14,800	13,924	11,247	27,952	8,204	5,205	250,870
	45-54	10,966	57,022	16,689	13,571	18,563	16,569	19,908	15,179	14,751	12,831	37,383	10,913	7,281	251,625
	55-64	2,893	52,051	16,399	9,623	12,671	11,103	10,567	10,137	5,899	6,963	15,671	4,750	3,013	161,740
	65-74	4,163	33,263	14,814	13,472	6,425	5,104	5,220	2,977	3,525	2,096	3,615	926	1,436	97,035
	75+	74 े	29,595	18,754	15,567	8,050	5,317	3,921	1,840	1,520	1,790	3,958	888	1,056	92,330
Male	under 24	63,801	68,825	-29,582	19,336	14,890	12,029	9,626	6,452	5,320	3,393	8,939	1,226	521	243,940
	25-34	40,042	22,523	15,944	10,966	13,936	12,861	16,380	16,936	16,988	15,147	49,144	16,731	9,926	257,525
	35-44	27,366	23,355	9,888	9,550	11,016	10,189	14,421	13,708	14,997	14,187	60,033	26,248	28,582	263,540
	45-54	18,112	21,879	13,851	6,872	11,208	11,491	10,585	10,567	13,826	14,067	55,723	33,534	39,770	261,485
	55-64	3,201	22,965	10,745	5,824	7,637	8,603	6,882	9,862	689'6	8,472	34,525	14,402	20,546	163,350
	65-74	1,030	15,567	9,525	10,356	8,210	6,748	8,695	5,115	3,884	6,971	9,629	2,240	3,875	91,845
	75+	629	12.927	5,936	11,808	5,869	4,876	4,894	4,052	1,959	1,261	5,120	1,053	1,811	62,195

	Gross income min	0	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age														
Female	under 24	0	4,481	12,169	17,085	22,043	26,931	32,050	37,018	41,995	47,171	56,761	86,389	133,163	
	25-34	0	6,702	23,825	35,963	44,913	54,689	64,562	74,301	84,331	94,921	113,994	173,094	293,382	0
	35-44	0	7,640	24,200	35,638	44,747	54,608	64,679	74,284	84,111	94,136	117,619	171,570	333,599	0
	45-54	0	7,831	23,242	36,187	44,963	55,129	64,780	74,623	84,704	94,445	119,655	170,967	369,541	0
	55-64	0	9,384	23,923	36,453	45,089	54,795	64,898	74,306	84,530	94,174	117,789	171,665	393,745	0
	65-74	0	14,523	24,822	32,874	45,455	54,244	64,542	73,580	84,616	93,436	118,691	180,221	436,950	0
	75+	0	7,745	13,079	15,706	22,675	27,129	31,734	37,782	42,336	47,358	58,761	85,267	213,190	0
Male	under 24	0	4,400	12,069	17,109	22,283	27,336	32,405	37,342	42,302	47,572	57,035	85,973	165,883	
	25-34	0	10,306	23,829	35,760	44,976	55,359	65,186	74,923	84,815	94,895	119,100	172,253	303,839	0
	35-44	0	7,529	24,499	35,504	45,103	55,359	64,989	74,892	84,954	94,425	121,417	172,781	377,405	0
	45-54	0	690'2	23,322	35,870	45,073	55,014	65,607	75,162	84,871	94,822	121,930	172,725	433,485	0
	55-64	0	0/6'6	22,646	35,763	45,284	55,267	66,171	75,012	85,107	95,342	119,886	171,597	472,705	0
	65-74	0	13,912	25,722	33,074	45,503	55,537	64,607	74,325	85,596	94,743	118,409	195,557	664,237	0
	75+	0	7,727	13,132	16,483	22,694	27,439	32,396	36,947	42,208	46,969	996'69	85,142	284,950	0
						The second linear second linea	Anna Tatalan Contract and Contr	The second secon			The second secon				

Table 2.4: Statistics Canada

			# Households with		
Household type	# All persons	# Households	employment income	Employment income	Total income
Couple families	2,256,340	730,520	659,320	53,395,503	64,664,791
Lone-parent families	363,230	135,050	112,760	3,858,921	5,220,236
All families	2,619,570	865,570	772,080	57,254,424	69,885,027
Singles	456,420	456,420	319,380	10,903,114	15,368,514
Total	3,075,990	1,321,990	1,091,460	68,157,538	85,253,541

Age band		Couple families			Lone-parent families		Singles	ŧ
Мах	# Parents	# Children	# Persons	# Parents	# Children	# Persons	# Persons	# Persons
4	0	144,910	144,910	0	31,580	31,580	0	176,490
6	Commence of the second	161,240	161,240	0	44,110	44,110	Section of the sectio	205,370
14	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	181,920	181,920	0	56,380	56,380	160	238,460
19	7,010	172,420	179,430	1,520	55,840	57,360	17,740	254,530
24	55,430	85,380	140,810	8,530	16,300	24,830	51,880	217,520
29	119,780	29,140	148,920	12,060	7,300	19,360	48,160	216,440
34	154,770	9,070	163,840	15,530	3,360	18,890	37,970	220,700
39	171,930	5,050	176,980	19,870	3,100	22,970	32,360	232,310
44	200,570	3,410	203,980	23,980	3,270	27,250	35,670	266,900
49	191,800	1,740	193,540	19,160	2,810	21,970	35,010	250,520
25	154,290	700	154,990	11,380	1,930	13,310	30,120	198,420
29	122,740	220	122,960	6,310	1,140	7,450	26,460	156,870
64	89,100	entrepresentation of the company of	89,160	3,550	009	4,150	22,210	115,520
69	Z. 000'07 ##22.2	April 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	70,020	3,040	280	3,320	22,150	95,490
74	56,280	0	56,280	3,140	140	3,280	24,200	83,760
79	37,560	0	37,560	2,740	30	2,770	24,770	65,100
84	20,540		20,540	2,230	0	2,230	22,860	45,630
+	9,260	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9,260	2,020	0	2,020	24,680	35,960
	1,461,060	795,280	2,256,340	135,060	228,170	363,230	456,420	3,075,990

Statistics Canada

006'69

730,520

18,740

32,310

72,400

202,500

248,860

303,090

333,000

364,670

431,300

Total

9A-62 Aon Consulting 29 MARCH 2006

8
2006
\subset
0
_
1
C
MARCH
┙
\leq
0
200

Age Dalla	218								-	The state of the s	-		
Age of older parent	er parent	<5K\$	<10K\$	5K\$+	10K\$+	15K\$+	20K\$+	25K\$+	30K\$+	35K\$+	40K\$+	45K\$+	50K\$+
0	24	2,000		8,040	5,820	3,460	1,730	880	430	200	06	40	20
25	34	2,460		25,120	22,290	18,310	14,090	10,470	7,630	5,240	3,350	2,220	1,470
35	44	3,150		40,700	38,150	34,320	30,260	26,210	22,280	18,230	14,320	11,290	8,880
45	54	1,470		29,080	27,970	26,160	24,360	22,450	20,630	18,680	16,610	14,680	12,940
55	49	290		9,570	9,300	8,640	8,110	7,560	7,000	6,430	5,830	5,270	4,710
65	+	06		13,080	13,010	12,730	11,760	10,790	9,410	8,460	7,510	6,610	5,740
Total		9,460		125,590	116,540	103,620	90,310	78,360	67,380	57,240	47,710	40,110	33,760
Age of older parent	er parent	60K\$+	70K\$+	60K\$+ 70K\$+ 70K\$+ 88	80K\$+	90K\$+	100K\$+	150K\$+	200K\$+	250K\$+	Total	Revenu médian	
	24	And the second s		Branching Out and Collins of the Collins		Carlotte Control Control	0	og and the control of		Charles and the same	10.040	11,700	
		Control of the contro		out of the second				Soldandrottes for any ordered	-		002 200		
25	34	/40		067			08				77,580	20,400	
35	44	5,480		2,620			880				43,850	30,400	
45	54	9,820		6,180			2,710				30,550	43,300	
55	64	3,810		2,580		Manager Materials represent the spring property of the state of the st	1,180				9,860	48,000	
65	+	4,280		2,600	The state of the s		1,170			The control of the co	13,170	45,100	
Total		24.130		14.230	The same of the sa		6.020				135,050	29,900	

Statistics Canada

Age band	pand	- spioliesmoli #	בסוום-שפו פוור ופוווי	and a second of the second of									
Age of older parent	er parent	<5K\$	<10K\$	5K\$+	10K\$+	15K\$+	20K\$+	25K\$+	30K\$+	35K\$+	40K\$+	45K\$+	50K\$+
0	24	11,200		58,610	45,030	32,810	24,100	17,490	12,310	8,240	5,640	4,000	2,880
25	34	4,330		81,800	76,330	069'89	61,480	54,230	46,620	38,360	31,220	25,170	19,740
35	44	3,760		64,280	60,310	53,920	49,750	45,370	40,750	35,430	30,470	25,850	21,590
45	54	3,870		61,260	26,560	48,800	44,500	40,270	35,790	31,190	26,870	22,980	19,470
55	64	3,100		45,560	40,720	32,260	28,290	24,660	20,900	17,350	14,240	11,680	099'6
65	+	1,910		116,730	115,130	102,150	52,560	38,840	29,080	21,880	16,740	13,000	10,130
Total		28,170		428,240	394,080	338,630	260,680	220,860	185,450	152,450	125,180	102,680	83,470
Age of older parent	er parent	60K\$+	70K\$+	60K\$+ 70K\$+ 8	80K\$+	+\$306	100K\$+	150K\$+	200K\$+	250K\$+	Total	Revenu	
0	24	1,440		470		\$	100	おから ここできる			69,810	14,000	
25	34	11,880		5,410		Control of the second	1,730	500			86,130	32,100	
35	44	15,120		8,260			3,390				68,040	36,300	
45	54	14,250		8,190			3,570				65,130	33,500	
55	64	6,880		3,800		Control of the contro	1,750			を表する。 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、	48,660	25,500	
65	+	6,540		3,820			2,070	数字でも		September 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	118,640	18,700	
Total		56.110		29,950			12,610				456,410	24,000	

Statistics Canada

Table 2.5: Gross Income per Household - 2006

	Gross income min	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000		100,000	
	Gross income max	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age													
Couple *	under 24	1,282	976	1,250	1,432	1,550	1,626	1,695	1,588	General 1,418	2,667	1,004	969	20,082
	25-34	3,736	1,975	2,714	3,422	4,006	4,593	5,316	5,798	6,437	48,258	18,944	26,499	131,698
	35-44	4,847	1,985	2,480	3,131	3,730	4,332	4,993	5,302	5,989	54,264	27,456	62,938	181,449
	45-54	4,487	1,827	2,319	2,908	3,396	3,825	4,356	4,762	5,278	47,940	29,662	101,350	212,112
	55-64	3,403	2,087	2,780	3,371	3,700	3,919	4,359	4,701	5,102	36,841	19,095	51,693	141,050
	65-74	1,260	862	1,374	4,455	9,112	8,530	6,693	5,926	5,296	22,967	6,195	9,765	82,434
P. St. C. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St	75+	678	456	735	2,381	4,871	4,560	3,578	3,168	2,831	12,425	3,218	5,167	44,068
1 Adult	under 24	27,661	13,705	11,387	8,498	6,431	5,030	3,893	2,572	1,605	3,697	. 557	260	85,296
	25-34	15,694	10,411	11,686	11,329	10,884	10,669	10,614	9,173	7,295	21,681	6,527	4,000	129,963
	35-44	12,580	8,283	8,222	7,631	7,763	8,021	8,526	8,124	7,008	22,876	00006	7,261	115,293
	45-54	11,652	8,372	7,513	6,214	6,295	6,460	6,630	6,487	606'9	22,057	11,122	10,564	109,274
	55-64	9,091	8,308	609'9	4,603	4,489	4,529	4,315	3,934	3,309	11,022	4,731	4,880	69,821
	65-74	1,343	4,786	17,249	9,262	4,825	3,649	2,751	2,111	1,671	4,830	1,220	1,499	55,197
	75+	2,039	7,208	26,024	13,974	7,279	5.506	4,151	3,185	2.522	7.369	1,788	2.232	83.277

^{*} Age distribution determined by the age of the oldest partner

	Gross income min	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age		a not the same of			* Camana and the state of the s						A NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
Couple *	under 24	4,429	12,558	17,471	22,484	27,420	32,378	37,403	42,360	47,350	59,728	86,204	147,887	43,720
	25-34	7,112	25,052	35,260	45,113	55,045	65,101	74,765	84,811	94,867	123,462	172,526	279,489	144,103
	35-44	7,155	24,834	35,167	45,087	55,029	64,997	74,783	84,483	94,756	125,596	172,589	333,499	191,415
	45-54	6,205	24,124	34,941	45,233	55,197	65,225	74,927	84,776	94,973	126,250	172,984	378,108	242,597
	55-64	8,395	24,599	35,139	45,389	55,222	62,119	75,012	84,908	95,037	125,022	172,328	407,320	219,448
	65-74	12,185	24,914	34,651	45,744	55,387	64,328	74,753	84,503	94,527	120,705	170,611	518,357	142,346
	75+	5,932	13,000	17,198	22,585	27,642	32,044	37,322	42,410	47,254	60,991	85,165	233,615	68,026
1 Adult	under 24	4,429	12,340	17,122	22,184	27,229	32,217	37,308	42,359	47,350	58,694	86,204	154,794	19,318
	25-34	7,112	25,244	34,796	44,788	54,801	64,948	74,601	84,809	94,867	119,358	172,526	290,142	74,745
	35-44	7,155	25,315	34,506	44,821	54,833	64,906	74,710	84,481	94,756	121,187	172,589	344,681	92,696
	45-54	6,205	24,617	33,773	44,953	55,066	65,112	74,847	84,775	94,973	122,471	172,984	394,892	109,658
	55-64	8,395	24,899	33,597	45,077	55,216	64,948	74,895	84,906	95,037	121,752	172,328	437,053	93,333
	65-74	12,185	25,977	34,821	42,977	54,459	64,439	74,573	84,312	94,401	119,579	170,611	619,894	70,530
	75+	5,932	13,622	17,234	21,211	27,117	32,094	37,219	42,311	47,203	60,374	85,165	280,172	34,344

* Age distribution determined by the age of the oldest partner

Table 2.6: Taxable Income per Household - 2006

Number of Households by Age-Band and Taxable Income-Band

	Gross income min	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max 10,000	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age													
Couple *	under 24	1,282	976	1,250	1,432	1,550	1,626	1,695	1,588	Species 1,418	2,667	1,004	296	20,082
	25-34	3,736	1,975	2,714	3,422	4,006	4,593	5,316	5,798	6,437	48,258	18,944	26,499	131,698
	35-44	4,847	1,985	2,480	3,131	3,730	4,332	4,993	5,302	5,989	54,264	27,456	62,938	181,449
	45-54	4,487	1,827	2,319	2,908	3,396	3,825	4,356	4,762	5,278	47,940	29,662	101,350	212,112
	55-64	3,403 3	2,087	2,780	3,371	3,700	3,919	4,359	4,701	5,102	36,841	19,095	51,693	141,050
	65-74	1,260	862	1,374	4,455	9,112	8,530	6,693	5,926	5,296	22,967	6,195	9,765	82,434
	75+	678	456	735	2,381	4,871	4,560	3,578	3,168	2,831	12,425	3,218	5,167	44,068
1 Adult	under 24	27,661 %	13,705	11,387	8,498	6,431	5,030	3,893	2,572	1,605	3,697	. 222	260	85,296
	25-34	15,694	10,411	11,686	11,329	10,884	10,669	10,614	9,173	7,295	21,681	6,527	4,000	129,963
	35-44	12,580	8,283	8,222	7,631	7,763	8,021	8,526	8,124	7,008	22,876	000'6	7,261	115,293
	45-54	11,652	8,372	7,513	6,214	6,295	6,460	6,630	6,487	606'9	22,057	11,122	10,564	109,274
	55-64	9,091	8,308	609'9	4,603	4,489	4,529	4,315	3,934	3,309	11,022	4,731	4,880	69,821
A sale hard balance	65-74	1,343	4,786	17,249	9,262	4,825	3,649	2,751	2,111	1,671	4,830	1,220	1,499	55,197
	75+	2,039	7,208	26,024	13,974	7,279	5,506	2 4,151 E	3,185	2,522	7,369	1,788	2,232	83,277

^{*} Age distribution determined by the age of the oldest partner

iverage Inco	Average income per household by Age-band and Taxable income-band	and and Laxabi	2000									The state of the s		
	Gross income min	0	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	
	Gross income max	10,000	15,000	20,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	40,000	45,000	20,000	75,000	100,000	+	Total
	Age						and the same of th		and			The state of the s		And the state of t
Couple *	under 24	4,479	12,413	17,605	22,448	27,417	32,375	37,359	42,291	47,345	57,561	85,695	151,826	41,156
	25-34	8,365	24,094	35,562	45,032	55,317	64,923	74,832	84,874	94,818	118,702	172,044	264,557	130,977
	35-44	7,816	24,395	35,292	45,011	54,939	64,744	74,797	84,657	94,888	120,285	172,424	313,764	171,362
	45-54	8,317	23,872	35,623	44,957	55,300	64,836	75,210	84,711	94,756	121,318	172,320	354,487	217,472
	55-64	10,319	24,183	35,748	45,348	54,923	65,285	74,797	85,325	920'56	119,864	176,088	381,299	194,403
	65-74	12,753	26,197	35,969	45,052	55,196	64,660	74,279	85,680	95,846	118,444	199,084	488,726	129,259
	75+	6,281	13,491	17,868	22,515	27,533	32,103	37,494	42,525	47,307	59,452	88,531	209,853	61,886
1 Adult	under 24	4,478	12,285	17,347	22,172	27,213	32,168	37,113	42,064	47,217	57,021	85,695	168,446	18,378
	25-34	8,650	24,120	35,629	44,870	55,135	64,761	74,513	84,315	94,577	117,184	172,044	307,294	68,417
	35-44	8,346	24,304	35,502	44,921	54,839	64,690	74,709	84,421	94,690	119,227	172,424	375,176	83,295
	45-54	9,037	22,518	35,863	44,885	55,256	64,780	75,125	84,541	94,428	120,729	171,934	431,082	97,873
	55-64	11,668	22,846	35,899	45,258	54,925	65,182	74,638	85,097	94,614	118,683	173,311	463,687	82,614
	65-74	15,694	26,665	32,208	45,122	55,295	64,656	74,241	85,367		118,444	200,497	594,325	62,625
	75+	7,936	13,327	15,752	22,511	27,478	32,128	37,458	42,468	47,112	59,423	88,749	255,197	30,381

* Age distribution determined by the age of the oldest partner

Table 2.7: Taxable Income per Household – 2006

	2005	2006	production 2007 Company Special	2008	programmed and 2009, commenced to	2010	Supervise the 2011 - Commence of a supervise of the super	2012
Non-Emergency Health	\$1,947,809	\$1,860,764	\$1,981,119	\$2,088,079	\$2,206,416	\$2,216,058	\$2,349,022	\$2,489,963
Other Medical (including Emergency Health)	\$102,516	\$97,935	\$104,269	\$109,899	\$116,127	\$116,635	\$123,633	\$131,051
Total anticipated Canada Health Transfers	\$2,050,325	\$1,958,699	\$2,085,388	\$2,197,977	\$2,322,543	\$2,332,693	\$2,472,655	\$2,621,014
	.2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Non-Emergency Health	\$2,639,361	\$2,797,723	\$2,965,586	\$3,143,521	\$3,332,132	\$3,532,060	\$3,743,984	\$3,968,623
Other Medical (including Emergency Health)	\$138,914	\$147,249	\$156,083	\$165,448	\$175,375	\$185,898	\$197,052	\$208,875
Total anticipated Canada Health Transfers	\$2,778,275	\$2,944,971	\$3,121,669	\$3,308,970	\$3,507,508	\$3,717,958	\$3,941,036	\$4,177,498
	Antipological 2021 and publications	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	LZOZ THE BUT THE SOUNDS	
Non-Emergency Health	\$4,206,740	\$4,459,145	\$4,726,693	\$5,010,295	\$5,310,913	\$5,629,567	\$5,967,342	
Other Medical (including Emergency Health)	\$221,407	\$234,692	\$248,773	\$263,700	\$279,522	\$296,293	\$314,071	
Total anticipated Canada Health Transfers	\$4,428,148	\$4,693,837	\$4,975,467	\$5,273,995	\$5,590,434	\$5,925,861	\$6,281,412	

CPI Assumption 3% CHT Growth (above CPI) Assumption 3%	Non-Emergency Portion of Transfers 95%
--	--

Table 3.1: Legend of Used Therapeutic Classes

The state of the s	Supplies - Compounds Enzyment - Psychosis - Compounds Enzyment - Compounds - C	Psychosis Compounds Compounds Gentio-Urinary medication Immunosubpressors Anticovulsants Myraine drugs Multiple Sclerosis medication Esthetic agents Eerthity Lipid lowering agents Hormones Antibiotics, antiviral drugs, AIDS medication	Erection Dystunction Obesity Vitamins and Minerals Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat drugs Anti-Smoking agents Flu & Coold drugs Dermatological agents Allergy drugs Birth control Blood disorder agents Musculoskeletal agents (NSAID)	
--	--	--	---	--

Therapeutic Class 5

MALE 110.00%

110.00%

110.00%

110.00%

FEMALE 110.00%

110.00%

100.00%

FEMALE 100.00%

Age 0-14

FEMALE 110.00%

FEMALE 110.00%

Therapeutic Class 4 Therapeutic Class 3 Table 3.2: Incidence Rates per Therapeutic Class - Year 2020 Therapeutic Class 2 Therapeutic Class 1

15-24	100.00%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%
25-44	100.00%		100.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%
45-64	100.00%	.0	100.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%
65-74	100.00%	. 0	100.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%	125.00%
75+	100.00%	,0	100.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%
	F	Therapeutic Class 6	ass 6	Therapeutic Class 7	c Class 7	Thera	Therapeutic Class 8	Therape	Therapeutic Class 9	Therapeu	Therapeutic Class 10
Age	FEMALE	. ш	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE
0-14	105.00%	9	105.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	100.00%	100.00%	. 100.00%	100.00%
15-24	115.00%	9	115.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	110.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
25-44	115.00%	9	115.00%	150.00%	150.00%	120.00%	120.00%	105.00%	105.00%	100:00%	100.00%
45-64	105.00%	9	105.00%	150.00%	150.00%	120.00%	120.00%	110.00%	110.00%	100.00%	100.00%
65-74	110.00%	9	110.00%	150.00%	150.00%	125.00%	125.00%	115.00%	115.00%	100.00%	100.00%
75+	110.00%	%	110.00%	150.00%	150.00%	120.00%	120.00%	115.00%	115.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Table 3.3: Drug Costs for the AHW programs - calendar year 2004

Age		Number o	Number of members	\$ eligible per member		\$ paid per member	nember	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member			\$ paid per member	mper
	Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		Male	Female	e	Male
	4	2,485	2,389	14.12	18.20	9.91	13.12	1.80		1.98		1.02	1.40
	6	3,695	4,055	44.87	30.07	39.90	22.60	.1.16		2.65		0.80	1.74
	14	4,022	4,381	31.87	58.75	23.89	43.80	2,16		4.77		1.64	3.37
	19	5,903	5,526	93.57	80.53	72.45	62.33	8.79		06.90		7.09	5.01
,,,	24	6,146	5,726	192.02	116.56	155.39	100.38	8.26		11.15		6.53	8.58
	29	3,254	2,126	535.81	349.87	448.94	299.32	22.48		44.40	1	17.41	35.21
(1)	34	2,870	2,214	897.27	405.01	752.56	346.46	41.64		54.22	8	32.14	41.83
(,)	39	3,592	2,936	1,061.90	404.26	886.27	353.32	62.69		109.03	5	54.07	85.95
4	44	5,586	4,163	894.29	328.42	741.85	280.40			183.07	80	82.02	143.62
4	49	8,450	5,726	588.05	372.03	483.76	319.33	155.59		274.75	11	119.98	215.30
47	54	11,242	7,414	366.76	288.47	301.84	248.31	242.60	3.5	374.70	18	189.18	297.04
47	29	17,691	9,427	223.18	151.44	178.85	123.58	335.05	24	491.47	26	264.77	390.93
	64	29,702	14,127	140.10	118.47	109.48	92.63	391,70		530.63		313.35	456.04
	69	49,400	47,703	126.21	103.54	96.28	80.15	429.23	7 x	478.04	34	345.95	386.92
,	74	43,854	40,541	143.03	141.62	107.34	109.46	533.96		581.73	42	429.41	469.80
,	62	36,451	29,372	156.70	173.10	115.18	132.39	598.94		625.80	47	476.42	500.52
	84	28,233	18,051	171.22	189.29	124.79	141.39	630.10		587.40	100 57	491.66	459.30
	68	15,662	7,869	182.83	217.21	132.16	161.16	588.27	1000	520.50		449.33	395.30
		8,223	3,067	176.56	226.74	126.70	166.77	. 521.13		433.06		388.29	322.99

9A-72 Aon Consulting 29 MARCH 2006

9)
2006	
Ċ)
2	
MARC	
σ.)

				Therapeutic Class 3	Class 3			Therapeutic Class 4	Class 4	
Age	Number	Number of members	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	nember	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	ember
Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
4	2,485	2,389	3.44	0.89	2.88	0.70	4.25	5.45	3.00	4.29
o	3,695	4,055	3.47	8.44	2.80	7.05	3.77	6.62	2.98	5.44
14	4,022	4,381	16.29	12.66	15.10	9.74	4.76	13.09	3.92	10.66
19	5,903	5,526	13.51	8.80	11.01	7.01	15.85	11.01	12.82	9.28
24	6,146	5,726	25.11	16.30	20.56	14.27	34.08	45.58	- 28.44	39.73
29	3,254	2,126	64.30	30.65	55.88	24.37	86.88	114.12	76.23	103.02
34	2,870	2,214	159.75	105.06	138.19	93.57	118.05	138.02	98.91	124.23
39	3,592	2,936	132.69	131.38	113.18	106.08	147.67	180.55	124.59	150.72
44	5,586	4,163	149.71	141.69	121.18	125.03	184.30	160.91	148.81	133.34
49	8,450	5,726	158.35	132.50	130.93	113.31	182.94	159.02	152.16	132.92
54	11,242	7,414	115.24	146.83	90.20	125.14	181.54	144.79	151.71	124.80
29	17,691	9,427	116.50	115.21	95.04	99.73	176.13	152.13	149.53	129.04
64	29,702	14,127	89.46	91.06	73.66	79.92	168.43	134.95	144.38	114.93
69	49,400	47,703	78.56	72.25	65.83	63.57	162.20	118.75	140.25	102.10
74	43,854	40,541	89.14	90.43	76.57	79.63	185.54	151.94	159.88	131.02
79	36,451	29,372	95.45	122.56	81.84	109.06	204.42	170.23	174.98	145.72
84	28,233	18,051	100.11	113.52	84.38	98.84	208.84	174.38	174.24	145.03
88	15,662	7,869	101.16	113.66	83.94	98.50	212.11	178.07	173.15	145.19
+	8,223	3,067	80.07	88.21	65.04	74.57	200.55	182.73	159.30	143.51

Age		Number of members		\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	ember	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid pe	\$ paid per member
Min Ma	Max Female	ale Male	9	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
0 4	4 2,485	85 2,389	89	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.14	25.10	29.06	17.41	20.81
5 9	3,695		4,055	0.73	1.55	0.48	1.14	20.90	18.60	14.72	13.33
10 14	14 4,022	4,381	81	3.44	4.39	2.44	2.98	21.74	22.76	15.56	16.56
15 16	19 5,903	03 5,526	26	5.34	6.17	3.76	4.47	45.00	33.42	34.63	25.25
20 24	24 6,146		5,726	6.93	8.50	5.07	6.25	44.98	26.54	34.54	19.33
25 29	29 3,254		2,126	13.39	24.54	10.03	17.86	71.16	53.80	55.92	45.26
30 34	34 2,870	70 2,214	14	15.18	27.14	10.80	20.12	88.90	107.06	70.41	92.79
35 36	3,592	92 2,936	36.	24.58	37.16	18.58	28.76	88.98	99.56	70.67	85.27
40 4	44 5,586		4,163	25.51	42.47	19.08	32.76	114.52	101.89	91.16	86.38
45 49	49 8,450	CO Section of the Control of the Con	5,726	33.36	61.72	25.74	47.35	90.66	186.12	74.77	160.72
50 54	54 11,242		7,414	43.19	71.42	33.46	56.16	82.89	133.34	62.82	113.02
55 56	17,691	191 9,427	27	54.82	102.36	43.09	80.78	55.10	69.34	41.66	55.09
9 09	64 29,702	02 14,127	27	51.73	95.92	40.95	76.16	43.09	45.70	32.10	35.01
65 69	69 49,400	.00 47,703	03	51.52	76.40	40.79	61.42	39.67	34.49	29.39	26.07
72 02	74 43,854	154 40,541	41	61.87	83.96	48.81	67.03	40.54	37.57	29.94	28.06
75 79	79 36,451	51 29,372	72	56.83	80.75	43.90	63.80	38.82	39.72	28.35	29.30
80 8	84 28,233	18,051	121	47.79	59.67	36.07	45.71	37,13	38.19	26.94	27.68
85 86	15,662		7,869	34.75	46.79	25.93	34.96	34.68	35.76	24.99	25.70
+	+ R 223	3.067	67	07 70	90 80	40.00	78.87	20.02	27 47	20 11	78 80

\$ paid per member	i	\$ eligible per member
Female Male	Male Fe	2
0.19	0.17	
0.11 0.07	0.10	
0.24 0.10	0.15	
0.36 0.10	0.15	
0.90 0.27	0.38	
1.80 0.64	0.93	
3.18 1.28	1.82	
3.99 1.28	1.83	
5.10 1.25	1.83	
5.30 1.59	2.34	
6.68 1.87	2.74	
7.20 2.36	3.35	
8.01 2.59	3.74	
8.24 2.59	3.72	
9.87 3.36	4.82	
11.20 4.43	6.36	
13.55 5.81	8.43	
15.38 7.85	11.48	
16.89 9.91	14.80	

Table 3.4: Drug Costs for the Income Support programs - calendar year 2004

1					Therapeutic Class 1				- 4	Inerapeutic Class 2	- 1		
	Nun	Number of members	pers	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	nember	\$ elig	\$ eligible per member		\$ paid pe	\$ paid per member	
	Female	Selponistic or a	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Personal Male	Contraction of the second	Female	Avelagative M.	Male
16	10,105		11,108	31.21	36.54	30.64	35.80	96.0		0.92	0.91		0.88
	686,6		10,384	49.27	74.14	47.90	71.82	1.82		4.14	1.69		3.95
	8,484		8,735	66.93	133.83	64.93	129.08	1.83		7.13	1.71		6.78
	7,840		5,648	105.06	206.38	99.56	195.51	4.40		96.9	3.84		6.12
11	6,367	Service Comments (22)	3,598	211.64	566.10	200.11	542.89	8.15	The state of the s	23.40	7.68	A CONTRACTOR	21.79
	5,932		3,778	331.37	680.45	310.69	671.54	15.78		31.91	15.00		30.06
20	5,551	S.	4,528	432.73	728.04	421.19	711.02	33.65		48.17	31.74		46.99
	5,627		5,155	573.54	742.81	551.37	727.43	49.09		66.57	47.44		64.32
	5,967		6,402	696.41	838.56	676.73	826.69	103.10		104.63	99.33		101.33
22.	5,084	A magazinania	5,875	821.43	881.64	802.92	863.30	189.14	The second secon	167.49	183.99		163.48
	4,171	Company of the compan	4,231	870.64	846.60	854.84	832.93	321.89		315.51	311.99		305.05
	3,612	C. C. Control of the	3,512	732.01	667.30	708.33	651.77	455.61	Complete Company	475.79	433.63	33	459.52
	2,854		2,635	602.79	515.34	587.64	503.03	269.77		623.62	548.29		603.84
	225		202	280.73	334.33	247.17	278.49	510.47		724.49	404.64		565.83
13.5	92 333 10	The same of the sa	44	178.35	411.50	101.06	204.86	526.35	and the contract of	1,486.44	316.07	ì	719.26
	39		28	100.93	315.90	63.12	174.62	644.63		1,057.48	291.74		558.68
	11/2011	S. Santanana	the second contract	101.69	525.87	54.27	344.66	526.24	- Aggregation of the	657.27	324.53		323.76
	8		9	161.90	171.11	124.85	133.84	734.95		612.96	352.46		312.45
	2		e	209.50	324.27	81.85	151.58	586.35		356.41	213.52		293.69

				Therapeutic Class 3	c Class 3			Therapeutic Class 4	Class 4	
	Number of	Number of members	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	nember	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid pe	\$ paid per member
Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
4	10,105	11,108	6.42	7.76	90.9	7.73	4.39	4.57	4.28	4.43
6	9,389	10,384	10.29	13.64	9.91	13.11	5.77	4.49	5.57	4.37
14	8,484	8,735	8.83	20.78	7.66	19.58	6.39	8.88	5.80	8.67
19	7,840	5,648	22.09	27.66	20.47	23.13	*** \$2515.17	13.61	14.10	12.17
24	6,367	3,598	47.06	54.09	42.64	51.40	38.89	57.60	36.17	52.97
29	5,932	3,778	17.51	93.82	74.21	91.57	54.06	62.96	50.90	60.46
34	5,551	4,528	137.05	181.39	130.66	177.54	93.38	103.33	90.23	100.81
39	5,627	5,155	196.48	193.58	189.51	188.94	144.67	136,18	141.18	131.60
44	5,967	6,402	227.28	260.58	221.67	256.18	205.99	147.45	200.33	144.06
49	5,084	5,875	298.70	312.98	288.51	308.50	273.98	179.27	267.56	176.80
54	4,171	4,231	328.99	392.33	316.27	384.80	336.11	246.71	328.27	242.14
59	3,612	3,512	277.87	337.64	256.59	322.38	388.97	271.12	375.16	264.03
64	2,854	2,635	241.76	285.01	227.64	264.77	391.53	289.78	379.70	282.12
69	225	202	94.04	212.72	76.74	151.59	Spendance 298.11	282.85	226.44	208.81
74	92	44	49.11	185.92	15.89	93.53	250.57	513.86	165.39	267.42
79	39	28	34.05	39.22	12.24	20.66	210.27	214.16	103.49	115.22
84	17	o	62.75	171.38	17.17	53.68	197.44	122.31	122.83	103.94
89	80	9.	23.11	4.59	12.07	1.38	453.59	75.59	149.75	2 2 2 2 70.83
+	2	3	312.16	112.41	93.64	10.72	176.64	15.64	75.24	4.74

					Therapeutic Class 5	c Class 5			F	Therapeutic Class 6	9		
Age		Numi Numi	Number of members	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	nember	\$ eligible per member	ar member	ACCOUNTY OF THE PERSON OF THE	\$ paid per member	member	
Min	Мах	Female	Male Campbell	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Administration of the second s	Female	Male Male	
0	4	10,105	11,108	2.04	2.35	2.01	2:32	Secretaria 20.10 Constitution of the second	O	0.16	0.10		0.14
5	6	6986'6	10,384	2.57	2.60	2.51	2.55	2.48	6	3.68	2.42		3.57
10	14	8,484	8,735	4.46	3.42	4.35	3.34	10.63	16	16.68	10.37		16.17
15	19	7,840	5,648	8.84	7.05	8.40	6.65	31.08	32	32.39	29.16		30.42
20	24	6,367	3,598	14.99	17.68	13.93	16.08	63.71	83	83.64	60.47		80.41
25	59	5,932	3,778	29.77	29.13	28.00	27.30	111.55	105	105.39	107.29		102.55
30	34	5,551	4,528	51.60	28.27	49.55	27.41	140.15	120	120.81	135.82		117.30
35	39	5,627	5,155	70.36	52.40	66.17	50.76	185.10	133	133.06	179.80	-	129.49
40	44	5,967	6,402	103.27	70.52	100.37	63.17	243.02	148	149.00	235.42	-	145.94
45	49	5,084	5,875	141.50	88.81	136.40	84.58	293.77	182	182.11	286.17	100	179.00
50	52	4,171	4,231	187.46	113.91	181.33	109.63	332.70		200.52	323.16	÷	194.90
55	59	3,612	3,512	203.40	146.39	194.02	142.26	289.41		174.23	278.31		169.16
09	64	2,854	2,635	218.78	148.72	206.51	143.73	233.14	142	142.13	225.01	-	138.01
65	69	225	202	119.40	130.15	93.11	96.38	79.09	93	93.48	65.14		65.01
70	74	92 200	Table 44 months	113.19	180.02	67.82	99.01	25.96		83.78	16.21		51.03
75	62		Secretarian of Secretarian	112.00	125.72	50.46	73.94	59.97		82.00	28.37		42.70
80	84	11	Kindelphan 6 To a nathern g	135.00	156.56	78.96	65.95	38.85		44.15	20.88		44.34
85	68	80	9	191.58	120.92	109.73	36.39	9,13	83	83.82	5.62		25.23
06	+	2	e	768.11	29.00	174.96	38.75	165.74	31	31.46	49.72		9.54

1 2006	
29 MARCH	

				Therapeutic Class 7	c Class 7			Theraper	Therapeutic Class 8	
Age	Number of	Number of members	\$ eligible per member	r member	\$ paid per member	member	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid pe	\$ paid per member
Min Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
4	10,105	11,108	1,43	1.94	1.43	1.93	35.48	34.51	34.28	33.48
6	9,389	10,384	6.75	4.97	6.52	4.79	31.68	29.82	30.47	27.84
10 14	8,484	8,735	11.36	10.83	11.05	10.21	28.57	24.09	27.34	22.94
19	7,840	5,648	7.81	9.46	7.43	9.10	35.17	37.75	33,28	35.17
24	6,367	3,598	10.26	11.77	96'6	11.28	35.61	35.50	31.56	33.63
25 29	5,932	3,778	16.65	16.61	16.17	16.09	49.14	30.46	46.26	29.30
34	5,551	4,528	26.89	28.21	26.27	27.39	52.18	45.93	49.92	44.25
35 39	5,627	5,155	35.23	37.89	34.39	37.15	71.31	52.91	66.79	51.41
44	5,967	6,402	61.28	49.70	60.15	48.55	82.50	74.55	77.98	72.52
49	5,084	5,875	90.06	78.99	88.22	77.62	96.38	89.29	93.41	19.78
25	4,171	4,231	144.39	118.96	142.00	116.95	92.97	118.56	89.34	108.97
59	3,612	3,512	189.76	190.25	183.52	184.82	77.25	80.51	73.67	77.76
69	2,854	2,635	216.50	251.62	210.37	246.21	73.88	70.27	71.24	67.72
69 69	225	202	193.83	193.77	149.26	155.04	Signatures - 38.17 - Terrango	67.38	27.68	48.16
74	9/	44	111.09	575.71	66.24	378.07	39.23	128.49	25.00	71.58
62	39	28	180.92	279.71	91.46	220.94	31.18	48.91	13.08	26.35
28	17	6	54.90	188.89	37.63	178.50	18.74	80.72	6.55	46.49
85 89	co	9	99.93	245.65	49.42	212.10	39.44	9.20	23.13	7.75
+	2	3.	0.00	164.37	0.00	123.05	33.32	67.92	* 13.16 ···	44.42

					Therapeutic Class 9	: Class 9			Therape	Therapeutic Class 10	
Age		Number of members	members	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid per member	nember	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ paid	\$ paid per member
Min	Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
	4	10,105	11,108	0.12	0.10	0.10	60.0	15.30	22.89	14.85	
	6	9,389	10,384	0.18	60.0	0.16	0.09	21.66	32.73	20.55	30.96
	14	8,484	8,735	0.47	0.22	0.46	0.22	30.25	38.90	29.06	36.35
-	19	7,840	5,648	0.81	0.70	0.78	0.67	25.83	32.27	24.13	30.17
	24	6,367	3,598	2.34	2.39	2.17	2.09	21.84	22.02	20.23	20.72
	29	5,932	3,778	5.43	2.67	5.09	2.52	25.85	24.18	24.62	23.39
	34	5,551	4,528	6.18	4.13	5.70	3.84	39,87	25.29	37.81	24.71
	39	5,627	5,155	8.73	3.95	8.18	3.66	53.70	27.90	52.15	27.11
Annual de la constanta de la c	44	5,967	6,402	11.76	4.06	11.08	3.87	73.17	32.90	71.82	32.22
	49	5,084	5,875	19.63	5.34	18.60	5.09	94.35	52.16	91.71	51.00
	54	417	4,231	26.27	9.37	25.20	60.6	107.90	72.01	106.08	70.35
	59	3,612	3,512	29.07	10.41	27.53	9.75	143.01	110.53	138.87	106.87
	64	2,854	2,635	27.05	7.98	25.31	7.58	161.98	165.82	156.65	161.32
Table State of the	69	225	202	13.68	7.30	11.57	5.18	115.23	132.37	96.84	96.68
	74	76	44	15.67	3.77	5.54	2.49	64.43	412.66	45.07	215.56
	79	39. 200	28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 -	31.15	0.84	11.17	0.25	75.90	130.95	19.67	60.09
	84	The second of th	Control of	1.25	30.38	0.38	30.45	9.45	240.61	90.6	216.57
	68	æ	9	0.00	00:00	0.00	00:00	00:00	91.20	0.00	90.49
	+	2	е	0.00	4.16	0.00	1.52	46.77	1.070.26	10.50	162.05

Table 3.5: Drug Costs for the Uninsured group - calendar year 2004

Age	63	Number o	Number of members	\$ eligible per member	member	sidigile \$	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible per member	r member	\$ eligible p	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible per member	r member
Min	Мах	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
0	4	19,331	23,283	35.90	27.01	0.24	0.70	1.02	3.28	1.40	1.75	0.78	0.95
5	6	19,883	24,184	31.28	30.39	0.56	0.68	3.00	3.93	1.27	1.01	1.40	2.45
10	14	21,642	26,129	43.65	49.88	1.07	1.72	99.9	4.83	2.54	2.48	6.17	1.01
15	19	21,192	26,873	59.67	70.14	1.31	2.15	8.98	4.01	71.17	11.39	5.10	3.03
20	24	51,527	62,140	103.55	85.38	2.78	4.98	9.47	23.53	12.95	9.84	7.73	5.57
25	29	56,498	67,401	102.21	88.87	4.00	8.58	13.52	12.98	15.76	18.56	8.13	15.60
30	34	36,077	35,877	123.93	89.17	235 500 7.86	16.12	23.89	36.91	25.38	24.90	17.05	13.75
35	39	40,186	49,005	157.19	121.61	18.30	31.95	28.83	31.80	35.16	38.18	23.83	19.77
40	44	46,302	43,156	167.04	118.75	SECT : 33.22	62.15	41.26	48.67	55. 51.70	53.29	44.81	24.42
45	49	33,209	34,585	181.88	145.25	18'69 \$ 83	107.32	55.44	44.60	68.11	49.00	53.82	31.73
50	54	27,109	26,497	183.81	152.54	142.13	206.51	73.41	60.79	90.94	65.94	84.63	43.51
55	59	18,989	27,472	169.09	144.79	257.80	324.69	90.93	66.63	123.78	85.62	112.13	56.45
09	64	11,148	16,304	153.31	139.19	373.19	443.88	86.81	73.48	162.48	101.50	133.62	64.79
65	69	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	0	0	0
70	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
75	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0	0	0
			4										

29 MARCH 2006

Table 3.6: Drug Costs for the Private group - calendar year 2004

Age	Number	Number of members	\$ eligible p	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible per member	r member	\$ eligible per member	eligible per member	\$ eligible p	\$ eligible per member
Max	x Female	Male	Female	Male	Female Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
4	62,509	65,955	37.66	25.91	0.07 0.62	0.11	2.64	0.84	1.16	0.48	0.72
0	67,247	68,087	28.23	24.02	0.36 0.04	1.98	2.22	0.51	0.17	1.02	2.50
14	74,932	76,046	41.93	40.17	0.93	5.94	2.60	2.00	1.16	6:39	0.50
19	77,151	80,288	52.85	60.40	30, 0.44 0.00 1.51	7.36	2.07	5.74	11.33	4.55	2.67
24	52,659	51,151	84.51	54.79	1.62 3.25	3.80	21.97	7.91	3.59	6.15	4.41
29	50,790	52,791	56.72	46.00	1.67	3.99	7.37	7.39	12.33	4.05	13.34
34	71,902	81,901	72.20	47.09	4.63	10.25	27.44	16.70	17.77	11.91	12.38
39	68,510	66,739	80.87	66.59	13,15	10.47	16.04	20.74	25.12	16.30	15.80
44	79,205	88,339	81.62	59.58	23.10	20.52	29.68	31.50	41.83	35.31	18.30
49	82,322	88,894	103.69	84.13	54.14 93.09	30.53	21.83	44.27	33.76	41.18	23.94
54	62,918	70,472	108.75	98.50	113.31	49.77	32.62	59.52	47.41	68.89	35.88
29	44,177	45,040	103.95	105.81	212.72 281.30	66.30	37.06	82.50	58.88	91.46	43.15
64	17,676	28,498	105.68	116.73	314.93 389.04	58.68	46.59	117.88	69.26	120.17	50.06
69	0	0	0	0	O . white the second second	0	0	0	0	0	0
74	0	0	0	0	0 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	0	0	0	0	0	0
79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
84	0	0	0	0	Or the state of th	0	0	0	0	0	0
88	0	.0 -	0	0	O Service Company of the Company of	0	0	0	0	0	0
+	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	C	0	O	0

Ž

0

15 20

2

35

45 45

25

22

65 65 70 75

865 89

29 MARCH 2006

Table 3.7: Drug Costs for non-prescribed drugs - calendar year 2004

											1	
Age	Number	Number of members	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible p	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member
Max	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
4	97,430	102,735	66.6	7.52	0.07	0.19	0.28	0.91	0.39	0.49	0.22	0.27
6	100,215	106,710	8.71	8.47	0.16	0.19	0.84	1.10	0.35	0.28	0.39	0.68
14	109,080	115,290	12.15	13.90	0.30	0.48	1.85	1.35	0.71	0.69	1.7.1	0.28
19	112,085	118,335	16.61	19.54	0.37	0.60	2.50	1.12	1.99	3.17	1.42	0.84
24	116,700	122,615	29.24	24.43	62.0	1.41	2.71	6.49	3.66	2.85	2.16	1.56
29	116,475	126,095	29.41	25.78	1.14	2.42	3.89	3.70	4.45	5.23	2.29	4.31
34	116,400	124,520	34.85	25.03	2.20	4.49	6.71	10.29	7.08	6.95	4.75	3.82
39	117,915	123,835	44.41	34.50	5.08	8.87	8.13	86.98	9.82	10.69	6.63	5.49
44	137,060	142,060	47.20	33.40	9.26	17.27	11.58	13.62	14.45	14.84	12.44	6.81
49	129,065	135,080	50.93	40.64	19.44	29.83	15.48	12.47	18.99	13.66	14.97	8.84
54	105,440	108,615	51.45	42.61	39.55	57.44	20.47	16.98	25.37	18.38	23.54	12.11
59	84,470	85,450	47.22	40.58	Sept. 71.73	90.45	25.32	18.71	34.50	23.96	31.20	15.76
64	61,380	61,565	42.70	38.83	103.77	123.60	24.16	20.54	45.21	28.35	37.14	18.97
69	49,625	47,905	35.16	28.96	119.03	132.74	21.79	20.18	45.11	33.10	35.54	17.98
74	43,930	40,585	39.65	39.32	147.95	161.46	24.68	25.08	51.44	42.21	43.71	23.59
79	36,490	29,400	43.40	48.00	165.97	173.51	26.43	33.94	56.64	47.18	51.37	27.84
84	28,250	18,060	47.43	52.50	174.57	162.77	27.73	31.46	57.86	48.31	54.53	33.00
88	15,670	7,875	50.66	60.18	163.02	144.24	28.02	31.47	58.81	49.32	57.46	36.26
	1000	-	00 01									

MARCH 2006
29

					Therapeutic Class 6	Class 6	Therapeutic Class 7	Inerapeutic class 8	Class a	inerapeutic class 9	inerape	inerapeutic class to
Age		Number	Number of members		\$ eligible per member	nember	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible per member	member	\$ eligible per member	\$ eligible	\$ eligible per member
Min	Max	Female	Male	II R	Female	Male	Female	Female	Male	Female Male	Female	Male
	4	97,430	102,735	Constant Con	0.01	0.01	manufacture 10.11 in the second secon	6.11	5.55	10:01 10:01	2.75	3.16
	6	100,215	106,710	7.2E	0.15	0.25	0:30	6.57	4.50	The state of the s	4.60	4.02
	14	109,080	115,290	100	0.87	1.08	0.63	5.61	3.04	0.03	4.63	4.26
	19	112,085	118,335	19.161	2.62	2.25	0.55	5.20	99.9	0.00	2.54	3.56
2	24	116,700	122,615	5 1975	5.51	4.72	0.86	5.80	5.74	0.22	2.57	3.52
N	29	116,475	126,095	The state of the s	7.78	7.18	anger 0.95 personal property 1.57 personal	5.23	8.83	10.30 American 0.12 William	2.28	5.51
(7)	34	116,400	124,520		9.81	9.78	1.57	09.9	7.99	Action 0.54 income to the contract of the cont	3.38	4.08
69	39	117,915	123,835	1160	12.90	9.74	1,61	7.94	9.23	Mary 1.0.73 Company of 10.31	3.97	3.75
4	44	137,060	142,060	98	19.69	11.31	2.91	10.40	10.72	1,08 0.31	5.58	4.40
4	49	129,065	135,080		21.34	12.12	4.28 5.78	10.73	14.33	1.50 0.42	6.47	4.38
43	54	105,440	108,615	18.50	23.82	13.56	7.25	11.54	15.80	2:04	7.59	5.19
4)	59	84,470	85,450		23.98	12.68	12.00 17.57 17.57 september 12.00	11.00	12.87	2.61 Line of the Control of the Cont	11.30	7.52
9	64	61,380	61,565	- C	20.83	10.59	15.34 23.27	11.27	10.69	3,18	14.19	11.63
9	69	49,625	47,905	G.S.	15.96	7.48	14.45	10.99	9.59	3.27 C	16.56	14.80
1	74	43,930	40,585	TYR	15.22	7.81	17.17 23.41	11.23	10.44	3.92	19.64	22.14
	79	36,490	29,400	g an	15.24	8.30	15.78	10.75	11.01	1.76. T.76.	21.26	27.95
w.	84	28,250	18,060		15.62	9.19	13.24	10.28	10.59	5.38	20.85	27.94
8	88	15,670	7,875	1	15.50	9.81	13.01 - 1.64 Proposition 13.01 - 13.01	9.61	9.90	6.09 (2.7) 3.18	18.09	27.08
			The state of the s	Section of the second of the s	AND RESIDENCE AND PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY.		The second secon					

Table 3.8: Scales of increase rates

Slow (%)	Accelerated (%)	Immediate (%)
18.0	18.0	18.0
18.0	18.0	18.0
17.0	16.5	8.0
16.0	15.0	8.0
15.0	13.5	8.0
14.0	12.0	8.0
13.0	10.5	8.0
12.0	0.6	8.0
11.0	8.0	80
10.0	8.0	8.0
0.0	8.0	8.0
8.0	8.0	8.0
8.0	8.0	18.0 C.
8.0	8.0	Representative 8.0 - properties
8.0	8.0	8.0

	Low population	High population
Year	Accelerated (%)	Accelerated (%)
2006	18.0	18.0
2007	18.0	18.0
2008	16.5	16.5
2009	15.0	15.0
2010	13.5 cm 13.5 cm 13.5 cm	13.5
2011	12.0	12.0
2012	10.5	10.5
2013	The state of the s	0.6
2014	2.5 months 7.5 months 2.5 months	8.5
2015	1.00 miles 2.5 miles 2.5 miles 2.4 m	8.5
2016	2.5	8.5
2017	7.5 200	8.5
2018	St.	8.5
2019	\$1.000 per 2.5 or 2.5 or 5.5 or	8.5
2020	Special 7.5 cm 2.5 cm	8.5

1 "Alberta Government Historical Fiscal Summary 1986-87 to 2007-08." http://www.finance.gov.ab.ca/publications/budget/budget2005/fiscal66.gif

² Clark, Kara L. (2003). The Group Insurance Marketplace in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT, p. 3-5. Cumming, Robert B. (2003). Management of Provider Networks in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT. p. 931-951. Johnson, Allison. Medical Care Management in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT, p. 931-951.

⁴ Jacobson, Paul. (February 2004). Some Basic Insurance Concepts, Fraser Forum, Fraser Institute, p. 6.

This is often referred to as anti-selection. See Khemani, Ashim. (2004). Canadian Group Insurance Benefits, A Practitioners Guide and Reference Manual, Financial Advisors Association of Canada. ⁶ Knapp, Darrell D. (2003). Medical Benefits in the United States in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT, p. 104-107.

⁷ Health Canada. (November 25, 2002). Canada Health Act Overview.

⁸ The most extensive experiment on cost sharing is summarized in Keeler, Emmet B. (Summer, 1992). Effects of Cost Sharing on Use of Medical Services and Health, Journal of Medical Practice Management, Vol. 8. p. 317-321.

⁹ Johnson, Allison. (2003). Medical Care Management in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT, p. 931-951.

premium. For a brief discussion see Cumming, Robert B. (2003). Actuarial Certification of Reserves in William F. Bluhm, principal editor, Group ¹⁰ This is a concept where the adoption from life to health insurance is somewhat controversial. Pre-funding is often referred to as gross level Insurance, ACTEX Publications Inc., Winsted, CT, p. 405-406.

¹¹ Alberta Finance projections modified to reflect projected mortality improvements.

¹² Intergenerational fairness was a key evaluation criteria in the Kirby Report. (October 2002). The Health of Canadians – The Federal Role; Final Report; Volume Six: Recommendations for Reform, The Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology. See Chapter

¹³ Khemani, Ashim, supra, note 5, this is the application of that dynamic.

¹⁴ This section provides a high-level description of this model. A more detailed description is contained in the Appendix.

- 16 Seniors Supportive Living Framework. (June 13, 2005 (Draft)). A Background Document for the Task Force on Continuing Care Health Service and Accommodation Standards.
- ¹⁷ The RCCM model is a tool used by Alberta Health and Wellness to project continuing care needs, expenses and capital requirements.
- ¹⁸ This was based on discussions with experts at Alberta Health and Wellness.
- ¹⁹This was based on discussions with experts at Alberta Health and Wellness.
 - ²⁰ See anti-selection discussion in the Conceptual Models section.
- ²¹ Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2004). Towards High-Performing Health Systems, and Mintel International Group Ltd. (September 2005). Private Medical Insurance.
- ²² See anti-selection discussion in the Conceptual Models section.
- ²³ Data provided by Alberta Health and Wellness to Aon Consulting as part of the project mandate.
- ²⁴ Canadian Institute for Health Information. (May 2005.) "Provincial and Territorial Government Health Expenditures By Age Group, Sex and Major Category: Recent and Future Growth Rates."
- 25 Ibid.
- ²⁶ Canadian Institute for Health Information. (December 2005). Health Expenditure by Use of Funds, by Year, by Source of Finance, by Province/Territory and Canada 1975-2005 - Current Dollar.
- ²⁷ To be consistent with the model currently used by Alberta Finance, health care productivity has been defined as a function of GDP divided by working hours.



